

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

PREFACE	x
INTRODUCTION	1
Argumentation and legal justification	1
Structure of this book	2
CHAPTER ONE	
RESEARCH INTO LEGAL ARGUMENTATION	5
1.1 Introduction	5
1.2 Argumentation and the interpretation of legal rules	5
1.3 Central research questions in theories of legal argumentation	10
CHAPTER TWO	
A SURVEY OF APPROACHES AND TOPICS	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Research of legal argumentation	13
2.3 Approaches in research of legal argumentation	15
2.3.1 The logical approach	15
2.3.2 The rhetorical approach	16
2.3.3 The dialogical approach	19
2.4 Topics in research of legal argumentation	21
2.4.1 The philosophical component	21
2.4.2 The theoretical component	21
2.4.3 The reconstruction component	22
2.4.4 The empirical component	24
2.4.5 The practical component	24
CHAPTER THREE	
THE LOGICAL APPROACH	26
3.1 Introduction	26
3.2 Logical validity and acceptability of legal argumentation	26
3.3 Various logical theories	28
3.4 Logical analysis of legal argumentation	32
3.5 The discussion of the importance of logic for legal argumentation	34
3.6 Conclusion	38



CHAPTER FOUR		
TOULMIN'S ARGUMENTATION MODEL		40
4.1	Introduction	40
4.2	Toulmin's argumentation model and the analysis and evaluation of legal argumentation	41
4.3	Applications of Toulmin's model in the theoretical and practical literature on legal argumentation	44
4.4	Conclusion	46
CHAPTER FIVE		
PERELMAN'S NEW RHETORIC		48
5.1	Introduction	48
5.2	Perelman's general argumentation theory	49
5.3	Perelman's legal argumentation theory	52
5.4	Applications of Perelman's New Rhetoric in the literature of legal argumentation	56
5.5	Conclusion	59
CHAPTER SIX		
HABERMAS' THEORY OF COMMUNICATIVE RATIONALITY		62
6.1	Introduction	62
6.2	Communicative rationality and the ideal speech situation	62
6.2.1	Discussions and the ideal speech situation	62
6.2.2	Levels of communicative rationality	64
6.2.3	The structure of argumentation	66
6.3	The ideal speech situation and legal discussions	67
6.4	Applications of Habermas' theory in law	69
6.5	Conclusion	71
CHAPTER SEVEN		
MACCORMICK'S THEORY OF THE JUSTIFICATION OF LEGAL DECISIONS		73
7.1	Introduction	73
7.2	Deductive justification	74
7.3	Second-order justification	78
7.4	Consequentialist arguments	83
7.5	Arguments of coherence	85
7.6	Arguments of consistency	87
7.7	Conclusion	88



CHAPTER EIGHT		
ALEXY'S PROCEDURAL THEORY OF		
LEGAL ARGUMENTATION		92
8.1	Introduction	92
8.2	The theory of general practical discourse	93
8.2.1	The rules of general practical discourse	93
8.2.2	The principles of practical rationality	100
8.2.3	The justification of rules of discourse	102
8.3	The theory of legal argumentation	102
8.3.1	The rules of the internal justification	103
8.3.2	The rules of the external justification	107
8.4	Legal and general practical discourse	114
8.5	Conclusion	116

CHAPTER NINE		
AARNIO'S THEORY OF THE JUSTIFICATION OF		
LEGAL INTERPRETATIONS		119
9.1	Introduction	119
9.2	The interpretation of legal norms	120
9.3	The justification of an interpretation standpoint	122
9.3.1	The structure of the justification	122
9.3.2	Internal and external justification	125
9.4	The rationality and acceptability of legal interpretations	127
9.5	The rationality of legal interpretations	127
9.5.1	The rules concerning the rationality of discussions	128
9.5.2	The rules concerning the burden of proof	129
9.6	The acceptability of legal interpretations	131
9.6.1	Forms of life and the acceptability of legal interpretations	131
9.6.2	The audience and the form of life	132
9.7	Conclusion	134



CHAPTER TEN		
PECZENIK'S THEORY OF TRANSFORMATIONS		
IN THE LAW		139
10.1	Introduction	139
10.2	Transformations and the justification of legal decisions	140
10.3	The legal justification	144
10.3.1	The transformation into the law	144
10.3.2	The transformation inside the law	146
10.4	The deep justification	154
10.4.1	The rationality of legal argumentation	154
10.4.2	Legal ideology	156
10.5	Conclusion	158
CHAPTER ELEVEN		
THE PRAGMA-DIALECTICAL THEORY OF LEGAL ARGUMENTATION IN THE CONTEXT OF A CRITICAL DISCUSSION		163
11.1	Introduction	163
11.2	A pragma-dialectical approach of argumentation as part of a critical discussion	163
11.2.1	The pragma-dialectical theory of argumentative discussions	163
11.2.2	The analysis and evaluation of argumentative discussions	167
11.3	Pragma-dialectical analysis of legal argumentation	171
11.3.1	Legal argumentation as part of a critical discussion	171
11.3.2	The analysis of legal disputes	174
11.3.3	Single and complex argumentation in legal discussions	175
11.3.4	Clear cases and hard cases: single argumentation and complex argumentation	176
11.3.5	Clues for the reconstruction of the argumentation structure	178
11.3.6	Missing premises and complex argumentation	179
11.4	Pragma-dialectical evaluation of legal argumentation	181
11.4.1	Norms for the evaluation of the content	181
11.4.2	Norms for the evaluation of the discussion procedure	184
11.5	Conclusion	187



CHAPTER TWELVE	
TOWARDS A THEORY OF LEGAL ARGUMENTATION	
IN THE CONTEXT OF A CRITICAL DISCUSSION	189
12.1 Introduction	189
12.2 Approaches to legal argumentation in logic,	
argumentation theory and philosophy	189
12.3 Approaches to legal argumentation	
in legal theory	193
12.4 A model for the analysis and evaluation of legal	
argumentation: five components of a dialogical	
theory of legal argumentation	197
BIBLIOGRAPHY	205
AUTHOR INDEX	218
SUBJECT INDEX	221