

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>Foreword</b>	v
<b>Introduction</b>	1
<i>Cécile Brokelind</i>	
I. The scope of the book	2
II. Purpose	2
III. Method	3
IV. Limitations	6
V. Structure	7
VI. About the reporters	8
VII. Questions for the reporters	10
A. General questions	11
B. Questions more specific to tax law	12
VIII. General overview	13
A. Too few or too many references for preliminary rulings to the ECJ?	13
B. Direct, indirect and improper influence	15
C. Does geography matter?	17
Appendix 1: Number of ECJ cases in the field of direct taxation (including inheritance taxation but excluding state aid) on 31 October 2006	24
Appendix 2: ECJ cases in the field of direct taxation relating to secondary legislation (directives) on 31 October 2006	25



PART ONE  
GENERAL REFLECTIONS ON THE ROLE OF ECJ RULINGS AND  
INFRINGEMENT PROCEDURES IN EC LAW

**The effects of ECJ rulings on Member States' direct tax law –  
Introductory speech** 29

*Leif Mutén*

- I. The historical perspective 29
- II. The role of the ECJ 30
- III. The immediate legal consequences of ECJ rulings 32
- IV. The legislative consequences 34
- V. Exit rules 35
- VI. Exemptions for non-residents 36
- VII. CFC rules 37
- VIII. General anti-avoidance rule (GAAR) 39
- IX. Conclusion 39

**The role of infringements** 41

*Maria Elena Scoppio*

- I. Introduction 41
- II. The enforcement actions against Member States in Art. 226 of  
the EC Treaty – The procedure 41
- III. The financial penalties for Member States who fail to comply  
with ECJ judgments – Art. 228 of the EC Treaty 46
  - A. The procedure 46
  - B. Current examples of failures to comply with ECJ  
judgments by Member States in the field of taxation 49



IV.	Some statistics	49
	A. Total number of detected infringement cases (situation at 31 December 2005)	50
	B. Taxation sector (situation at 31 December 2005)	50
	C. Situation in the field of taxation on 15 November 2006	51
V.	Communicating infringements	51
VI.	Standard form for complaints to be submitted to the European Commission for failure by a Member State to comply with Community law	52

## PART TWO

### A DYNAMIC APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS

<b>Austria</b>	59
----------------	----

*Georg W. Kofler*

I.	Tax sovereignty, EC law and the Austrian constitutional framework	59
II.	Procedural issues of implementing ECJ judgments	65
III.	Substantive influence of the ECJ's case law on Austrian direct tax law	68
	A. Inbound situations	68
	1. Introduction	68
	2. Taxation of non-resident individuals	70
	a. Subjective benefits for non-residents: <i>Schumacker</i> and Sec. 1(4) of the EStG	70
	b. Objective benefits for non-residents: <i>Gerritse</i> , <i>Conijn</i> , <i>Scorpio</i> , and the Tax Reform Act 2004	74
	3. Taxation of non-resident companies: <i>Avoir Fiscal</i> and <i>Saint-Gobain</i>	78
	B. Outbound situations	81
	1. Introduction	81
	2. Taxation of capital income and capital gains: <i>Schmid</i> , <i>Lenz</i> , and the Budget Supplementary Act 2003	84
	3. Exit taxation: <i>X and Y</i> , <i>Hughes de Lasteyrie du Saillant</i> , <i>N</i> , and the Tax Reform Act 2004	88



## Table of contents

---

4.	Utilization of foreign losses	91
a.	“Exempt” losses of foreign permanent establishments and Sec. 2(8) of the EStG	91
b.	Losses of foreign subsidiaries: The Austrian group taxation and <i>Marks &amp; Spencer</i>	92
5.	The Austrian participation exemption: Sec. 10(1) v. Sec. 10(2) of the KStG	95
IV.	Summary	99
	<b>The Netherlands</b>	<b>101</b>
	<i>Otto Marres</i>	
I.	Introduction	101
II.	Referral policy	101
III.	Potential incompatibilities with EC law	104
A.	General	104
B.	Personal income tax	104
1.	Personal allowances	104
2.	Exit taxes	106
C.	Corporate income tax	107
1.	Corporate interest deduction	107
2.	Exit taxes	109
3.	Fiscal unity/cross-border loss set-off	110
D.	Dividend tax (and credit of foreign withholding tax)	111
1.	Outbound dividends (dividend withholding tax)	111
2.	Inbound dividends (credit for foreign tax)	113
3.	Tax sparing/most-favoured-nation	115
IV.	Legislative changes per 2007	115



PART THREE  
THE ATTITUDE OF TWO ORIGINAL MEMBER STATES TOWARDS  
THE ECJ'S RULINGS

**Germany** 119

*Dr Axel Cordewener, LL.M.*

I.	Introduction	119
II.	EC law and the German legal system: The constitutional framework	121
	A. The general constitutional perspective	121
	1. Traditional international treaties	121
	2. EC law as a special case	123
	B. Identifying the (limited) areas of "opposition" by German courts	126
	1. The fundamental rights debate: <i>Bundesverfassungsgericht</i> versus ECJ?	127
	2. The "direct effect" issue: <i>Bundesfinanzhof</i> versus ECJ?	130
	C. The constitutional obligation to respect and apply EC law	131
III.	The ECJ's decisions and their influence on the German tax judiciary	133
	A. The structure of the German (tax) judiciary	133
	B. The increasing importance of EC law	135
	1. Historical development	135
	2. Current status	138
	C. The treatment of EC law by the different instances today	140
IV.	Reactions on the part of the German legislature and tax administration: Some recent examples	147
	A. The German legislature	147
	B. The German tax administration	151
V.	Conclusions	155



## Table of contents

---

<b>France</b>	<b>157</b>
<i>Cécile Brokelind</i>	
I. Introduction	157
II. Constitutional framework	157
III. Influence of ECJ case law on direct taxation	160
A. General	160
B. The legislator's responses to ECJ case law	161
C. The domestic judges' attitude	163
IV. Conclusion	167

## PART FOUR THE SCANDINAVIAN APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS

<b>Finland</b>	<b>171</b>
<i>Kristiina Aima</i>	
I. General remarks	171
A. Constitutional framework	171
B. Procedural aspects	171
C. Obligation to apply EC law	172
D. Comparative law traditions	172
II. A "leave to appeal" for review of appeal cases to Supreme Courts?	173
A. General	173
B. Appeals in tax cases	174
C. Advance rulings	176
III. Preliminary rulings in the Finnish court system	176
IV. The notion of <i>acte clair</i> and the CILFIT doctrine	178
V. The attitude of the state authorities toward EC tax law	179
A. Judicial authorities	179
B. Legislator	179



C.	Tax administration	182
D.	State liability in tax cases	184
E.	Record of the courts	185
F.	Practice with regard to indirect taxes	185
G.	Practice with regard to direct taxes	188
H.	The impact of “neighbouring” tax law on the legislator	188
I.	Dividend taxation	189
J.	Interaction between IFRS and taxation	190
K.	Group taxation	191
L.	Group relief or group contribution?	192
M.	Transfer pricing	195
N.	Do tax judges take the ECJ’s case law into account before the legislator does?	196
O.	The level of knowledge of EC tax law	197
P.	The tax treatment of non-resident taxpayers	198
VI.	Further areas of potential conflict	201
A.	In general	201
B.	Capital duty	201
C.	Luxembourg SICAVs	203
D.	New securities	205
E.	Valuation of assets	205
VII.	Primary Community law	205
A.	In general	205
B.	Expatriate expenses	206
C.	Household deduction	207
D.	Exemption on capital gains tax	207
E.	Exit taxes	208
F.	Transfer of habitual abode	209
G.	Capital gains tax	210
H.	Transfer of a real seat	210
1.	Companies	210
2.	A foreign company’s PE	211
3.	SE and SCE	211
I.	CFC rules	212
J.	Dividend taxation	213
K.	Exempt dividend income	213
L.	Valuation of foreign shares	214
M.	Method for elimination of double taxation	214
N.	Reverse discrimination	215



## Table of contents

---

VIII. Conclusion	216
A. General court practice	216
B. Preliminary rulings	217
C. The other state authorities' approach	218
D. Future scenarios on the enforcement of EC tax law	219
 Sweden	 223
 <i>Cécile Brokelind and Marc Kanter</i>	
I. Introduction	223
A. Purpose	223
B. Method	223
C. Eleven years of EU membership	225
D. The Swedish courts involved in tax matters	226
1. Courts and tribunals	226
2. Swedish Board for Advance Rulings	227
II. General comments on the effects of EC law on Swedish tax law	229
A. Constitutional framework for recognition of the supremacy of EC law over Swedish law	229
B. Procedural changes in order to implement Art. 234 of the EC Treaty	230
C. The duty for courts to apply EC law of their own motion	231
D. Reference to notable foreign case law in copy-cat cases	232
E. The leave to appeal to the Supreme Administrative Court and the effective application of EC law	232
F. Too few cases referred to the ECJ?	233
G. A peculiar way to understand ECJ case law: Some examples	234
H. The <i>acte clair</i> doctrine in Swedish tax case law	236
III. Domestic tax case law	238
A. Income tax cases dealt with by Swedish courts	238
1. A small number of cases	238
2. Areas of income tax law at issue in Swedish cases	240
3. Some examples of potential challenges of internal Swedish law provisions	252
B. Some examples of state liability in tax cases	253
C. Teaching tax judges	255



D.	Challenging internal source taxation rules	255
IV.	Conclusion	257
	<b>Norway</b>	261
	<i>Andreas Bullen</i>	
I.	Legal background	261
A.	The European Economic Area and its fundamental freedoms	261
B.	Competent courts and administrative bodies in tax matters	262
II.	General questions	263
A.	Changes in the national constitution to recognize supremacy of EEA law over domestic law	263
B.	Changes in domestic procedural rules in order to adapt to Art. 234 of the EC Treaty (Art. 34 SCA)	264
C.	Duty for domestic judges to apply EEA law on their own motion	265
D.	Domestic judges' reference to and use of arguments from foreign case law	266
E.	Leave-to-appeal requirements for appeals to the Supreme Court	271
F.	Whether the EEA authorities have criticized Norway for Norwegian courts referring too few cases to the EFTA Court	272
G.	Whether Norwegian judges have exhibited a "peculiar" approach to the reading of ECJ/EFTA Court case law	275
H.	<i>Acte clair</i> and the CILFIT doctrine	278
III.	Questions more specific to tax law	279
A.	Norwegian tax judges' attitude towards EEA law in general	279
1.	The attitude of the courts	279
2.	The attitude of the administrative tax authorities	284
B.	State liability in tax cases	289
C.	Comparison of Norwegian judges' attitude towards EEA law in direct tax and indirect tax cases	291
D.	The Norwegian tax legislator's attitude towards the case law of the ECJ and the EFTA Court	293



## Table of contents

---

E.	Whether Norwegian tax judges consider pending cases before the ECJ/EFTA Court	299
F.	Level of knowledge about EC/EEA tax law among domestic judges and tax authorities	302
G.	Amendments of domestic and bilateral tax provisions initiated by the case law of the ECJ/EFTA Court; reflections on trends in the case law of the Courts	304
<b>Denmark</b>		<b>309</b>
<i>Søren Friis Hansen and Jeppe Rune Stokholm</i>		
I.	Introduction	309
A.	The <i>Vestergaard</i> case as a breakthrough	309
B.	The Danish debate on the effect of Community law	310
C.	Indirect taxes	311
II.	Overview of Danish cases	312
A.	Preliminary rulings from Denmark	312
B.	Pending Danish cases	314
III.	General questions	314
IV.	The practical use of Community law in Danish tax law	316
A.	The Danish court system and Community law	316
B.	The Danish government and ECJ case law on direct taxation	318
C.	State liability and procedural remedies	320
V.	Final remarks	322

## PART FIVE THE MEDITERRANEAN APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS

<b>Italy</b>	<b>325</b>
<i>Prof. Dr Pasquale Pistone</i>	
I.      Introduction	325



II.	Categorizing the type of influence ECJ decisions have on direct taxes in the European Union	326
A.	Direct influence	326
B.	Indirect influence	327
C.	Improper influence	329
III.	The influence of ECJ decisions on Italian tax law	330
A.	The effects of ECJ rulings on Italian tax courts	331
B.	The missing influence of the rulings of the ECJ on Italian direct taxes	332
1.	Issues of compatibility concerning the 2004 Tax Reform Act	332
2.	Issues of compatibility not addressed by the 2004 Tax Reform Act	336
	<b>Portugal</b>	341
	<i>Prof. Dr Ana Paula Dourado</i>	
I.	Introduction	341
A.	The principle of primacy of EC Law	341
B.	Cooperation between national tax courts and the ECJ	343
II.	The influence of the ECJ decisions on Portuguese tax law	346
A.	The eighties and nineties – a general attitude of indifference	346
B.	The new century – a diligent domestic legislator	350
C.	The <i>Denkavit</i> decision and the various relevant conditions for qualifying as a subsidiary or as parent company	351
D.	Taxation of pension funds	352
E.	Double economic taxation of dividends paid to individuals	354
F.	Thin capitalization	355
G.	Exit taxes	355
H.	Withholding taxes	355
III.	Conclusions	356



## Table of contents

---

### **Greece** 357

*Dr Georgios Matsos, LLM*

I.	EC law and Greek law	357
A.	Introduction	357
B.	The general approach of Greek courts to EC law	359
C.	Two controversial examples of Greek jurisprudence on EC law	361
1.	The judgment on Greek secondary establishments of foreign universities	361
2.	The judgment on the public procurement ban for media enterprises	362
II.	Tax law and EC law in Greece	364
A.	Particularities of Greek tax law	364
B.	The Greek approach to EC tax law	367
C.	Implementation of ECJ decisions in Greek tax law	369
D.	State liability in EC tax matters	371
III.	The Greek jurisprudence on EC tax law matters	372
A.	Jurisdiction on tax matters	372
B.	Frequency and quality of referrals to the ECJ	373
C.	Examples from Greek case law	375
1.	The judgment on the regularizing tax: A political judgment	376
2.	The bananas judgment: An example of procedural impediments	377
3.	An interesting judgment on mergers	378
IV.	Conclusion	380

## PART SIX

### NEW MEMBER STATES' APPROACH TO THE ECJ'S RULINGS: THE EXAMPLE OF POLAND

### **Poland** 383

*Dr Adam Zalasiński*

I.	Introduction	383
----	--------------	-----



II.	Polish constitutional framework concerning the EU law's effectiveness in the Polish legal order	384
III.	The Polish system of remedies applicable in the case of taxation in violation of EC tax law	387
	A. Legal framework	387
	B. Attitude of the Polish judiciary	389
IV.	Fields of possible conflicts between the internal market requirements (as interpreted by the ECJ) and Polish direct tax law	393
V.	Attitude of the lawmaker	398
VI.	Conclusions	400
	<b>Setting out the contours of future research</b>	401
	<i>Cécile Brokelind</i>	
	<b>Table of cases</b>	407