

Contents

Preface

1 The Problematic Nature of Discovery and Justification

1	Introduction / 1	
2	The Realists' Investigation of the Judging or Decision-Making Process	3
2.1	<i>The Critical Program: The Realists Response to Legal Formalism</i>	3
2.2	<i>The Constructive Programme: The Realists' Investigation of The Judging Process</i>	5
2.2.1	Research Aims	5
2.2.2	Methodology and Result	6
2.2.3	Puzzling and Brooding	7
2.2.4	Hunches	7
2.2.5	Checking/Testing Hunches	8
2.2.6	Reaching a Decision and Presenting the Decision	8
2.2.7	Summary and Implications	10
3	Searching For the "Rigid" Distinction Between Discovery and Justification	11
3.1	<i>Richard Wasserstrom</i>	11
3.2	<i>Neil MacCormick</i>	15
4	Contradictions Between The Realists' and Positivists' Accounts of The Decision-Making Process	17
5	Recent Reflections on "Discovery" in the Judicial Decision-Making Process	20
5.1	<i>The Process of Discovery - Zenon Bankowski</i>	21
5.2	<i>Decision-Making as Classification - Karl Llewellyn</i>	23
5.3	<i>Weighing Reasons - Steven Burton</i>	23
5.4	<i>The Ground of Judgment - Robert Alexy</i>	25
5.5	<i>Ethical Judgment - Costas Douzinas and Ronnie Warrington</i>	26
6	Methods of Investigating The Discovery Process	29
6.1	<i>Self Reports</i>	29
6.2	<i>Idealized Judging</i>	29
6.3	<i>Imaginary Judges</i>	29
6.4	<i>Imaginary Cases</i>	30
7	Conclusion	32

2 Investigating The Analogy Between Science and Law

1	Introduction	37
2	The Discovery - Justification Analogy	38
3	The Scientific Testing/Legal Justification Analogy	39
3.1	<i>Scientific Testing/First-Order Legal Justification</i>	40
3.2	<i>Scientific Testing/Second-Order Legal Justification</i>	42
3.3	<i>Problems With The Scientific Testing/Second-Order Legal Justification Analogy</i>	46
3.4	<i>Hypotheses/Rulings are Rivals in Different Ways</i>	
3.5	<i>Conclusion</i>	51
4	Recent Research by Cognitive Psychologists into "Discovery"	52
4.1	<i>Understanding and Inference</i>	53

4.2 Judgment and Decision-Making	54
4.3 Reasoning	55
4.4 Problem-Solving	55
4.5 Creativity	56
4.6 Introspection	57
5 Conclusion	58
3 Examining “Discovery” and A Woman’s Point of View as Justificatory and Rhetorical Strategies in Madame Justice Wilson’s Analysis of The Right to Liberty in <i>R v. Morgentaler, Smoling and Scott</i>	
1 Introduction	61
2 The <i>Morgentaler</i> Case	62
3 “Discovery” as The Style of Wilson’s Analysis of The Right to Liberty	63
4 “Discovery” as Both a Justificatory and a Rhetorical Strategy	66
4.1 The Role of “Discovery” in Portraying Wilson in a Favourable Light	67
4.2 The Role of “Discovery” in Evoking Emotions in The Reader	67
4.3 The Role of “Discovery” in Presenting a “Logical” Argument	68
5 Shaping and Controlling The Reader’s Questions: Leading The Reader to Ask The Right Questions	69
6 Shaping and Controlling The Reader’s Answers: Leading The Reader to The Right Definition	71
7 A Woman’s Point of View as A Justificatory Strategy	73
7.1 Women’s Decision-Making	74
7.2 Women’s Rights	76
8 Conclusion	78
4 Investigating “Discovery” in The Arbitration Process	
1 Introduction	80
2 The Dispute	80
3 The Arbitrator’s Process of Discovery	81
3.1 Searching For and Discovering The Overarching Question	82
3.2 Searching For and Discovering A Method to Answer The Overarching Question	82
3.2.1 Searching For & Discovering The Key Question	82
3.2.2 Testing The Key Question	82
3.2.3 Searching For A Method to Answer the Key Question	83
3.2.4 Searching For and Discovering A Method to Test The Answers to The Key Question	84
3.2.5 Discovering An Answer to The Key Question	86
3.3 Discovering The Answer to The Overarching Question	86
4 “Discovery” as A Problem-Solving Process	86
5 Introspection	89
6 Conclusion	89
Appendix A	91
Appendix B	92
5 Bernard Lonergan on Insight in Theoretical and Practical Reasoning	
1 Introduction	93
2 Insight as an Act of Discovery or Invention in Solving Problems and Answering Questions	/ 95

3	Insight in Theoretical and Practical Reasoning	97
4	Loneragan's Method of Examining Theoretical and Practical Reasoning	101
5	The Elements of Theoretical and Practical Reasoning	103
6	Conclusion	106
6	"Discovery" in Theoretical Problem-Solving	
1	Introduction	107
2	Theoretical Problem-Solving	109
3	Elements in The Understanding Phase of Theoretical Problem-Solving	110
3.1	<i>Sense-experience</i>	111
3.2	<i>Wondering, Puzzling and Asking What-questions</i>	111
3.3	<i>Direct Insight - The Act of Discovery in The Understanding Phase</i>	114
3.4	<i>Formulation</i>	119
4	Elements in The Testing Phase of Theoretical Problem-Solving	120
4.1	<i>Is-questions</i>	121
4.2	<i>Reflective Insight - The Act of Discovery in The Testing Phase</i>	122
4.3	<i>Judgments of Fact</i>	126
5	Conclusion	128
7	"Discovery" in Practical Problem-Solving	
1	Introduction	131
2	Elements in The Understanding Phase of Practical Problem-Solving	133
2.1	<i>Wondering and Puzzling about What to do</i>	133
2.2	<i>Practical Insight - The Act of Discovery in The Understanding Phase</i>	133
2.3	<i>Proposed Courses of Action</i>	134
3	Elements in The Testing Phase of Solving Practical Problems	135
3.1	<i>Is-questions</i>	136
3.2	<i>Practical Reflective Insight - The Act of Discovery in The Testing Phase</i>	137
3.3	<i>Judgments of Value</i>	138
4	Decision/Choice	139
5	Conclusion	140
8	Legal Reasoning in a New Context	
1	Introduction	143
2	Introspection, Question, Insight	143
2.1	<i>The Process of Introspection</i>	143
2.2	<i>Questions and Insights</i>	146
3	Formulation, Expression, Rhetoric, Axiomatics	150
4	Functional Specialties	159
4.1	<i>The Past Phase</i>	164
4.2	<i>The Future Phase</i>	165
5	Conclusion	167