Contents

Preface	xvii
PART I DECONSTRUCTING LEGAL ANALYSIS: LEARNING HOW TO THINK LIKE A LAWYER	1
Chapter 1 Introduction	3
Chapter 2	
The Traditional "Darwinian" Approach	7
A. Introduction	7
B. "Swim"	7
C. Pierson v. Post	8
D. Read, Analyze, and Be Prepared to Discuss	12
1. Read	12
2. Analyze	12
3. Be Prepared to Discuss	13
E. Case Briefing	13
F. Conclusion	14
Chapter 3	
The Evolution of a Case from an Analytical Perspective	15
A. The Genesis of a Case	15
B. The Role of the Lawyer	17
C. The "Vertical" Analytical Evolution of a Case	18
1. The Factual Plane	18
2. The Rule Plane	19
D. Pre-Trial	21
E. The Trial	23
F. The Appeal	26
Chapter 4	
"Thinking Like a Lawyer"	29
A. A Conceptual Understanding	29
B. Ranking the Planes	30
1. Which Plane Is the Most Important?	30

2. Which Plane Is the Second Most Important?	32
3. Which Plane Is the Least Important?	32
Chapter 5	
Re-analyzing Pierson v. Post	35
A. Appellate Opinion = Question of Law	35
B. Find the Answer—The Rule of Law	36
C. Find the Rationale for the Rule: The Public Policy	38
1. Did the Court Get It Right?	40
2. Justice and Fairness	41
D. The Holding	44
E. The Rationale for the Holding	44
1. Analyze Each Element	44
2. See Both Sides	44
F. Recap	46
G. Get Comfortable with the Rule	46
1. The Scope of the Rule	47
2. The Sharpness of the Rule	48
H. Conclusion	49
Chapter 6	
Briefing a Case from an Analytical Perspective	51
A. Introduction	51
B. Typical Brief	51
C. Why You Should Brief Your Cases	53
D. How to Brief	55
1. Identify the Case	55
2. The Facts	55
3. Procedural Posture of the Case	56
4. Issue	56
5. Rule Statement	59
6. Public Policy Considerations	61
7. Holding	62
8. Rationale	63
9. Critique/Analysis	65
10. Dissenting Opinion	65
E. Conclusion	67
Chapter 7	
The "What if" Game	69
A. The Socratic Approach	69
B. The "What if" Game	70
1. "What if" — First Hypothetical	70
2. "What if" — Second Hypothetical	71
3. "What if" — Third Hypothetical	73
4. "What if" — Fourth Hypothetical	75
C. Conclusion	76

	Contents	
Chapter 8		
Introspective Legal Analysis: Russian Dolls		79
A. Introduction		79
B. Adverse Possession — Background		79
C. Howard v. Kunto		80
D. Analyzing Howard v. Kunto		82
1. The Evolution of the Case		82
2. The Question of Law on Appeal		83
3. The Larger Analytical Process		83
4. Issues Within the Issue		84
5. Visualizing the Application Process		84
6. The Spectrum: Bright Line Rules vs. Soft, Fact-Sensitive Rules		86
7. Howard v. Kunto—Application of Adverse Possession		88
8. Analysis of Typical Student Analysis		90
E. Legal Analysis—It Depends		91
F. Conclusion		92
PART II THE EXAM TAKING PROCESS Chapter 9 Complete the Circles See the Big Dieture		93
Complete the Circle: See the Big Picture		33
Chapter 10		
Writing Style: Write Like a Judge]	101
Chapter 11		
How to "Analyze" an Issue: IRAC vs. IRRAC]	103
A. Introduction	1	103
B. See the Relationship Between Your Outline and the Exam		104
C. IRAC vs. IRRAC		106
D. Writing Your Analysis		109
E. Different Types of IRRACs: Variations on a Theme		114
1. Split in the Jurisdictions as to the Rule]	114
2. Split in the Jurisdictions as to the Element	1	115
F. Competing Rules		116
1. Affirmative Defenses]	116
G. Writing Your Outline]	117
H. The Quality of Your Analysis vs. The Quality of Your Writing]	120

123

123

123

124

128

Chapter 12

A. Issue Spotting—Why?

B. Issue Spotting—How?

C. The Triggering Fact

The Circuitry Behind Issue Spotting

D. Triggering Facts vs. Set-Up Facts

xiii

Chapter 13	
The Macro Organization of Your Exam Essay	131
A. Introduction	131
B. "Sliders"—The Parallel "Legal" World	131
C. General Rule—Take the Issues in Chronological Order	133
D. Call of the Question: "Would" vs. "Should" vs. "State of Nirvana"	135
Chapter 14	
Multiple Choice Questions: Mini-Essays	137
A. The Analytical Options	137
B. Analyze as if It Were an Essay	138
C. Use the "Other" Answers to Check Your Analysis	139
D. Watch Your Time	139
Chapter 15	
Post-Exam Blues: How to Self-Diagnose Your Exam	
Performance	141
A. Introduction	141
B. Typical Professorial Feedback	141
C. There Are Only Two Ways You Can Lose Points on an Exam	142
D. Diagnosing Your Exam Writing Style	143
E. Issue Spotting Exercises — Triggering Facts	144
F. Compare your Multiple Choice vs. Essay Grades	145
G. Don't Kill the Messenger, Instead Spend Some Time with Him or Her	146
PART III	
TIME TO TAKE OFF THE TRAINING WHEELS:	
VARIATIONS ON THE LEGAL ANALYSIS THEME	147
Chapter 16	
Extrospective Legal Analysis: Case Synthesis	149
A. Conceptual Overview	149
B. Referencing a Prior Case	150
1. Citing to a Case	150
2. Discussing a Case: Binding vs. Persuasive Authority	150
3. Same Case vs. Different Case	151
C. Case Synthesis	153
D. Example of Case Synthesis: Kelly v. Gwinnell	154
E. Analyzing the Court's Opinion	162
1. How It Is Written	162
a. Issue Statement	162
b. "Question of First Impression"	163
2. How the Court Analyzed the Issue—Case Synthesis	163
a. Overview	163
b. The Backdrop	164
c. Articulating the Public Policy Consideration	164

	 d. Transitioning Back to the Legal Plane e. The Analytical Steps Inherent in Case Synthesis 3. Recap of Case Synthesis in the context of <i>Kelly v. Gwinnel</i> 4. Case Synthesis: Skill or Art? F. What If 1. First Hypothetical 2. Analysis of First Hypothetical 3. Second Hypothetical 4. Analysis of Second Hypothetical 	7	165 166 171 172 172 175 176
	hapter 17		
	egal Analysis and Statutory Construction		179
	A. Introduction		179
	B. Theoretical Perspective		179
	C. More Practical Perspective		180
	D. The Legislative Intent Approach		180
	E. The Textualist Approach		182
	F. The Realist/Pragmatic Approach		182
	G. The Three Planes		183
C	ART IV CONCLUSION		185
	hapter 18 Iiscellaneous Law School and Exam Taking Tips		187

Index

Contents

193