

Contents

<i>Table of cases</i>	x
<i>Table of legislation</i>	xv
Introduction	1
The Tampa tantrum	2
The Bali bombers	3
Guantanamo Bay and the Australian ‘Taliban’	5
Meanwhile . . .	6
This book	6
1. Defining retrospectivity	9
1.1 Introduction	9
1.2 A historical overview of retrospectivity	9
1.3 The broad definition	17
1.4 The narrow definition	19
1.5 Capturing what we mean by ‘retrospectivity’	21
1.6 Applying the definition	23
1.7 Threshold points—legislation	30
1.8 Threshold points—judicial law making	36
1.9 Conclusion	37
2. The Rule of Law	39
2.1 Introduction	39
2.2 The meaning of the Rule of Law	39
2.3 Coke	41
2.4 The Dicean tradition	42
2.5 Fleshing out the epigram—twentieth century ‘thin theories’	45
2.6 Broader definitions—‘thick theories’ of the Rule of Law	49
2.7 A preference for ‘thin theories’ of the Rule of Law	52
2.8 The reach of the Rule of Law	55
2.9 Relevant elements of the Rule of Law	56
2.10 Criticisms of the Rule of Law	59
2.11 Conclusion	63
3. Arguments against retrospective laws	65
3.1 Introduction	65

3.2	First objection: retrospective laws are not laws at all	65
3.3	Retrospective laws are undemocratic	68
3.4	Retrospective laws violate human rights	74
3.5	Retrospective legislation and the rule of law	77
3.6	Re-reading the expectations objection: unconscionable legislative conduct	98
3.7	Conclusion	101
4.	Retrospective legislation	103
4.1	Introduction	103
4.2	Curative legislation	104
4.3	Beneficial legislation	118
4.4	Subordinate legislation	121
4.5	Procedural legislation	122
4.6	Retrospective criminal legislation	132
4.7	War crimes legislation	141
4.8	Retrospective taxation law	147
4.9	Legislation by press release	156
4.10	Conclusion	164
5.	Retrospective judicial law-making	165
5.1	Introduction: Different conceptions of judging and the role of reliance	165
5.2	Overruling: Broad constraining principles in the House of Lords, High Court of Australia and the United States Supreme Court	171
5.3	House of Lords examples	176
5.4	Australian High Court examples	186
5.5	United States Supreme Court examples	195
5.6	Extending or distinguishing decisions	205
5.7	Overruling lower courts	208
5.8	Judicial techniques to limit the retrospective effects of decisions	209
5.9	What principles should apply in limiting the retrospective effect of judicial decisions?	217
5.10	European echoes	224
5.11	Conclusion	225
6.	Justifications for retrospective law	229
6.1	Introduction	229

6.2	Retrospective law as necessary evil	229
6.3	The 'better rule' justification	232
6.4	The 'better authority' justification	234
6.5	The 'efficiency' justification	236
6.6	The 'fairness' justification	241
6.7	Some examples	243
6.8	Principles for justified retrospectivity	247
6.9	Conclusion	256
7.	Conclusions and consequences for the Rule of Law	257
7.1	Introduction	257
7.2	Retrospectivity as an inevitable and justified part of law making in a well-ordered society under law	257
7.3	What does this mean for the Rule of Law?	266
7.4	Preventing abuse	274
7.5	The international dimension	284
7.6	Concluding remarks	287
	<i>Bibliography</i>	289
	<i>Index</i>	299