

CONTENTS

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Philosophy of Law in Central & Eastern Europe: A Sketch of History [1999]	11
Philosophising on Law in the Turmoil of Communist Take-over in Hungary (Two Portraits, Interwar and Postwar: JULIUS MOÓR and ISTVÁN LOSONCZY) [2001–2002] JULIUS MOÓR [23] ISTVÁN LOSONCZY [29]	23
On the Survival of ILMAR TAMMELO's Letter and Manuscript Addressed to Professor MOÓR [2009]	41
Professional Distress and Scarcity: ALEXANDER HORVÁTH and the Legacy of Natural Law in Hungary [2005]	45
Hungarian Legal Philosophy in the 20 th Century [2011]	51
I. THE PRE-WAR PERIOD [52] 1. Bódog (Felix) Somló (1871–1920) [52] II. THE INTER-WAR PERIOD [55] 2. Gyula (Julius) Moór (1888–1950) [54] 3. Barna Horváth (1896–1973) [55] 4. József Szabó (1909–1992) [57] 5. István Bibó (1911–1979) [58] 6. Tibor Vas (1911–1983) [59] 7. István Losonczy (1918–1980) [60] III. THE POST-WAR PERIOD (COMMUNISM) [661] 8. Imre Szabó (1912–1991) [62] 9. Vilmos Peschka (1929–2006) [63] 10. Kálmán Kulcsár (1928–2010) [65] IV. CONTEMPORARY TRENDS AND PERSPECTIVES [66] 11. Csaba Varga (b. 1941) [66] 12. András Sajó (b. 1949) [69] 13. Béla Pokol (b. 1950) [70] V. OUR UNDERSTANDING OF THE LAW TODAY [71]	

AN IMPOSED LEGACY

Looking back [1999]	75
1. On Ideologies and MARXism in general [75] 2. Life of an Intellectual in Communism [79] 3. On MARXism and its Socialist Cultivation in Particu- lar [82] 4. Legal Philosophising [87] 4.1. <i>Approaches to Law</i> [87] 4.2. <i>Ar- riving at a Legal Ontology</i> [91] 5. Conclusion [94]	

Legal Philosophy of the MARXism of Socialism:

Hungarian Overview in an International Perspective [2003]

95

I. DEVELOPMENT AND BALANCE OF MARXIST PHILOSOPHISING ON LAW IN HUNGARY [96] 1. Preliminaries (until 1948) [96] 2. STALINism (from the Soviet Occupation on) [98] a) *Liquidation of the »Residues«* [98] b) *Soviet-type Uniformisation [Gleichschaltung]* [99] c) *Denial of the Past, with a Dual Effect* [99] d) *»Socialist Legality«, Drawn from the Bolshevik Memories of the Progressive Past of Western Europe* [103] e) *Search for the Germs of Scholarly Evolution* [103] 3. Institutionalisation Accompanied by Relaxation (from the 1960s) [104] a) *Epigonism Becoming the Scholarly Ideal* [104] b) *STALINism in a Critical Self-perspective* [105] c) *Disciples Diversified Launching their own Trends* [107] d) *Comparatism* [110] e) *(Re)discovery of the Western Legal Philosophy as a Competitor* [112] f) *A Leading Mediatory Role within the »Socialist World Order«* [114] 4. Disintegration (in the 1980s) [115] a) *Attempt at Laying New Foundations for MARXism with Epigonism Exhausted* [115] b) *Competitive Trends Becoming Exclusive* [115] c) *Western Legal Philosophy Acknowledged as a Fellow-traveller within the Socialist Orbit Proper* [116] d) *Hungarian Legal Theory Transforming into a National Corpus* [118] e) *The Practical Promotion of Some Balance* [119] 5. End-game of Legal Theorising as Substitute for a State Religion (in the 1990s) [120] II. MARXIST LEGAL PHILOSOPHISING IN AN INTERNATIONAL PERSPECTIVE [122] Ad 1: To the Preliminaries [122] Ad 2: To STALINism [124] Ad 3: To Institutionalisation Accompanied by Relaxation [125] a) *Late Separation from VYSHINSKY's Theory* [125] b) *From Ideological Self-closure to an Apparently Scholarly Openness* [127] c) *From Political Ideology to Genuine Scholarship* [130] d) *International Recognition of Socialist Jurisprudence as an Independent Trend* [135] e) *Together with Western Trends* [137] Ad 4: To Disintegration [139] a) *Loss of Attraction as Mere Epigonism* [139] b) *Exclusivity of Competing Directions* [139] c) *Fellowship with »Bourgeois« Trends* [140] d) *An own Trend, Internationally Recognised* [141] e) *A yet Progressive Role* [143] Ad 5: To the Present state [000] III. A TEMPORARY BALANCE [145]

Autonomy and Instrumentality of Law from a Superstructural Perspective [1986]

151

1. The Strange Fate of Concepts [151] I. A RELATIONAL CATEGORY [154] 2. Base and Superstructure: The Original Meaning [154] 3. Exerting Social Influence as a Conceptual Minimum [156] 4. Relationships within the Prevailing Totality [158] 5. Attempts at Interpretation in Hungary [159] 6. The Lukácsian Stand [162] 7. Lukács's Recognitions [168] 8. Some Criticism [169] II. THE LAW'S UNDERSTANDING [171] 9. Law Interpreted as Superstructure [171] 10. Conclusions Drawn for the Law's Understanding [173]

Legal Theory in Transition (A Preface from Hungary) [2000]	177
Development of Theoretical Legal Thought in Hungary at the Turn of the Millennium [2006]	187
1. International Environment [188] 2. The Situation in Hungary [190] 3. Outlook I: The Historico-comparative Study of Legal Cultures and of the Legal Mind [203] 4. Outlook II: The Paradigmatic Enigma of the Transition to Rule of Law [207] 5. Incongruity in Practice [213] 6. Perspectives [214]	

TWENTIETH CENTURY CONTEMPORANEITY

Change of Paradigms in Legal Reconstruction: CARL SCHMITT and the Temptation to Finally Reach a Synthesis [2002]	219
1. Dangers of Intellectualism [219] 2. SCHMITT in Facts [221] 3. SCHMITT and KELSEN [222] 4. On Bordering Conditions [226] 5. With KELSEN in Transubstantiation [230] 6. Polarisation as the Path of Theoretical Deve- lopment [232]	
KELSENian Documents in Hungary: Chapters on Contacts, Including the Genesis of <i>Autobiography</i> [2006]	235
1. Preludes [235] 2. The Search for MOÓR's Bequeath [235] 3. MOÓR's Collegiality [238] 4. BIBÓ as a Disciple Translating [241]	
The »HART-phenomenon« [2002]	245
I. THE HART-MIRACLE [246] 1. The Scene of Britain at the Time [247] 2. The Personal Career [250] 3. The Opus' Career [252] 4. Verbal Socio- logism [255] 5. Growing into the British Pattern [259] II. THE HART- PHENOMENON [260] 6. Origination of a Strange Orthodoxy [261] 7. Mas- tering Periods of the 20 th Century [263] 8. Raising the Issue of Reception in Hungary [265]	
Literature? A Substitute for Legal Philosophy? [2007]	269
1. The Enigma of Law and its Study [269] 2. "Law and Literature" [271] 3. Varieties of "Law and Literature" [247] 4. The German Study of Artistic Representations [287] 5. Some Literary Reconsiderations [285] 6. Con- clusion [287]	

APPENDIX

The Philosophy of Teaching Legal Philosophy in Hungary [2007]	291
I. WHY AND HOW TO PHILOSOPHISE IN LAW? [291] II. THE STATE OF TEACHING LEGAL PHILOSOPHY [294] III. THE PHILOSOPHY OF TEACHING LEGAL PHILOSOPHY [296] IV. PROGRAMME AT THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF HUNGARY [300] 1. Graduate Studies [300] a) <i>Basic Subjects</i> [301] b) <i>Facultative Seminars</i> [305] c) <i>Closing Subjects</i> [309] d) <i>Written Memoranda and the Thesis</i> [312] 2. Postgraduate Studies [313] 3. Conclusion [317] V. PERSPECTIVES [318]	
Index of Subjects	321
Index of Normative Materials	328
Index of Names	329