

| | | |
|-----|--|-----|
| 6.3 | Full democracies in contrast to flawed democracies | 2.3 |
| 6.4 | Defective democracies: potential weaknesses | 2.4 |

CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| 88 | Comparative political science and the study of democracy | Why? |
| 3.1 | The academic debate on the importance of the study of democracy | 3.1 |
| 3.2 | Omissions in the study of democracy | 3.2 |
| 3.3 | Comparing democracies: full, flawed and defective | 3.3 |
| 4 | Thinking about democracy: the origins of the ideal | |
| 7.1 | Democracy and the role of political elites | 37 |
| 7.2 | Indirect democracy: selecting accountable leadership | 38 |
| 7.3 | Elitism versus pluralism: power concentration or dispersion? | 88 |
| 7.4 | From ideas to design | 43 |
| | The scope and limits of liberal democracy | |
| | The enlightenment and the concept of the democratic state | 44 |
| | John Stuart Mill and liberal democracy | 47 |
| | The roots of liberal democracy | 44 |
| | Work in progress | 50 |

| | |
|-----------------|------|
| List of tables | xiii |
| List of figures | xiv |
| List of boxes | xv |
| Preface | xvi |

| | | |
|-----|---|---|
| 1 | Prologue: democracy in the 21st century | 1 |
| 1.1 | Democracies in peril: backsliding and de-democratisation | 1 |
| 1.2 | The concept of democraticness: proto-types | 2 |
| 1.3 | Levels of democraticness: the yard-stick for comparison | 3 |
| 1.4 | The storyline as a "road trip": democratisation and political decay | 5 |
| 1.5 | Value-free and value-related knowledge: a realist position | 9 |

| | | |
|---|--|----|
| PART 1 | | |
| Studying democracy: detecting flaws, defects and perils | | 13 |

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 2 | Reversing trends in "democraticness" | 15 |
| 2.1 | Democracy in peril? | 15 |
| 2.2 | Are "full" democracies indeed reverting? | 18 |

| | | |
|---|--|-----------|
| 2.3 | <i>Full democracies in contrast to flawed and defective democracies</i> | 20 |
| 2.4 | <i>Perilous developments: potential reasons and questions to ask</i> | 24 |
| 3 | Comparative political science and the study of democracy | 29 |
| 3.1 | <i>The academic debate: room for improvement</i> | 29 |
| 3.2 | <i>Omissions in the study of democratic politics</i> | 32 |
| 3.3 | <i>Comparing democratic states: full, flawed and defective polities</i> | 37 |
| 4 | Thinking about democracy: the origins of the liberal model | 43 |
| 4.1 | <i>From ideas to design</i> | 43 |
| 4.2 | <i>The enlightenment and the concept of the democratic state</i> | 44 |
| 4.3 | <i>John Stuart Mill and liberal democracy</i> | 47 |
| 4.4 | <i>The roots of liberal democracy laid out: still work in progress</i> | 50 |
| PART 2 | | |
| Pathways towards liberal Democratisation | | 53 |
| 5 | Designing liberal democracy: institutionalising the polity | 55 |
| 5.1 | <i>Constitutional trajectories toward "representative" governance</i> | 55 |
| 5.2 | <i>Missing dimensions, institutional progress and constraints</i> | 58 |
| 5.3 | <i>The shaping of the polity towards liberal democracy</i> | 60 |
| 5.4 | <i>Considerations on the road to liberal democratisation</i> | 64 |
| 6 | Towards universal suffrage and representation of the people | 68 |
| 6.1 | <i>Electoral inclusiveness and fair representation</i> | 68 |
| 6.2 | <i>Finding the optimal solution to fair and effective representation</i> | 69 |

| | | |
|---------------|---|-----|
| 6.3 | <i>The effects of electoral systems: inclusiveness and pluriform representation</i> | 75 |
| 6.4 | <i>Institutional engineering: the choice of an optimal electoral system</i> | 78 |
| 7 | Who governs at the end of the day in a democracy? | 83 |
| 7.1 | <i>Power distribution and indirect democracy: inevitable or containable?</i> | 83 |
| 7.2 | <i>Democracy and selected leadership: the role of political elites</i> | 84 |
| 7.3 | <i>Indirect democracy: selecting accountable leadership</i> | 86 |
| 7.4 | <i>Elitism versus pluralism: power concentration or dispersion?</i> | 88 |
| 7.5 | <i>The scope and limits of liberal democracy</i> | 90 |
| PART 3 | | |
| | Contemporary approaches to the development of democracy | 97 |
| 8 | Polyarchy and pluralism: waves of democratisation | 99 |
| 8.1 | <i>Polyarchy: the route to “full” democracy?</i> | 99 |
| 8.2 | <i>Pathways of democratisation: sequences towards polyarchy</i> | 100 |
| 8.3 | <i>Waves of democratisation: path dependencies and critical junctures</i> | 103 |
| 8.4 | <i>The second wave of democratisation and reversal (1920–1939)</i> | 106 |
| 8.5 | <i>Post-war waves towards polyarchy and beyond</i> | 109 |
| 8.6 | <i>Conceptual considerations on polyarchy</i> | 111 |
| 9 | Consensus democracy: the alternative to polyarchy? | 116 |
| 9.1 | <i>Cleavage theory and the coming about of consensus democracy</i> | 116 |
| 9.2 | <i>Institutional engineering a peaceful polity: consensus democracy</i> | 118 |
| 9.3 | <i>Consensus democracy and a better and kinder society</i> | 121 |

| | | |
|---------------|--|------------|
| 9.4 | <i>Considerations on the theory of consensus democracy</i> | 123 |
| 10 | Parties and democracy: does politics matter? | 129 |
| 10.1 | <i>Politics – polity – policy and democracy</i> | 129 |
| 10.2 | <i>Complexities of democracy: political parties and policy performance</i> | 133 |
| 10.3 | <i>Electoral politics and parties in competition and government</i> | 137 |
| 10.4 | <i>To what extent does democratic politics matter?</i> | 139 |
| PART 4 | | |
| | The liberal model as a yardstick of full democracy? | 145 |
| 11 | Ranking the stars of democraticness | 147 |
| 11.1 | <i>The end of history or political decay?</i> | 147 |
| 11.2 | <i>Liberal democracy: the paradigm to follow? Ranking the stars</i> | 149 |
| 11.3 | <i>The state of democracy in the 21st century</i> | 152 |
| 11.4 | <i>Towards fuller democracies or the end of the liberal model?</i> | 156 |
| 12 | The limits of the liberal democratic model | 160 |
| 12.1 | <i>From liberal to full democracy? Variations of democraticness</i> | 160 |
| 12.2 | <i>The paradigm of liberal democracy contested: toward a fuller democracy?</i> | 161 |
| 12.3 | <i>Challenging liberal democracy: reforming the system</i> | 163 |
| 12.4 | <i>The state of the liberal model: embeddedness or moving away?</i> | 166 |
| 12.5 | <i>The limits to liberal democracy</i> | 170 |
| 13 | Signs of backsliding: illiberalism and populism | 176 |
| 13.1 | <i>“Illiberalism” and “anti-pluralism” and the level of democraticness</i> | 176 |
| 13.2 | <i>Anti-pluralism and the impact of populism</i> | 179 |

- 13.3 *The growth of anti-democratic tendencies: illiberalism and populism* 181
- 13.4 *Backsliding towards defective democracy* 185

PART 5

Liberal democracy, the national state and governing society 191

- 14 Macro-politics and micro-performance of the “state” 195
 - 14.1 *The problem-solving capability of the democratic state* 195
 - 14.2 *Public policy formation: political choice and policy performance* 198
 - 14.3 *Trust is hard to gain but easy to lose: output legitimacy* 202
- 15 Liberal democracy, legitimacy and stateness: micro performance 209
 - 15.1 *Democratic politics, public policy and societal performance* 209
 - 15.2 *The crisis-solving capacity of democratic state: coping with a pandemic* 210
 - 15.3 *Avoiding misery, inequalities and the pursuit of happiness?* 214
 - 15.4 *The democratic advantage and effective stateness as a sine qua non* 217
- 16 Legitimacy and the liberal democratic state in the 21st century 222
 - 16.1 *Democracy and the state: entering a new age* 222
 - 16.2 *Trust and confidence in institutions* 223
 - 16.3 *The rise of social media and its role in politics and society* 227
 - 16.4 *The battle for the people: mainstream party politics in disregard* 232
 - 16.5 *The shift to provocative parliamentarism: harbouring populist illiberalism* 234

| | | |
|------|--|-----|
| 17 | Epilogue: backsliding into the 21st century: outmoded or viable and resilient? | 241 |
| 17.1 | <i>Liberal democracy: state of affairs</i> | 241 |
| 17.2 | <i>Fear for autocratisation: institutional decay and anti-democratic ethos</i> | 244 |
| 17.3 | <i>Positive conditions and negative consequences of democraticness</i> | 246 |
| | <i>Index</i> | 251 |
| 11 | Ranking the stars of democraticness | 147 |
| 11.1 | The end of history or political decay? | 147 |
| 11.2 | Liberal democracy: the paradigm to follow? | 147 |
| 11.3 | The state of democracy in the 21st century | 147 |
| 11.4 | The micro-solving capability of liberal democracy | 147 |
| 12 | The limits of the liberal democratic paradigm | 161 |
| 12.1 | The democratic advantage and effective states | 161 |
| 12.2 | The paradigm of liberal democracy contested | 161 |
| 12.3 | Challenging liberal democracy | 161 |
| 12.4 | Democracy and the state: entering a new phase | 161 |
| 12.5 | Trust and confidence in institutions | 161 |
| 13 | Signs of backsliding | 161 |
| 13.1 | "Liberalism" and "neoliberalism" | 161 |
| 13.2 | Anti-pluralism and the impact of populism | 161 |