

# CONTENTS

## PART ONE · SELF-DEFEATING THEORIES

<b>CHAPTER 1 · THEORIES THAT ARE INDIRECTLY SELF-DEFEATING</b>	<b>3</b>
1 The Self-interest Theory	3
2 How S Can Be Indirectly Self-defeating	5
3 Does S Tell Us to Be Never Self-denying?	7
4 Why S Does Not Fail in Its Own Terms	11
5 Could It Be Rational to Cause Oneself to Act Irrationally?	12
6 How S Implies that We Cannot Avoid Acting Irrationally	13
7 An Argument for Rejecting S When It Conflicts with Morality	17
8 Why This Argument Fails	19
9 How S Might Be Self-Effacing	23
10 How Consequentialism Is Indirectly Self-defeating	24
11 Why C Does Not Fail in Its Own Terms	28
12 The Ethics of Fantasy	29
13 Collective Consequentialism	30
14 Blameless Wrongdoing	31
15 Could It Be Impossible to Avoid Acting Wrongly?	35
16 Could It Be Right to Cause Oneself to Act Wrongly?	37
17 How C Might Be Self-Effacing	40
18 The Objection that Assumes Inflexibility	43
19 Can Being Rational or Moral Be a Mere Means?	45
20 Conclusions	49
<b>CHAPTER 2 · PRACTICAL DILEMMAS</b>	<b>53</b>
21 Why C Cannot Be Directly Self-defeating	53
22 How Theories Can Be Directly Self-defeating	55
23 Prisoner's Dilemmas and Public Goods	56
24 The Practical Problem and its Solutions	62
<b>CHAPTER 3 · FIVE MISTAKES IN MORAL MATHEMATICS</b>	<b>67</b>
25 The Share-of-the-Total View	67
26 Ignoring the Effects of Sets of Acts	70
27 Ignoring Small Chances	73
28 Ignoring Small or Imperceptible Effects	75
29 Can There Be Imperceptible Harms and Benefits?	78
30 Overdetermination	82
31 Rational Altruism	83



<b>CHAPTER 4 · THEORIES THAT ARE DIRECTLY SELF-DEFEATING</b>	<b>87</b>
32 In Prisoner's Dilemmas, Does S Fail in Its Own Terms?	88
33 Another Weak Defence of Morality	91
34 Intertemporal Dilemmas	92
35 A Weak Defence of S	93
36 How Common-Sense Morality Is Directly Self-Defeating	95
37 The Five Parts of a Moral Theory	98
38 How We Can Revise Common-Sense Morality so that It Would Not Be Self-Defeating	100
39 Why We Ought to Revise Common-Sense Morality	103
40 A Simpler Revision	108
<b>CHAPTER 5 · CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>111</b>
41 Reducing the Distance between M and C	111
42 Towards a Unified Theory	112
43 Work to be Done	113
44 Another Possibility	114
 <b>PART TWO · RATIONALITY AND TIME</b>	
<b>CHAPTER 6 · THE BEST OBJECTION TO THE SELF-INTEREST THEORY</b>	<b>117</b>
45 The Present-aim Theory	117
46 Can Desires Be Intrinsically Irrational, or Rationally Required?	120
47 Three Competing Theories	126
48 Psychological Egoism	127
49 The Self-interest Theory and Morality	129
50 My First Argument	130
51 The S-Theorist's First Reply	132
52 Why Temporal Neutrality Is Not the Issue Between S and P	133
<b>CHAPTER 7 · THE APPEAL TO FULL RELATIVITY</b>	<b>137</b>
53 The S-Theorist's Second Reply	137
54 Sidgwick's Suggestions	137
55 How S Is Incompletely Relative	140
56 How Sidgwick Went Astray	141
57 The Appeal Applied at a Formal Level	142
58 The Appeal Applied to Other Claims	144
<b>CHAPTER 8 · DIFFERENT ATTITUDES TO TIME</b>	<b>149</b>
59 Is It Irrational to Give No Weight to One's Past Desires?	149
60 Desires that Depend on Value Judgements or Ideals	153
61 Mere Past Desires	156
62 Is It Irrational To Care Less About One's Further Future?	158
63 A Suicidal Argument	163
64 Past or Future Suffering	165
65 The Direction of Causation	168
66 Temporal Neutrality	170
67 Why We Should Not Be Biased towards the Future	174



68	Time's Passage	177
69	An Asymmetry	181
70	Conclusions	184
CHAPTER 9 · WHY WE SHOULD REJECT S		187
71	The Appeal to Later Regrets	187
72	Why a Defeat for Proximus is Not a Victory for S	188
73	The Appeal to Inconsistency	189
74	Conclusions	191
PART THREE · PERSONAL IDENTITY		
CHAPTER 10 · WHAT WE BELIEVE OURSELVES TO BE		199
75	Simple Teletransportation and the Branch-Line Case	200
76	Qualitative and Numerical Identity	201
77	The Physical Criterion of Personal Identity	202
78	The Psychological Criterion	204
79	The Other Views	209
CHAPTER 11 · HOW WE ARE NOT WHAT WE BELIEVE		219
80	Does Psychological Continuity Presuppose Personal Identity?	219
81	The Subject of Experiences	223
82	How a Non-Reductionist View Might Have Been True	227
83	Williams's Argument against the Psychological Criterion	229
84	The Psychological Spectrum	231
85	The Physical Spectrum	234
86	The Combined Spectrum	236
CHAPTER 12 · WHY OUR IDENTITY IS NOT WHAT MATTERS		245
87	Divided Minds	245
88	What Explains the Unity of Consciousness?	248
89	What Happens When I Divide?	253
90	What Matters When I Divide?	261
91	Why There Is No Criterion of Identity that Can Meet Two Plausible Requirements	266
92	Wittgenstein and Buddha	273
93	Am I Essentially My Brain?	273
94	Is the True View Believable?	274
CHAPTER 13 · WHAT DOES MATTER		281
95	Liberation From the Self	281
96	The Continuity of the Body	282
97	The Branch-Line Case	287
98	Series-Persons	289
99	Am I a Token or a Type?	293
100	Partial Survival	298
101	Successive Selves	302
CHAPTER 14 · PERSONAL IDENTITY AND RATIONALITY		307
102	The Extreme Claim	307
103	A Better Argument against the Self-interest Theory	312



104	The S-Theorist's Counter-Argument	315
105	The Defeat of the Classical Self-Interest Theory	317
106	The Immorality of Imprudence	318
<b>CHAPTER 15 · PERSONAL IDENTITY AND MORALITY</b>		<b>321</b>
107	Autonomy and Paternalism	321
108	The Two Ends of Lives	321
109	Desert	323
110	Commitments	326
111	The Separateness of Persons and Distributive Justice	329
112	Three Explanations of the Utilitarian View	330
113	Changing a Principle's Scope	332
114	Changing a Principle's Weight	334
115	Can It Be Right to Burden Someone Merely to Benefit Someone Else?	336
116	An Argument for Giving Less Weight to Equality	339
117	A More Extreme Argument	342
118	Conclusions	345
 <b>PART FOUR · FUTURE GENERATIONS</b>		
<b>CHAPTER 16 · THE NON-IDENTITY PROBLEM</b>		<b>351</b>
119	How Our Identity in Fact Depends on When We Were Conceived	351
120	The Three Kinds of Choice	355
121	What Weight Should We Give to the Interests of Future People?	356
122	A Young Girl's Child	357
123	How Lowering the Quality of Life Might Be Worse for No One	361
124	Why an Appeal to Rights Cannot Solve the Problem	364
125	Does the Fact of Non-Identity Make a Moral Difference?	366
126	Causing Predictable Catastrophes in the Further Future	371
127	Conclusions	377
<b>CHAPTER 17 · THE REPUGNANT CONCLUSION</b>		<b>381</b>
128	Is It Better If More People Live?	381
129	The Effects of Population Growth on Existing People	382
130	Overpopulation	384
131	The Repugnant Conclusion	387
<b>CHAPTER 18 · THE ABSURD CONCLUSION</b>		
132	An Alleged Asymmetry	391
133	Why the Ideal Contractual Method Provides No Solution	391
134	The Narrow Person-Affecting Principle	393
135	Why We Cannot Appeal to this Principle	395
136	The Two Wide Person-Affecting Principles	396
137	Possible Theories	401
138	The Sum of Suffering	406
139	The Appeal to the Valueless Level	412
140	The Lexical View	413
141	Conclusions	414



<b>CHAPTER 19</b>	<b>THE MERE ADDITION PARADOX</b>	<b>419</b>
142	Mere Addition	419
143	Why We Should Reject the Average Principle	420
144	Why We Should Reject the Appeal to Inequality	422
145	The First Version of the Paradox	425
146	Why We Are Not Yet Forced to Accept the Repugnant Conclusion	430
147	The Appeal to the Bad Level	432
148	The Second Version of the Paradox	433
149	The Third Version	438
<b>CONCLUDING CHAPTER</b>		<b>443</b>
150	Impersonality	443
151	Different Kinds of Argument	447
152	Should We Welcome or Regret My Conclusions?	449
153	Moral Scepticism	452
154	How both Human History, and the History of Ethics, May Be Just Beginning	453
<b>APPENDICES</b>		
A	A World Without Deception	457
B	How My Weaker Conclusion Would in Practice Defeat S	461
C	Rationality and the Different Theories about Self-Interest	464
D	Nagel's Brain	468
E	The Closest Continuer Schema	477
F	The Social Discount Rate	480
G	Whether Causing Someone to Exist can Benefit this Person	487
H	Rawlsian Principles	490
I	What Makes Someone's Life Go Best	493
J	Buddha's View	502
	Notes	505
	Bibliography	533
	Index of Names	541