

# CONTENTS

<i>Table of conventions and international documents</i>	<i>page xvi</i>
<i>Table of constitutions and statutes</i>	xvii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xix
Introduction	1
PART I Constitutional rights: scope and limitations	17
1 Constitutional rights: scope and the extent of their protection	19
A. The nature of the distinction	19
1. Scope and protection	19
2. The distinction in practice: the scope of freedom of expression and its protection	21
B. The centrality of the distinction	22
C. The distinction in comparative law	24
D. Three stages of constitutional judicial review	26
E. Absolute rights	27
1. Are there absolute constitutional rights?	27
2. The jurisprudence of absolute rights	29
3. Absolute rights turned relative	31
F. Relative rights	32
1. The nature of the relative constitutional right	32
2. Boundaries and limitations	32
G. Constitutional rights: prima facie or definite?	37
1. The problem presented	37
2. The prima facie constitutional right: Alexy's view	38
3. Definite constitutional rights that cannot be realized	39
H. Is there a constitutional right to commit a proportional crime?	42



1.	A constitutional right to steal?	42
2.	The criticism and a response	43
2	Determining the scope of constitutional rights	45
A.	The right's scope is determined by constitutional interpretation	45
1.	Constitutional interpretation	45
2.	Constitutional interpretation: a generous view	69
B.	The right's scope and public interest	75
1.	The proper role of public interest considerations	75
2.	Public interest as part of proportionality	76
C.	The scope of constitutional rights and the rights of others	80
1.	The proper role of "rights of others" considerations	80
2.	The "rights of others" and constitutional rights conflict	81
3	Conflicting constitutional rights	83
A.	Resolving the constitutional conflict at the sub-constitutional level	83
1.	A model of constitutional conflict	83
2.	Conflicts between constitutional rights and the rule of law	86
B.	Conflict between rule-shaped constitutional rights	86
C.	Conflict between principle-shaped constitutional rights	87
1.	The scope and validity of the conflicting rights are not affected	87
2.	The effect on the realization of the conflicting rights	89
3.	Interpretive balancing between principle-shaped constitutional rights	92
4.	Constitutional validity	93
5.	Conflicting rights with no implementing legislation	94
6.	Conflicting rights which lead to a conflict between the legislation which defines their realization	96
D.	A conflict between a principle-shaped right and a rule-shaped right	97
4	Limitation of constitutional rights	99
A.	Limitation and amendment of rights	99
B.	Limitation on rights	101
1.	Infringement and limitation on rights	101
2.	De minimis constitutional limitations	103



3.	Incidental limitations	105
4.	Waiving constitutional rights	106
5	Limiting constitutional rights by law	107
A.	The legality principle	107
1.	Legal authority to limit a right	107
2.	The authorization chain	108
B.	Statutory limitations	110
1.	Limitation by statute	110
2.	Limitation according to statute	111
C.	The legality principle and common law	118
1.	The constitution and common law	118
2.	The common law and the limitation clause	121
 <b>PART II Proportionality: sources, nature, function</b>		
6	The nature and function of proportionality	131
A.	The nature of proportionality	131
1.	Proportionality and its components	131
2.	Different methods of limiting constitutional rights	133
3.	The “silent constitution” and limitation of rights	134
4.	Specific limitation clauses	141
5.	General limitation clauses	142
6.	Hybrid limitation clauses	144
7.	The preferred regime: general, specific, or hybrid limitation clause?	145
B.	The formal role of proportionality	146
1.	Proportionality regarding validity and proportionality regarding meaning	146
2.	The constitutionality of limiting a constitutional right by a sub-constitutional law	147
3.	The reason behind the constitutional hierarchical relationship	149
4.	The effect on a constitutional right of a constitutional norm	152
5.	Limitation of a sub-constitutional norm by a lower sub-constitutional norm	155
6.	Limitation of a sub-constitutional norm by an equal-level norm	157
C.	The substantive role of proportionality	161
1.	Human rights and their limitation	161



2. Protecting human rights and recognizing the constitutionality of their limitations	165	
3. Both the right and its limitations stem from a shared source		166
4. The limits on constitutional limitations	166	
D. Limitation clause and the override	167	
1. The nature of the override	167	
2. The relationship between the limitation clause and the override	169	
7 The historical origins of proportionality	175	
A. Proportionality: in life and in the law	175	
1. On the philosophical origins of proportionality	175	
2. Proportionality and the Enlightenment	176	
3. Proportionality as counter-formalism	177	
4. The contribution of Carl Gottlieb Svarez	177	
B. The development of proportionality in German public law	178	
1. Proportionality in German administrative law, 1800–1933		178
2. The development of proportionality in German constitutional law post-Second World War	179	
C. The migration of proportionality from German law to European law	181	
1. European legal migration	181	
2. Proportionality and the European Convention on Human Rights	183	
3. Proportionality in the law of the European Union	184	
D. From European law to Western European states' law		186
E. From European law to Canada, Ireland, and England	188	
1. Canada	188	
2. Ireland	190	
3. United Kingdom	192	
F. From Canada to New Zealand and Australia	194	
1. New Zealand	194	
2. Australia	195	
G. From Canada and Germany to South Africa	197	
H. Proportionality migrates to Central and Eastern Europe	198	
I. Proportionality migrates to Asia and South America		199



1. Asia	199
2. South America	201
J. Proportionality and international human rights law	202
1. International and national human rights law	202
2. Proportionality and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights	203
3. Proportionality and international humanitarian law	204
K. Has proportionality arrived in America?	206
L. Proportionality in Israel	208
8 The legal sources of proportionality	211
A. Proportionality as a criterion for the realization of constitutional rights	211
1. The need for a constitutional entrenchment	211
2. The nature of the constitutional entrenchment	213
B. Proportionality and democracy	214
1. The relationship between democracy and proportionality: basic assumptions	214
2. First assumption: democracy is of a constitutional status	214
3. Second assumption: democracy includes human rights	218
4. Third assumption: democracy is based on a balance between constitutional rights and the public interest	220
5. Fourth assumption: balancing through limitation clauses	221
6. Fifth assumption: limitation clauses are based on proportionality	222
7. An assessment of democracy as a source of proportionality	226
C. Proportionality and the rule of law	226
1. The German approach	226
2. First assumption: the rule of law has a constitutional status	228
3. Second assumption: the rule of law includes human rights	230
4. Third assumption: the rule of law is based on a balance between constitutional rights and the public interest	232
5. Fourth assumption: the balancing is conducted through limitation clauses	232
6. Fifth assumption: limitation clauses are based on proportionality	233
7. An assessment of rule of law as a source of proportionality	234
D. Proportionality as intrinsic to the conflict between legal principles	234



E.	Proportionality and interpretation	238
F.	Legal sources summary: is proportionality a logical necessity?	240
PART III The components of proportionality		243
9	Proper purpose	245
A.	The proper purpose as a component of proportionality	245
1.	The nature of the proper purpose and its sources	245
2.	Proper purpose as a threshold requirement	246
B.	The elements of proper purpose	249
1.	The scope of the proper purpose	249
2.	The components of the proper purpose	251
C.	The proper purpose's content and the state's democratic values	251
1.	Democracy's minimum requirements	251
2.	Pertinent democratic values	253
3.	General criteria for determining the proper purpose	257
4.	The categories of proper purposes	260
D.	The urgency of proper purpose	277
1.	The problems with urgency	277
2.	Is "urgency" required?	278
3.	Criteria for determining urgency	279
E.	Identifying the proper purpose	285
1.	The purposes of the limiting law	285
2.	Subjective or objective test?	286
3.	The correct solution for identifying the proper purpose	298
10	Rational connection	303
A.	The nature of the rational connection test	303
1.	The content of the rational connection test	303
2.	The nature of the rational connection	305
3.	Rational connection and the means "designed to achieve" the proper purpose	306
4.	Rational connection and arbitrary means	307
B.	The rational connection test and factual uncertainty	308
1.	The problem of factual uncertainty	308
2.	The certainty of the rational connection: rejection of extreme approaches	309



3. Determining the factual basis required for the existence of the rational connection	310
4. Rational connection and the test of time	312
5. Rational connection: a threshold test	315
C. Is the rational connection test essential?	315
11 Necessity	317
A. Characteristics of the necessity test	317
1. The content of the necessity test	317
2. The nature of the necessity test	320
3. The elements of the necessity test	323
4. The necessity test and the purpose's level of abstraction	331
B. Means "narrowly tailored" to fulfill the law's purpose	333
1. The metaphors of the cannon and the sparrows	333
2. Overinclusiveness	335
C. The necessity test: an evaluation	337
1. The "heart and soul" of the proportionality test?	337
2. Necessity: an important test	337
12 Proportionality stricto sensu (balancing)	340
A. The characteristics of proportionality stricto sensu	340
1. The content of the test	340
2. The nature of the proportionality stricto sensu test	342
3. Proper relation: a balancing test	343
4. The uniqueness of the test	344
B. The rule of balancing	345
1. The centrality of balancing	345
2. Balancing and validity	346
3. The nature of the balancing	348
4. Balancing based on the importance of the benefits and the importance of preventing the harm	349
C. The basic balancing rule	362
1. The elements of the basic balancing rule	362
2. The components of the basic balancing rule and its justification	363
3. Balanced scales	365
4. The basic balancing rule and specific balancing	367



5. Principled balancing	370
13 Proportionality and reasonableness	371
A. From reasonableness to proportionality	371
B. The components of proportionality and reasonableness	372
1. The components of proportionality	372
2. The components of reasonableness	373
C. The relationship between proportionality and reasonableness	375
1. Degree of detailing	375
2. Balancing	377
14 Zone of proportionality: legislator and judge	379
A. The application of proportionality to the three branches of government: the issue of judicial review	379
1. Proportionality and the three branches of government	379
2. Proportionality, judicial review, and democracy	381
3. Proportionality and the separation of powers	384
B. Discretion and the components of proportionality	400
1. The decision to legislate	400
2. Determining purposes	401
3. Choosing the legislative means	405
4. The rational connection test	405
5. The necessity test	407
6. The proportionality <i>stricto sensu</i> test (balancing)	413
C. The zone of proportionality	415
1. Its nature	415
2. The zone of proportionality: legislator and judge	417
D. Margin of appreciation	418
1. Its nature	418
2. The margin of appreciation and the zone of proportionality	419
15 Proportionality and positive constitutional rights	422
A. Positive constitutional rights	422
1. The nature of positive constitutional rights	422
2. Positive constitutional rights in comparative law	423
3. The legal source of positive constitutional rights	425
4. Constitutional positive aspect and constitutional positive right	427



B.	Positive constitutional rights and proportionality's components	429
1.	Positive rights as relative rights	429
2.	The proper purpose component	430
3.	The rational connection component	432
4.	The necessity component	433
5.	The proportionality stricto sensu component	433
16	The burden of proof	435
A.	The issue presented	435
B.	The burden of proof: facts and law	436
C.	The burden of persuasion and the burden of producing evidence	437
D.	The first stage of constitutional review: a limitation of a constitutional right	437
E.	The second stage of constitutional review: justification of the limitation of a right	439
1.	The elements which make up the justification of the limitation of a right	439
2.	Comparative analysis	439
F.	The burden of persuasion during the second stage: on the party claiming the existence of a justification for the limitation	442
1.	The burden of persuasion and the status of human rights	442
2.	The counter-argument: the presumption of constitutionality	444
G.	The burden of producing evidence during the second stage: on the party arguing that the limitation is justified	447
1.	The basic approach	447
2.	The burden during the second stage and the status of human rights	447
3.	The burden of producing evidence and the burden of the claim that there is no less limiting alternative (necessity test)	448
4.	The burden of producing the evidence and the unique nature of the judicial process in constitutional matters	449
	<b>PART IV Proportionality evaluated</b>	<b>455</b>
17	Proportionality's importance	457
A.	Proportionality and its critique	457



B.	The emphasis on the need for rational justification	458
C.	The need for structured discretion	460
1.	The importance of structured discretion	460
2.	Transparency	462
3.	Appropriate considerations in proper context	463
4.	A dialogue between the legislature and the judiciary	465
D.	Proportionality and human rights theories	467
1.	Proportionality as a vessel for human rights theories	467
2.	Proportionality and liberalism	468
E.	Proportionality, democracy, and judicial review	472
1.	Proportionality and democracy	472
2.	Proportionality and judicial review	473
3.	Kumm's approach	475
4.	Beatty's approach	476
18	The criticism on proportionality and a retort	481
A.	The scope of the criticism on proportionality	481
B.	Internal criticism	482
1.	The nature of the internal criticism	482
2.	The lack of a standard by which proportionality can be examined	482
3.	The lack of rationality	484
C.	External criticism	487
1.	Too wide a judicial discretion	487
2.	Insufficient protection of constitutional rights	488
D.	Lack of judicial legitimacy	490
1.	The nature of the criticism	490
2.	A retort	491
19	Alternatives to proportionality	493
A.	Non-categorization-based alternatives	493
1.	Absolute rights	493
2.	Protecting the core of the constitutional right	496
3.	The dual model	499
B.	The categorization-based alternatives	502
1.	Categorization within the human rights discourse	502
2.	The nature of thinking in legal categories	503
3.	Constitutional rights in categorized thinking	505



4. Categorization and the two-stage model	507
5. Categorization and balancing	508
6. Categorization and human rights in American constitutional law	509
20 The future of proportionality	528
A. Regarding the need for renewal	528
B. The proper purpose component – future developments	529
1. Different approaches to proper purpose	529
2. The proper approach: the hierarchy of constitutional rights with regard to the purpose's importance	531
3. Proper purpose and protection of constitutional rights	533
C. The rational connection component	539
D. The necessity component: future developments	540
E. The proportionality stricto sensu component: future developments	542
1. The nature of principled balancing formulas	542
2. Principled balancing formulas: a comparative survey	545
<i>Bibliography</i>	548
<i>Index</i>	593