
Contents

Preface	xxxi
Acknowledgments	xxxiii
Editorial Notice	xxxix
The Constitution of the United States	xli
Biographical Notes on Selected U.S. Supreme Court Justices	lvii
The Supreme Court since 1789	lxxv

I

The Role of the Supreme Court in the Constitutional

Scheme

1

A. Introduction: Some Notes on the History and Theory of the Constitution	1
1. The Antifederalist Case	5
2. The Federalist Response	7
The Federalist No. 10 (Madison)	7
Note: Madisonian Republicanism	12
The Federalist No. 51 (Madison)	14
Note: Madisonian Republicanism, Checks and Balances, and Private Property	17
Note: Madisonian Republicanism and Contemporary Constitutionalism	19
B. The Basic Framework	21
<i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	21
Note: <i>Marbury v. Madison</i>	29
Note: Judicial Review, Democracy, and Some Notes on Interpretation	35

xi

	<i>Martin v. Hunter's Lessee</i>	44
	Note: Supreme Court Review of State Courts and State Laws	48
	Note: Judicial Exclusivity in Constitutional Interpretation?	50
C.	The Sources of Judicial Decisions: Text, "Representation- Reinforcement," and Natural Law	54
	<i>McCulloch v. Maryland</i>	54
	Note: Constitutional Methodology and Constitutional Interpretation in <i>McCulloch</i>	64
	<i>Calder v. Bull</i>	68
	Note: Natural Law and the Supreme Court	69
D.	The Power of Reprisal: Political Control of the Supreme Court	71
	Note: Amendment, Appointment, Impeachment, and the Election Returns	72
	<i>Ex parte McCordle</i>	77
	Note: Political Control over Jurisdiction of Article III Courts	78
	Note: The Power of Reprisal — General Thoughts	83
E.	"Case or Controversy" Requirements and the Passive Virtues	84
1.	Advisory Opinions	86
2.	Standing	87
	<i>Allen v. Wright</i>	87
	Note: The "Law" of Standing	94
3.	Political Questions	106
	<i>Baker v. Carr</i>	106
	<i>Davis v. Bandemer</i>	112
	Note: Political Questions	114
	Note: Questions of Timing — Ripeness and Mootness	123
F.	The Jurisdiction of the Supreme Court	125

II

The Powers of Congress

A.	Introduction	127
	Note: A Government of Enumerated Powers	127
	The Federalist No. 45 (Madison)	129
	The Federalist No. 46 (Madison)	130
	Note: Enforcing Enumeration as a Limitation on Power	132
	Note: The Values and Forms of Federalism	134

B.	The Classical View	140
	<i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	140
	Note: <i>Gibbons v. Ogden</i>	148
C.	Formalism and Realism in Interpreting the Commerce Clause to Limit and Authorize Congressional Action	151
	United States v. E. C. Knight Co.	152
	Houston, East & West Texas Railway v. United States (The Shreveport Rate Cases)	154
	Stafford v. Wallace	155
	Note: Direct, Indirect, and Stream of Commerce Tests	155
	<i>Champion v. Ames</i> (The Lottery Case)	157
	Note: Prohibiting Interstate Transportation — Proper Regulation or Improper Pretext?	162
	<i>Hammer v. Dagenhart</i> (The Child Labor Case)	163
	Note: Formalism, Realism, and Prohibiting Interstate Shipment	167
D.	The New Deal Crisis and the Rise of the Welfare State	167
	<i>A. L. A. Schechter Poultry Corp. v. United States</i>	168
	<i>Carter v. Carter Coal Co.</i>	172
	Note: New Deal Legislation and Commerce Clause Tests in the 1930s	178
	<i>NLRB v. Jones & Laughlin Steel Corp.</i>	181
	<i>Wickard v. Filburn</i>	186
	Note: The Adoption of the “Realist” Approach	189
	<i>United States v. Darby</i>	190
	Note: The Adoption of the “Formalist” Approach	193
E.	The Purported Demise of Judicially Enforced Federalism Limits on Congressional Power	194
	<i>Perez v. United States</i>	194
	Note: Congressional Processes and Federalism	197
	<i>Heart of Atlanta Motel v. United States</i>	200
	<i>Katzenbach v. McClung</i>	203
	Note: Federalism and Congressional Motivation	208
	<i>Garcia v. San Antonio Metropolitan Transit Authority</i>	209
	Note: From <i>National League of Cities</i> to <i>Garcia</i> and Beyond	221
	Note: Intergovernmental Tax Immunities	224
F.	Other Powers of Congress: Are They More (or Less) Plenary Than the Commerce Power?	225
	1. The War Power	226
	2. The Treaty Power	227
	<i>Missouri v. Holland</i>	227
	Note: Federalism and the Treaty Power	229
	3. The Taxing Power	230
	4. The Spending Power	232

	<i>United States v. Butler</i>	232
	Note: The Spending Power and Dual Federalism	239
	<i>Steward Machine Co. v. Davis</i>	239
	Note: Conditional Spending, Coercion, and the Political Process	242
5.	The Power to Enforce the Reconstruction Amendments	248
	<i>Katzenbach v. Morgan</i>	248
	Note: Congressional Power to Enforce or Interpret (?) the Constitution	253
	Note: Congressional Power to Regulate "Private" Action for Civil Rights Purposes	260
	Note: Concluding Observations on Congress's Powers	262

III

Judicial Efforts to Protect the Expansion of the Market against Assertions of Local Power

A.	The Fundamental Framework	265
	Note: The Classical View	265
	Note: The Modern View	270
B.	Protection against Discrimination	276
	Note: General Considerations	276
	<i>City of Philadelphia v. New Jersey</i>	280
	Note: Facial/Intentional Discrimination	285
	Note: Gerrymandering	289
	<i>Hunt v. Washington State Apple Advertising Commission</i>	289
	Note: Facially Neutral Statutes with Discriminatory Effects — Gerrymandering?	292
	Note: Other Doctrines Concerning Discrimination	293
1.	The Market Participant Doctrine	293
	<i>South-Central Timber Development v. Wunnicke</i>	293
	Note: The Market Participant Doctrine	298
2.	The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV	301
	<i>United Building & Construction Trades Council v. Camden</i>	301
	Note: The Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV	307
	Note: The Equal Protection Clause	308
	Note: Concluding Observations	310

	Note: Inferring Intent	310
	Note: Taxation of Interstate Commerce	312
C.	Facially Neutral Statutes with Significant Effects on Interstate Commerce	315
	<i>Exxon Corp. v. Governor of Maryland</i>	315
	Note: Facially Neutral Statutes with (Merely?) Disproportionate Effects for Commercial or Social Purposes	323
	<i>Kassel v. Consolidated Freightways Corp.</i>	328
	<i>South Carolina Highway Department v. Barnwell Brothers</i>	342
	<i>Southern Pacific Co. v. Arizona</i>	342
	Note: Facially Neutral Statutes with (Merely?) Disproportionate Effects for Police Power Purposes	344
D.	Preemption	348
	<i>Pacific Gas & Electric v. State Energy Resources Conservation Commission</i>	348
	Note: Preemption	353
	Note: Concluding Observations	356

IV

The Distribution of National Powers

A.	Introduction	359
	The Federalist No. 47 (Madison)	359
	The Federalist No. 48 (Madison)	360
	Note: The Theory of Separation and Checks and Balances	362
B.	Executive Authority	367
1.	The General Framework	367
	<i>Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer</i> (The Steel Seizure Case)	367
	Note: <i>Youngstown</i> and the Power of the President	379
	<i>Dames & Moore v. Regan</i>	383
	Note: Iranian Claims and Executive Power	386
2.	Presidential Power and Domestic Affairs	388
	Note: Impoundment, Law Enforcement, and the "Take Care" Clause	388
	<i>United States v. Nixon</i>	391
	Note: Executive Privilege	396
	Note: Impeachment	403
3.	Presidential Power and Foreign Affairs	405
	<i>United States v. Curtiss-Wright Corp.</i>	406

	Note: The President and Foreign Affairs	408
	Note: The Allocation of Warmaking Authority	409
C.	Legislative Authority	413
1.	The General Framework	413
2.	Congressional Power and Domestic Affairs	414
	Note: The Nondelegation Doctrine	415
	<i>INS v. Chadha</i>	420
	Note: The Legislative Veto	430
	Note: Where Do Administrative Agencies "Fit" in the Separation of Powers Scheme?	433
	<i>Bowsher v. Synar</i>	438
	<i>Morrison v. Olson</i>	444
	<i>Mistretta v. United States</i>	456
	Note: Congressional Control over Administrative Officials	460
3.	Congressional Power and Foreign Affairs	461
	<i>The War Powers Resolution</i>	462
	Note: The War Powers Resolution	465
	Note: The Boland Amendment and the Iran- Contra Affair	468
	Note: Distribution of National Powers — Final Thoughts	470

V

Equality and the Constitution 471

A.	Race and the Constitution	471
1.	Slavery and the Constitution	472
	<i>State v. Post</i>	473
	Note: Constitutional Attacks on Slavery	475
	<i>Dred Scott v. Sandford</i>	477
	Note: <i>Dred Scott</i> and the Power of Judicial Review	480
2.	Reconstruction and Retreat	481
	Note: The Work of the Reconstruction Congress	482
	Note: The Judicial Reaction	483
	<i>Plessy v. Ferguson</i>	488
	Note: Separate but Equal	490
3.	The Attack on Jim Crow	492
	Note: The NAACP's Legal Strategy	493
	<i>Missouri ex rel. Gaines v. Canada</i>	494
	Note: Separate but Equal between <i>Gaines</i> and <i>Brown</i>	495

	<i>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka</i> (Brown I)	497
	Note: Justifications and Explanations for <i>Brown v. Board of Education of Topeka</i> (Brown II)	499
	Note: "All Deliberate Speed"	504
4.	Southern Desegregation	505
	Note: The Response to <i>Brown v. Charlotte-Mecklenburg Board of Education</i>	507
	Note: <i>Swann</i> and the Collapse of Southern Resistance	512
5.	Northern Desegregation	516
	<i>Keyes v. School District No. 1, Denver, Colo.</i>	518
	Note: Northern Desegregation	522
6.	Desegregation and the Limits of Judicial Power	524
	Note: Potential Limits on the Duty to Desegregate	524
	Note: Final Thoughts on School Desegregation and the Efficacy of Judicial Review	530
B.	Equal Protection Methodology: Rational Basis Review	532
	<i>New York City Transit Authority v. Beazer</i>	533
	Note: The Structure of Equal Protection Review	536
	Note: The Means/Ends Nexus	538
	Note: The Problem of Judicial Review	541
	<i>Railway Express Agency v. New York</i>	541
	<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical</i>	543
	<i>Minnesota v. Clover Leaf Creamery Co.</i>	543
	Note: Deferential Review — Abdication or Self-Restraint?	545
	<i>City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center</i>	548
	<i>U.S. Railroad Retirement Board v. Fritz</i>	551
	Note: Equal Protection as a Tautology	555
	<i>U.S. Department of Agriculture v. Moreno</i>	556
	<i>Lyng v. International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace & Agricultural Workers</i>	558
	Note: Equality as a Limitation on Permissible Government Purposes	559
	Note: "Actual Purpose" Review	562
C.	Equal Protection Methodology: Heightened Scrutiny and the Problem of Race	565
1.	Race-Specific Classifications That Expressly Disadvantage Racial Minorities	566
	<i>Strauder v. West Virginia</i>	566
	<i>Korematsu v. United States</i>	568
	Note: Justifications for Special Scrutiny of Racial Classifications	572
	Note: The Nature of Special Scrutiny	579

2.	Non-Race-Specific Classifications That Disadvantage Racial Minorities	581
	<i>Washington v. Davis</i>	581
	Note: Rational Basis Review of Non-Race-Specific Classifications	584
	Note: Heightened Scrutiny for Improperly Motivated Classifications	587
	Village of Arlington Heights v. Metropolitan Housing Development Corp.	592
	<i>McClesky v. Kemp</i>	593
	Note: The Definition of Discriminatory Purpose	598
	Note: The Problem of Proof	600
3.	Race-Specific Classifications That Are Facially Neutral	605
	<i>Loving v. Virginia</i>	605
	<i>Washington v. Seattle School District No. 1</i>	607
	Crawford v. Board of Education	613
	Note: Strict Scrutiny for "Neutral" Race-Specific Classifications	615
4.	Race-Specific Classifications That Benefit Racial Minorities	617
	Regents of the University of California v. Bakke	618
	Fullilove v. Klutznick	627
	Note: The Constitutionality of "Benign" Racial Classifications	631
	Note: From <i>Fullilove</i> to <i>Croson</i> : The Employment Cases	641
	<i>City of Richmond v. J. A. Croson Co.</i>	649
	Metro Broadcasting Inc. v. Federal Communications Commission	670
	Note: The Future of Affirmative Action	674
D.	Equal Protection Methodology: Heightened Scrutiny and the Problem of Gender	676
1.	The Early Cases	676
2.	The Road to Intermediate Scrutiny	678
	Reed v. Reed	678
	Frontiero v. Richardson	678
	Note: From <i>Reed</i> to <i>Craig</i> — Evolution and Doctrinal Confusion	680
	<i>Craig v. Boren</i>	682
	Note: Heightened Scrutiny for Gender Classifications?	687
3.	Archaic and Overbroad Generalizations versus Real Differences	692
	<i>Michael M. v. Sonoma County Superior Court</i>	692
	Rostker v. Goldberg	696
	Note: Identifying and Defining "Real Differences"	699
4.	Benign Gender Classifications and Discrimination against Men	707

	<i>Califano v. Goldfarb</i>	707
	<i>Califano v. Webster</i>	712
	Note: The Problem of "Benign" Gender Classifications	713
	5. The Equal Rights Amendment	718
E.	Equal Protection Methodology: Other Candidates for Heightened Scrutiny	718
	1. Alienage	719
	<i>Sugarman v. Dougall</i>	720
	<i>In re Griffiths</i>	724
	Note: Strict Scrutiny for Aliens — Defining the Political Community	724
	Note: Alienage and Federal Preemption	729
	2. Nonmarital Children	732
	Note: Working with the <i>Levy/Glona</i> Test	734
	3. Wealth Classifications	741
	Note: Wealth Discrimination and the Problem of Affirmative Rights	746
	4. Other Disadvantaged Groups	752
	<i>City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center</i>	752
	Note: Evaluating the Claims of Other Disadvantaged Groups	753

VI

Implied Fundamental Rights

A.	Introduction	759
	Note: Theories of Constitutional Construction — "Originalism" and "Nonoriginalism"	759
B.	The Privileges or Immunities Clause	768
	<i>Slaughter-House Cases</i>	768
	Note: The Demise of the Privileges or Immunities Clause	774
C.	The Incorporation Controversy	777
	<i>Barron v. Mayor & City Council of Baltimore</i>	778
	<i>Murray v. Hoboken Land & Improvement Co.</i>	779
	<i>Twining v. New Jersey</i>	779
	<i>Palko v. Connecticut</i>	780
	<i>Adamson v. California</i>	781
	Note: The Black/Frankfurter Debate	782
	<i>Duncan v. Louisiana</i>	783
	Note: Incorporation since <i>Duncan</i>	784
D.	Substantive Due Process: The Protection of Economic Interests and the Problem of "Redistribution"	786
	Note: The Road to <i>Lochner</i>	787

	<i>Lochner v. New York</i>	790
	Note: The "Vices" of <i>Lochner</i>	796
	Note: The <i>Lochner</i> Era — 1905-1934	802
	<i>Nebbia v. New York</i>	804
	<i>West Coast Hotel Co. v. Parrish</i>	806
	Note: The End of an Era	807
	<i>United States v. Carolene Products Co.</i>	808
	<i>Williamson v. Lee Optical of Oklahoma</i>	810
	<i>Ferguson v. Skrupa</i>	810
	Note: Pluralism, Naked Wealth Transfers, and the Courts	811
E.	Fundamental Interests and the Equal Protection Clause	814
	<i>Skinner v. Oklahoma</i>	815
	Note: The Fundamental "Right to Have Offspring"	818
1.	Voting	820
	a. Denial of the "Right to Vote"	820
	<i>Harper v. Virginia State Board of Elections</i>	821
	Note: Is the Right to Vote "Fundamental"?	823
	<i>Kramer v. Union Free School District</i>	824
	Note: <i>Kramer</i> and Its Progeny	827
	b. Dilution of the "Right to Vote"	830
	<i>Reynolds v. Sims</i>	830
	Note: <i>Reynolds</i> and Its Progeny	835
	<i>City of Mobile v. Bolden</i>	840
	Note: Vote Dilution and the Interests of Groups	846
	<i>Davis v. Bandemer</i>	849
	c. Denial of "Access to the Ballot"	855
	<i>Williams v. Rhodes</i>	855
	Note: <i>Williams</i> and Its Progeny	856
2.	Access to the Judicial Process	859
	<i>Griffin v. Illinois</i>	860
	<i>Douglas v. California</i>	860
	Note: Fundamental Interests and the Criminal Justice System	862
	<i>Boddie v. Connecticut</i>	866
	Note: Access to the Judicial Process in Civil Cases	867
3.	Travel	870
	<i>Shapiro v. Thompson</i>	870
	Note: The Right to Travel as a "Fundamental Interest"	875
	Note: "Penalizing" the Right to Travel	877
4.	Welfare	883
	<i>Dandridge v. Williams</i>	886
	Note: <i>Dandridge</i> and the Judicial Role in the Welfare Context	887
5.	Education and the Continuing Effort to Define Fundamental Interests	889

	<i>San Antonio Independent School District v. Rodriguez</i>	889
	Note: The <i>Rodriguez</i> Reformulation	898
	<i>Plyler v. Doe</i>	900
	Note: <i>Plyler</i> and the Equal Protection Clause	906
F.	Modern Substantive Due Process: Privacy, Personhood, and Family	908
1.	The Right of Privacy	909
	<i>Griswold v. Connecticut</i>	909
	Note: <i>Griswold</i> and the Right of Privacy	918
2.	Abortion	922
	<i>Roe v. Wade</i>	922
	Note: The Abortion Decision	929
	<i>Maher v. Roe</i>	937
	<i>Harris v. McRae</i>	940
	Note: The Abortion-Funding Cases	941
	<i>City of Akron v. Akron Center for Reproductive Health, Inc.</i>	943
	Note: Regulating Abortion before <i>Webster</i>	945
	Note: A New Era? The <i>Webster</i> Case	950
	Note: Abortion after <i>Webster</i>	953
3.	Family and Other "Privacy" Interests	955
	<i>Moore v. City of East Cleveland</i>	956
	<i>Zablocki v. Redhail</i>	961
	<i>Stanley v. Illinois</i>	965
	Note: The Limits of Privacy	967
	<i>Bowers v. Hardwick</i>	968
	Note: The Second Death of Substantive Due Process?	974
4.	The Right to Die	977
	<i>Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health</i>	977
	Note: The Right to Die	984
G.	Procedural Due Process	985
1.	Liberty and Property Interests	986
	<i>Board of Regents of State Colleges v. Roth</i>	986
	<i>Perry v. Sindermann</i>	988
	<i>Cleveland Board of Education v. Loudermill</i>	989
	Note: Defining "Liberty" and "Property"	992
	Note: Statutory Entitlements and Natural Liberty	995
2.	What Process Is Due	997
	<i>Mathews v. Eldridge</i>	997
	Note: Balancing Tests and the Due Process Clause	1002
	Note: The Irrebuttable Presumption Doctrine	1007
	Note: Procedural Due Process and "Legislative" Determinations	1009

VII

Freedom of Expression	1011
<hr/>	
A. Introduction	1011
Note: The History of Free Expression	1011
Note: The Philosophy of Free Expression	1017
Note: Organization	1024
B. Content-Based Restrictions: Dangerous Ideas and Information	1025
1. Expression That Induces Unlawful Conduct	1025
Shaffer v. United States	1026
<i>Masses Publishing Co. v. Patten</i>	1027
<i>Schenck v. United States</i>	1029
Note: <i>Shaffer</i> , <i>Masses</i> , and <i>Schenck</i>	1031
Frohwerk v. United States	1033
Debs v. United States	1034
<i>Abrams v. United States</i>	1035
Note: <i>Abrams</i> and the Emergence of the Holmes/Brandeis Tradition	1038
<i>Gitlow v. New York</i>	1040
Note: <i>Gitlow</i> and the Question of Deference	1044
<i>Whitney v. California</i>	1046
Note: The Brandeis Concurrence and the Right of Association	1051
Note: The Road to <i>Dennis</i>	1052
<i>Dennis v. United States</i>	1055
Note: <i>Dennis</i> , the Communist "Conspiracy," and Some Approaches to First Amendment Methodology	1062
Note: Revising the <i>Dennis</i> Approach	1065
Note: The Smith Act in Context — Other Federal Anticommunist Legislation	1068
Note: The Road to <i>Brandenburg</i>	1070
<i>Brandenburg v. Ohio</i>	1072
Note: The <i>Brandenburg</i> Formulation	1074
2. Criticism of the Judicial Process	1077
<i>Bridges v. California</i>	1077
Note: <i>Bridges</i> , Contempt by Publication, and the Subversive Advocacy Analogy	1079
3. Expression That Provokes a Hostile Audience Reaction	1082
Terminiello v. Chicago	1082
<i>Cantwell v. Connecticut</i>	1083
Note: <i>Cantwell</i> , the Hostile Audience, and the Subversive Advocacy Analogy	1085
<i>Feiner v. New York</i>	1086

	Note: <i>Feiner, Kunz, and the Search for Mechanisms of Control</i>	1089
	<i>Edwards v. South Carolina</i>	1089
	<i>Cox v. Louisiana</i>	1092
	<i>Gregory v. City of Chicago</i>	1093
	Note: Mass Demonstrations and the Hostile Audience	1094
	<i>Chaplinsky v. New Hampshire</i>	1094
	Note: Fighting Words	1096
	Note: The Skokie Controversy	1100
4.	Expression That Discloses Confidential Information	1102
	<i>Landmark Communications, Inc. v. Virginia</i>	1103
	Note: <i>Landmark</i> and the Problem of Confidentiality	1104
	<i>Nebraska Press Association v. Stuart</i>	1105
	<i>New York Times Co. v. United States; United States v. Washington Post Co.</i>	1107
	Note: <i>Nebraska Press</i> and the Pentagon Papers	1115
	Note: <i>The Progressive</i> Controversy	1117
	Note: Dangerous Ideas and Information — Final Thoughts	1119
C.	Overbreadth, Vagueness, and Prior Restraint	1120
1.	Overbreadth and Vagueness	1121
	<i>Gooding v. Wilson</i>	1121
	Note: Overbreadth	1124
	Note: Vagueness	1128
2.	Prior Restraint	1131
	<i>Lovell v. Griffin</i>	1132
	Note: Licensing as Prior Restraint	1133
	<i>Near v. Minnesota</i>	1138
	Note: Injunction as Prior Restraint	1140
D.	Content-Based Restrictions: “Low” Value Speech	1144
1.	False Statements of Fact	1145
	<i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	1145
	Note: “The Central Meaning” of <i>New York Times v. Sullivan</i>	1150
	<i>Curtis Publishing Co. v. Butts; Associated Press v. Walker</i>	1155
	<i>Gertz v. Robert Welch, Inc.</i>	1156
	Note: Public and Private Figures, Public and Private Speech	1163
	<i>Dun & Bradstreet v. Greenmoss Builders</i>	1165
	Note: Other False Statements of Fact	1168
	<i>Hustler Magazine v. Falwell</i>	1169
2.	Group Defamation	1171
	<i>Beauharnais v. Illinois</i>	1171
	Note: Group Defamation and “Hate Speech”	1174
3.	“Nonnewsworthy” Disclosures of “Private” Information	1176
	<i>Cox Broadcasting Corp. v. Cohn</i>	1176

	Note: Invasion of Privacy and the First Amendment	1179
4.	Commercial Speech	1181
	<i>Virginia State Board of Pharmacy v. Virginia Citizens Consumer Council</i>	1182
	Note: <i>Virginia Pharmacy</i> and "the Free Flow of Commercial Information"	1188
	Note: Truthful, Nondeceptive Commercial Advertising	1192
	<i>Central Hudson Gas v. Public Service Commission of New York</i>	1193
	<i>Metromedia, Inc. v. San Diego</i>	1194
	<i>Posadas de Puerto Rico Associates v. Tourism Co. of Puerto Rico</i>	1195
	Note: <i>Central Hudson, Metromedia, and Posadas</i> : Retreat on Commercial Speech?	1197
	Note: Additional Limitations on the Protection of Commercial Speech	1198
	Note: Labor Disputes and the First Amendment	1200
5.	Obscenity	1203
	<i>Roth v. United States; Alberts v. California</i>	1203
	Note: Obscenity and Free Expression	1205
	Note: Developments in the Law of "Obscenity" — 1957-1973	1209
	<i>Miller v. California</i>	1213
	<i>Paris Adult Theatre I v. Slaton</i>	1216
	Note: The 1973 Reformulation and Its Aftermath	1220
	Note: Pornography and Feminism	1224
	<i>New York v. Ferber</i>	1228
	Note: The Regulation of Obscenity — A Procedural Perspective	1231
6.	Offensive Speech	1232
	<i>Cohen v. California</i>	1234
	Note: Offensive Language, <i>Cohen</i> , and the Captive Audience	1238
	<i>Erznoznik v. Jacksonville</i>	1241
	<i>FCC v. Pacifica Foundation</i>	1242
	<i>Young v. American Mini-Theatres</i>	1248
	<i>City of Renton v. Playtime Theatres</i>	1253
	Note: <i>Pacifica, Young, and Renton</i>	1254
	Note: "Low" Value Speech — Final Thoughts	1256
E.	Content-Neutral Restrictions: Limitations on the Means of Communication	1257
1.	General Principles	1257
	<i>Schneider v. State</i>	1257
	<i>Martin v. City of Struthers</i>	1258
	<i>Kovacs v. Cooper</i>	1259
	<i>Metromedia, Inc. v. San Diego</i>	1260

	Note: The Search for Principles	1262
2.	Speech on Public Property: The Public Forum	1265
	a. The Public Forum: Streets and Parks	1266
	Commonwealth v. Davis	1266
	Hague v. CIO	1267
	Schneider v. State	1268
	Note: Regulating the Public Forum	1269
	Note: Devices for Regulating the Public Forum	1273
	b. The Public Forum: Other Publicly Owned Property.	1275
	<i>Adderley v. Florida</i>	1276
	Note: "No Less Than a Private Owner of Property"?	1278
	Greer v. Spock	1279
	Heffron v. International Society for Krishna Consciousness	1279
	U.S. Postal Service v. Council of Greenburgh Civic Associations	1281
	Members of the City Council of Los Angeles v. Taxpayers for Vincent	1282
	United States v. Kokinda	1284
	Note: Modern Public Forum Doctrine	1285
	Note: The Right to a "Private" Forum	1286
3.	Symbolic Conduct	1289
	<i>United States v. O'Brien</i>	1290
	Note: Draft Card Burning and the First Amendment	1295
	Note: Flag Desecration and Misuse	1299
	Note: Political Boycotts	1305
4.	Money and Free Expression: Regulation of Solicitation, Contribution, and Expenditure	1308
	Village of Schaumburg v. Citizens for a Better Environment	1308
	<i>Buckley v. Valeo</i>	1310
	Note: <i>Buckley</i> and <i>Bellotti</i> — Abridging Speech to "Enhance" the Electoral Process	1318
	Note: Additional Regulation of the Electoral Process	1326
5.	Other Means of Expression: Litigation and Association	1329
	NAACP v. Button	1329
	Note: Litigation and the First Amendment	1331
	Roberts v. U.S. Jaycees	1334
	Note: Association and the First Amendment	1335
	Note: Content-Neutral Restrictions — Final Thoughts	1336
F.	Additional Problems	1337
	1. Equality and Free Expression	1337
	<i>Police Department of Chicago v. Mosley</i>	1338
	Note: <i>Mosley</i> and the "Equality" of Ideas	1340

	<i>Lehman v. City of Shaker Heights</i>	1343
	Note: <i>Lehman</i> and the Limits of <i>Mosley</i>	1347
	<i>Southeastern Promotions v. Conrad</i>	1354
	Board of Education, Island Trees Union Free School District v. Pico	1356
	<i>Regan v. Taxation with Representation of Washington</i>	1360
2.	Restricted Environments: The Military, Schools, and Prisons	1362
	<i>Parker v. Levy</i>	1362
	<i>Tinker v. Des Moines School District</i>	1364
	<i>Jones v. North Carolina Prisoners' Union</i>	1367
3.	Public Employment	1369
	a. Partisan Political Activity	1370
	<i>U.S. Civil Service Commission v. National Association of Letter Carriers</i>	1370
	Note: <i>Mitchell, Letter Carriers, and Broadrick</i>	1373
	b. Criticizing Government Policy	1373
	<i>Pickering v. Board of Education</i>	1373
	Note: <i>Pickering</i> and Its Implications	1375
	c. Patronage	1378
	<i>Elrod v. Burns</i>	1378
	<i>Branti v. Finkel</i>	1382
	<i>Rutan v. Republican Party of Illinois</i>	1383
	d. Subversive Advocacy and Associations	1384
	<i>Adler v. Board of Education</i>	1384
	<i>Elfbrandt v. Russell</i>	1385
	Note: Loyalty Programs and the First Amendment	1387
	e. Confidential Information	1391
	<i>Snepp v. United States</i>	1391
	Note: <i>Snepp</i> , Public Employment, and the Disclosure of Confidential Information	1395
4.	Compelled Affirmation, Expression, and Association: The Right Not to Speak	1397
	<i>West Virginia State Board of Education v. Barnette</i>	1397
	<i>Wooley v. Maynard</i>	1397
	<i>PruneYard Shopping Center v. Robins</i>	1398
	<i>Pacific Gas & Electric Co. v. Public Utilities Commission</i>	1399
	<i>Elrod v. Burns; Branti v. Finkel</i>	1399
	<i>Abood v. Detroit Board of Education</i>	1400
	Note: The Right Not to Speak — Variations	1402
5.	Compelled Disclosure of Expression, Belief, and Association	1403
	a. General Principles	1403
	<i>NAACP v. Alabama</i>	1403
	<i>Talley v. California</i>	1405

	Buckley v. Valeo	1406
b.	Public Employees and Licensees	1407
	Shelton v. Tucker	1407
	Konigsberg v. State Bar	1408
	Note: <i>Baird, Stolar, and Wadmond</i>	1410
c.	Legislative Investigations	1412
	Barenblatt v. United States	1412
	Gibson v. Florida Legislative Investigating Committee	1415
	Note: Intelligence Activities	1417
6.	Freedom of the Press	1418
a.	A "Preferred" Status for the Press?	1418
b.	Differential Treatment of the Press	1419
	Minneapolis Star & Tribune Co. v. Minnesota Commissioner of Revenue	1419
c.	Regulating the Press to "Improve" the Marketplace of Ideas	1422
	Miami Herald Publishing Co. v. Tornillo	1422
	<i>Red Lion Broadcasting Co. v. FCC</i>	1424
	Note: Regulating the Airwaves	1426
d.	A Right to "Gather" News?	1432
	<i>Branzburg v. Hayes</i>	1432
	Note: A Right to Gather News?	1436
	<i>Pell v. Procunier</i>	1438
	Houchins v. KQED	1440
	Note: A Press Right of Access to Government Information?	1442
	<i>Richmond Newspapers v. Virginia</i>	1444
	Globe Newspaper Co. v. Superior Court	1450
	Note: A Public Right of Access to Government Information?	1451
	Note: Conditioned Access to Information	1453
	Note: Free Expression — Final Thoughts	1453

VIII

The Constitution and Religion

A.	Introduction: Historical and Analytical Overview	1455
	Everson v. Board of Education	1455
	Note: The History of the Religion Clauses	1458
	Note: General Approaches to the Religion Clauses	1461
	Note: Defining Religion	1463
B.	The Establishment Clause	1467
1.	Impermissible Purposes: The School Prayer Cases	1468

2.	Permissible Purposes: De Facto Establishments?	1471
	<i>Lynch v. Donnelly</i>	1471
	Note: How Are Purpose and Effect Relevant? — De Facto Establishments	1485
3.	Facially Neutral Statutes That (Incidentally?) Aid Religion	1493
	Note: The Problem and Its Background	1493
	<i>Mueller v. Allen</i>	1495
	<i>Aguilar v. Felton</i>	1501
	Note: Purpose and Effect in Aid to Nonpublic Education — Benevolent Neutrality?	1502
	Note: Additional Problems	1508
	Note: Concluding Observations	1509
C.	The Free Exercise Clause: Required Accommodations	1510
	Note: From <i>Reynolds</i> to <i>Smith</i>	1510
	<i>Braunfeld v. Brown</i>	1510
	<i>Sherbert v. Verner</i>	1511
	<i>Wisconsin v. Yoder</i>	1513
	<i>United States v. Lee</i>	1514
	Note: Problems of Mandatory Accommodation <i>Employment Division, Department of Human Resources v. Smith</i>	1515
	Note: Should Accommodation Be Required?	1529
	Note: Religion as a Form of Belief	1531
D.	Permissible Accommodation	1534
	<i>Corporation of Presiding Bishop of the Church of Christ of Latter-Day Saints v. Amos</i>	1534
	<i>Texas Monthly v. Bullock</i>	1539
	Note: When — If Ever — Should Accommodations of Religion Be Permitted?	1541
	Note: Concluding Observations	1545

IX

Economic Liberties, "Redistribution," and the

Constitution: The Contracts and Takings Clause

1547

A.	The Contracts Clause	1548
	Note: Early Interpretive Problems	1548
	<i>Home Building & Loan Association v. Blaisdell</i>	1551
	Note: Market Ordering and Constitutional Interpretation	1555
	<i>United States Trust Co. v. New Jersey</i>	1557
	<i>Allied Structural Steel Co. v. Spannaus</i>	1559
	Note: <i>United States Trust, Spannaus</i> , and the Possible Revival of the Contracts Clause	1562

B. The Eminent Domain Clause	1565
Hawaii Housing Authority v. Midkiff	1565
Note: The Public Use Requirement and the Takings Clause	1567
<i>Pennsylvania Coal Co. v. Mahon</i>	1567
<i>Miller v. Schoene</i>	1571
<i>Penn Central Transportation Co. v. New York City</i>	1572
Keystone Bituminous Coal Association v. DeBenedictus	1578
Note: "Takings" and the Police Power	1579
Note: <i>Penn Central</i> , <i>Keystone</i> , Takings, and Related Problems	1582
Nollan v. California Coastal Commission	1586

X

The Constitution and the Problem of Private Power

A. State Action, Federalism, and Individual Autonomy	1594
1. State Action and Federalism	1594
The Civil Rights Cases	1595
Note: Federalism and the Substantive Content of the State Action Doctrine	1597
2. State Action and Individual Autonomy	1598
B. Pure Inaction and the Theory of Governmental Neutrality	1601
1. Pure Inaction	1601
DeShaney v. Winnebago County Department of Social Services	1601
<i>Flagg Brothers v. Brooks</i>	1603
Lugar v. Edmondson Oil Co.	1608
Note: <i>DeShaney</i> , <i>Flagg Brothers</i> , and the Problem of the Passive State	1609
2. Judicial Action and the Theory of Government Neutrality	1614
<i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i>	1614
Note: <i>Shelley v. Kraemer</i> , State Inaction, and the Theory of Government Neutrality	1617
C. Constitutionally Impermissible Departures from Neutrality: State Subsidization, Approval, and Encouragement	1626
1. State Subsidization of Private Conduct	1626
<i>Burton v. Wilmington Parking Authority</i>	1626
Note: Subsidies, Penalties, and the Search for a Baseline	1630
Rendell-Baker v. Kohn	1633

San Francisco Arts & Athletics, Inc. v. United States Olympic Committee	1634
Note: State Action as Coercion or Significant Encouragement	1635
2. State Licensing and Authorization	1637
Public Utility Commission v. Pollack	1637
<i>Moose Lodge No. 107 v. Irvis</i>	1638
<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	1641
Note: Licensing and Authorization as State Action	1644
D. Constitutionally Required Departures from Neutrality: The Public Function Doctrine	1645
<i>Marsh v. Alabama</i>	1645
Note: The "Public Function" Theory and the Passive State	1647
<i>Jackson v. Metropolitan Edison Co.</i>	1653
Note: Public Functions as "Exclusive Prerogatives" of the State	1654
E. The Constitution and Private Power: Some Final Thoughts	1658
Table of Cases	1663
Table of Authorities	1679
Index	1705