

CONTENTS

Preface *page xi*

Introduction 1

GERT BRÜGGEMEIER AND AURELIA COLOMBI CIACCHI

1. The historical development 4
 - A. The nineteenth century 4
 - B. The post-war period 5
 - C. The present times: harmonisation of approaches 9
2. The comparative analyses: an overview 10
 - A. Contract 10
 - B. Tort 15
 - C. Property 23
 - D. Family 26

Comparative analyses

Contract

- 1 The impact of fundamental rights on the content of contracts: determining limits to freedom of contract in family and employment relations 33

CHIARA PERFUMI AND CHANTAL MAK

1. Introduction 33
2. Contractualisation of private relationships 35
3. Trends and solutions in case law 40
 - A. A bottom-up analysis of the effects of fundamental rights 40
 - B. Changes in contract law adjudication 40
4. Two lines of policy: family relations and weaker party protection 43
 - A. Example 1: surrogate motherhood 43
 - B. Example 2: contractual waiver of socio-economic rights 55
5. Challenges for the judiciary 65
 - A. Legislation or adjudication? 65
 - B. The fundamental rights approach to policy questions in adjudication 67

	C. From general clauses to fundamental rights?	70
	D. Legal certainty	73
6.	Conclusion	74
2	Contractual duties of care, confidence and cooperation in the context of fundamental rights and constitutional principles	76
	MARIA GAGLIARDI AND ANNA SUKHOVA	
	1. Introduction: general background and peculiarities of specific areas of law	76
	2. Duty of care	83
	3. Duty of confidence	89
	4. Duty of cooperation	99
	5. Conclusion	105
	Tort	
3	Mapping out a right to privacy in tort law	111
	JOANNA KRZEMIŃSKA-VAMVAKA AND PATRICK O'CALLAGHAN	
	1. Introduction	111
	2. Privacy as a right	113
	A. Context: control versus misbehaviour	113
	B. Privacy in case law	114
	C. Privacy and personality rights	117
	D. Privacy versus freedom of expression	124
	3. A European right of privacy?	126
	A. International protection	127
	B. National protection	129
	4. Comparative remarks	153
	A. Commonalities in methodologies	153
	B. <i>Locus standi</i>	154
	C. Defences	155
	5. Conclusions	163
4	Non-pecuniary damages: how fundamental rights shake traditional barriers	165
	SABINE WÜNSCH AND FRANCESCO PANETTI	
	1. Introduction	165
	2. The revolutionary Italian approach	167
	A. The concept of <i>danno biologico</i>	167
	B. The 2003 landmark decisions	171
	C. The new trend of the Italian law of torts in civil court trials	175

D. The seriousness test as a tool for selecting recoverable interests in tort	178
3. Germany: slowly but surely	182
4. The Netherlands: towards a new paradigm of protection?	187
5. England: the impact of the Human Rights Act	188
6. Other legal systems: fundamental rights taking either the main door or the back door	195
7. Final remarks	197
5 Claims for non-pecuniary losses by 'third persons' upon death and injury	200

AGNIESZKA JANCZUK AND MARIA MANUEL VELOSO GOMES

1. Introduction	200
2. Is compensation available to third parties?	204
A. Italy	204
B. Portugal	209
C. France	210
D. Spain	211
E. The Netherlands	211
F. Germany	212
G. England	217
H. Poland	220
I. Sweden	224
3. Is compensation available in the case of personal injury of a close friend or relative?	225
A. Italy	226
B. Portugal	228
C. France	230
D. Spain	231
E. Germany	232
F. England	232
G. Poland	232
4. Who is entitled?	233
A. Italy	233
B. Portugal	235
C. France	238
D. Spain	238
E. Germany	239
F. England	241
G. Poland	243
H. Sweden	245
5. Conclusions	245

- 6 'Wrongful life' claims and the absolute value of human life:
a contradiction? 249

CHRISTOPH HERRMANN AND GISELA KERN

1. Introduction 249
2. The claim of the disabled child: case law 253
3. Analysis of the resolution of 'wrongful life' claims suggested
by the legal systems under scrutiny 268
 - A. The premise of the arguments put forward against 'wrongful life'
claims and its normative entrenchment 269
 - B. The interface with liability law 271
 - C. Conclusiveness of the arguments in 'wrongful life' actions 273
4. Conclusion 277

Property

- 7 Private property, public access and access to information:
a comparative analysis 281

JANA GAJDOSOVA AND STATHIS BANAKAS

1. Introduction 281
2. The impact of the right to freedom of expression on private
landowners 283
 - A. General considerations 283
 - B. National viewpoints from the European Union 284
3. A Strasbourg viewpoint: the way forward? 293
4. Conclusion 296

- 8 Action against emissions: fundamental rights and
the extension of the right to sue in private nuisance
to non-owners 298

MARIA DOLORES SÁNCHEZ GALERA AND JUDITH ZEHETNER

1. Introduction: Some aspects of law, property and nuisance –
an evolution 298
 - A. Nuisance 304
2. Methodology and reasons for such an analysis 305
3. A picture of the interaction between private nuisance and fundamental
rights: a comparative mapping 306
 - A. Introduction 306
 - B. Remedies: injunctions, damages and abatement 308
 - C. Arguments for possible future extension of *locus standi* 317
4. Conclusions 319

Family

- 9 Different legal treatment of married and unmarried couples in the European Union 325

CHIARA FAVILLI AND NUNO FERREIRA

1. Introduction 325
2. Countries without alternative legal regimes to heterosexual marriage 332
 - A. Italy 332
 - B. Poland 337
3. Countries with alternative regimes to heterosexual marriage 340
 - A. Cohabitation, partnership and homosexual marriage 340
 - B. Cohabitation and partnership 347
4. Comparative remarks 366
5. Conclusion 371

- 10 *Mater semper certa est, pater numquam*: the use of biological testing in disputed paternity cases 375

CAROL FORREST AND TERESA RUSSO

1. Introduction 375
2. The presumption of legitimacy 376
3. Biological testing in paternity disputes 378
4. Fundamental rights discourse 378
5. International law 379
6. Legal systems analysed 380
 - A. England and Wales 380
 - B. France 387
 - C. Germany 389
 - D. Ireland 391
 - E. Italy 394
 - F. The Netherlands 399
 - G. Poland 406
 - H. Portugal 408
 - I. Spain 411
 - J. Sweden 413
7. Comparative remarks 416
 - A. Consent 416
 - B. The best interests of the child 417
 - C. Genetic truth versus the presumption of legitimacy 418
8. Conclusions 419

11	Concluding remarks	421
	AURELIA COLOMBI CIACCHI	
1.	Common European fundamental rights	421
2.	Horizontal effect of fundamental rights and case law convergence	423
	A. Highest level of convergence in the fundamental-rights-based reasoning	423
	B. High level of convergence in the fundamental-rights-based reasoning	424
	C. Medium level of convergence in the fundamental-rights-based reasoning	425
	D. Low level of convergence in the fundamental-rights-based reasoning	425
	E. Lowest level of convergence in the fundamental-rights-based reasoning	426
3.	Converging policies of horizontal effect in the European Union	426
	A. Protection of vulnerable parties	427
	B. Protection of citizens from abuse of power by mass media	428
	C. Personal autonomy and self-determination	429
	D. Non-economic interests	430
	E. Democratic values	430
4.	Weighing and balancing different rights and interests	431
	A. Balancing of rights and interests of conflicting parties	431
	B. Other types of weighing of rights and interests	432
	C. Hybrid case patterns	434
5.	The added value of the fundamental rights discourse	435
	<i>Index</i>	439