Contents

Acknowledgements	ix
Table of Cases	. xi
PART I: FOUNDATIONS, PRACTICE AND BEYOND	
1. Introduction	3
I. Old Instrument – New Use	4
II. Research Questions	5
III. Questions of Legitimacy	7
IV. Research Method	8
V. Aim, Content and Responsibility	10
2. Legal Framework and Practice	14
I. Reform History	14
II. Before Protocol No 11	18
III. After Protocol No 11	31
IV. After Protocol No 14bis	57
V. After Protocol No 14	57
3. Beyond Doctrine – The Strasbourg Realities	59
I. Typology	59
II. States' Policy, Interests and Trust	75
III. The Registry's Proactive Approach	77
IV. Written Procedures and Negotiations	79
4. Philosophical Background	85
I. Human Rights and Ethics	86
II. Philosophical Foundations	87
III. Conflict of Interests	91
IV. Concerns of Justice	95
V. Finding the Balance	98
VI. The Specific Case of Unilateral Declarations	103
VII. Considering Specific Cases	106

vi

5. Ana	lysis of the Court's Practice in Selected Areas	108
I.	General Overview of the Statistics	110
II.	Turkish Settlement Practice under Articles 2 and 3 ECHR	.116
III.	Polish Settlement Practice under Article 6 (1) ECHR	127
IV.	A Multitude of Factors	134
6. Futi	are of Friendly Settlements	138
I.	Understanding, Potential and Limits	139
II.	Basic Assumptions Partially Refuted	140
III.	Professionalizing the Handling	140
IV.	Distribution of Tasks	148
V.	Confidentiality and Disclosure	150
VI.	New Competencies for Old and New Actors	151
VII.	Friendly Settlements in the Future Court	152
PART	II: ANNEXES	
1. Inte	rviews	157
I.	Interview with Elisabet Fura	157
II.	Interview with Jakub Wołąsiewicz	169
III.	Entretien avec Frank Schürmann	183
IV.	Interview with Serkan Cengiz	193
2. Stat	istics	199
I.	Explanatory Note	199
II.	Overall Statistics	203
III.	Poland – Yearly Statistics Concerning Friendly Settlements and Arrangements	208
IV.	Poland – Cumulative Statistics Concerning Friendly Settlements and Arrangements	220
V.	Poland – Yearly Statistics Concerning Judgments	222
	Poland – Cumulative Statistics Concerning Judgments	235
	Poland – Yearly Statistics Concerning Unilateral Declarations	2.37

	Contents	vii	
VIII.	Poland – Cumulative Statistics Concerning Unilateral Declarations	241	
IX.	Turkey – Yearly Statistics Concerning Friendly Settlements and Arrangements	243	
X.	Turkey – Cumulative Statistics Concerning Friendly Settlements and Arrangements	257	
XI.	Turkey – Yearly Statistics Concerning Judgments	261	
XII.	Turkey – Cumulative Statistics Concerning Judgments	281	
XIII.	Turkey - Yearly Statistics Concerning Unilateral Declarations	283	
XIV.	Turkey – Cumulative Statistics Concerning Unilateral Declarations	286	
3. Lega	al Basis for Friendly Settlements	288	
I.	European Convention on Human Rights	288	
II.	Rules of the European Court of Human Rights	290	
III.	Rules of Procedure of the European Commission of Human Rights	297	
4. Cha	rts for the Use of Friendly Settlements	298	
I.	In General under Protocol No 11	299	
II.	In General under Protocol No 14	300	
III.	Unilateral Declaration	301	
IV.	After a Pilot Judgment by the Grand Chamber	302	
Bibliogr	raphy	303	
Index		309	

.