

# *Contents*

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxi
<i>Table of Legislation, Treaties, and Conventions</i>	xxix
<i>Abbreviations</i>	xxxiii

<b>1. The Evolution of the European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms</b>	1
I. The European Convention on Human Rights and Fundamental Freedoms Today	1
The Convention system in crisis	3
II. The Evolution of the Convention	4
III. The Creation of the Convention in 1950	5
1950: a collective pact against communism and totalitarianism, or more?	6
From humble origins—the compromises of 1950	8
IV. From Collective Pact against Totalitarianism to European Bill of Rights	10
The ‘sleeping beauty’	10
A turning point reached in the 1970s	14
After 1975: the ‘sleeping beauty’ awakes as a European Bill of Rights	16
The further evolution of the Strasbourg Convention system in the 1980s	18
The 1990s: Protocol 11 and the ‘coming of age’ of the Convention system of control	20
‘After 1998’—a new phase in the Convention’s evolution begins	21
V. Four Stages in the Evolution of the Convention	23
VI. Structure of this Book	25

## I. THE CONVENTION’S BIRTH

<b>2. The Beginning of Modern International Human Rights Law (Background to the Birth of the European Convention)</b>	33
I. The Birth of International Human Rights Law after the War	33
Enshrining the rights of man in international law	34
II. Background to the Birth of the ECHR	40
III. The Proclamation of the UDHR and the Birth of the ECHR in Retrospect	41



<b>3. Proposals for a Convention to Safeguard Europe from Tyranny and Oppression?</b>	<b>44</b>
I. The First Proposals for a European Human Rights Agreement	45
II. The Establishment of the Council of Europe	49
III. The Drafting of the European Convention: the European Movement's Proposals	51
Why a European human rights Convention?	51
The European Movement Convention: its substantive guarantee	54
The European Movement Convention: its regime for enforcement	56
IV. The Drafting of the Convention at Strasbourg: the European Movement's Proposals before the Consultative Assembly	58
Enthusiasm in the Assembly for a European human rights guarantee	59
Evolving ideas about the Convention	61
Recommendation 38 of 8 September 1949—the substantive guarantee	63
Recommendation 38 of 8 September 1949—modes of enforcement	68
V. Conclusions—The Ambivalent Convention	74
<b>4. The Drafting of the Convention by the Governments of the Council of Europe (February–November 1950)</b>	<b>77</b>
I. The Politics behind the Birth of the Convention	77
II. 'The Committee of Legal Experts'	80
III. The Conference of Senior Officials (June 1950)	87
Detailed definitions or enumeration of rights?	88
The division of views	89
IV. The Committee of Ministers: Expediting the Completion of the Convention	93
The battle for an automatic right of individual petition	95
V. Signature of the Convention in Rome	96
Rejection of the Consultative Assembly's proposals for amendment	96
VI. A Chilly Reception for the Convention	99
'[i]t is not a very good Convention, but it is a lovely Palace'	99
Protocol One	100
The early 1950s: a bleak outlook for the Convention	100
VII. Conclusions	103
Collective pact against totalitarianism or European Bill of Rights?	104
The seeds to future evolution: the optional clauses and the Court	105



<b>5. The Convention of 1950 and Key Features of its Subsequent Evolution</b>	<b>108</b>
Introduction—A Quantum Leap in International Law?	108
I. The Substantive Guarantee	109
‘certain of the rights stated in the Universal Declaration’	109
II. The Convention and Domestic Law (The Attitude of the States at the Time of Ratification)	114
III. International Control	118
The original tripartite system of control	119
The European Commission of Human Rights	120
The task of final decision: the politicians decide—the Committee of Ministers	122
The European Court of Human Rights	124
The Strasbourg Court: The perspective of 1950 and in later years	131
IV. The Evolution of the Convention—An Overview of the Key Features and Aspects	133
(1) Ratification of the Convention and acceptance of the optional clauses	133
(2) Individual applications received by the Commission and judgments delivered by the Court	140
(3) The tripartite system of control—and the delayed ‘rise’ of the European Court of Human Rights	144
(4) The Convention, the individual applicant, and the evolution of the system of control	146
(5) The Convention and the reform of domestic law	149
(6) The Strasbourg Court’s evolution into a ‘quasi-constitutional’ court for Europe	153
(7) Incorporation of the Convention into domestic law	158
(8) Protocols: adding further rights and reforming the Convention	163
V. Summary and Conclusions	166

## II. FROM A SAFEGUARD AGAINST TOTALITARIANISM TO A FLEDGLING EUROPEAN BILL OF RIGHTS

<b>6. An Overview of the Convention’s Evolution from the 1950s to the Early 1970s</b>	<b>171</b>
Introduction	171
I. A ‘Little Convention’ Condemned to Play a Minor Role?	
The Convention from 1950–1965	173
The smaller States of Europe and West Germany lead the way	174



French, Italian, and British attitudes in the 1950s and early 1960s	177
The Convention's, and the new Court's, low profile	179
1965: 'clouds of doubt' hanging over the Convention's future	184
Acceptance of the optional clauses by the United Kingdom (1966)	185
II. Strasbourg at Work—European Human Rights	
Supervision up to 1965	191
Applications and admissibility: tentative initial treading by the Commission	191
Key cases over the first decade	194
III. The Vital Contribution Made by the Commission	213
'Does the European Court of Human Rights have a future?'	213
The early work of the Commission	215
The Court eclipsed by the Committee of Ministers?	221
Referral of cases to the Court by the Commission	223
IV. The ' <i>Belgian Linguistics</i> ' Case	225
V. Strasbourg at Work—The Second Decade of European Human Rights Supervision 1965–1974	238
Individual applications 1966–1974	238
The first British applications and their significance	241
Growing frustration with the Convention at Whitehall	247
Judicial protection of human rights: 1965–1974	248
VI. Conclusions	256
'Sleeping beauty'	257
The importance of the infancy years	259
The early 1970s—a growing mood for change?	261
VII. Appendix: The ' <i>Greek</i> ' and ' <i>Northern Irish</i> ' Cases	264
The ' <i>Greek</i> ' Case 1967–1969	264
<i>Ireland v United Kingdom</i> (1971–1978)—consideration of the 'Five Techniques' by the Court	271
7. The Convention's Evolution 1973–1976: The Awakening of the 'Sleeping Beauty'	277
Introduction	277
I. The Early 1970s: Time for Hope, or Time for Anxiety at Strasbourg?	278
1973–1974: France, Greece, Italy, and Switzerland warm to the Convention	279
A turning point reached?	283
British frustration with the Commission in the early 1970s	286
1973–1974: The <i>Golder</i> and ' <i>East African Asians</i> ' cases—differences of opinion between the British government and the Commission	288



II. <i>Golder v United Kingdom</i>	290
Time to put the Commission in its place?	290
The British make their point	293
Judgment in <i>Golder</i> —the British view rejected	297
The 'trade unions' cases (1975 and 1976)	301
III. After ' <i>Golder</i> '	304
IV. Conclusions—The United Kingdom and the ECHR	308
<i>Golder</i> and the British view of the ECHR	308
The United Kingdom and the ECHR in the late 1970s	309
The United Kingdom and the ECHR in the 1980s and beyond	314
8. The Strasbourg Court 'Comes of Age' (The Judgments of the Late 1970s)	319
Introduction	319
I. The Late 1970s—An Era of Landmark Strasbourg Jurisprudence	320
(i) The teleological approach to interpretation (effective protection of rights)	321
(ii) Positive obligations, evolutive interpretation, and autonomous standards	322
(iii) Restrictions on interferences with rights by the State	323
II. <i>Tyrer v United Kingdom</i> —Evolutive Interpretation of Article 3, and Outlawing Judicial Corporal Punishment in Europe	326
Evolutive interpretation	328
Article 3 and law reform inspired by a European Bill of Rights	330
'After <i>Tyrer</i> '	331
III. <i>Marckx</i> —Ending Legal 'Injustice' for 'Illegitimate' Children	333
Respect for family life—Positive obligations	335
Evolutive interpretation of Article 8	337
The 'victim' requirement and impugning legislation at Strasbourg	339
<i>Marckx</i> in retrospect—The court as a quasi-constitutional court once again	340
IV. <i>Airey v Ireland</i> —The Principle of Effective Protection	341
The bolder Court in action	343
<i>Marckx</i> and <i>Airey</i> : a 'change in the legal content and function of basic rights'	346
V. The <i>Sunday Times</i> Case —Strasbourg's Scrutiny of Domestic Discretion Intensifies	348
The margin of appreciation—From the 1950s to the late 1970s	348
The <i>Sunday Times</i> case	350
The majority approach in <i>Sunday Times</i>	352
The 'necessary in a democratic society' test—taking up 'a quasi-constitutional role'	354
VI. Conclusions	356



9. Reflecting on the Convention's Evolution (From a Safeguard against Totalitarianism to Europe's Bill of Rights)	359
Introduction	359
I. Judge Fitzmaurice's Views on the Convention—And 'Genuine Human Rights'	361
Fitzmaurice isolated on the Court	363
II. Early Perspectives on the Convention 'at Strasbourg'	365
The Convention in the late 1950s—'a unique opportunity and a special responsibility'	365
Waldock's 1958 speech	366
The Convention's future seen in a political light in the late 1950s	369
III. The Early Work of the Commission and Court	371
Protecting the rights of individuals in contemporary Europe (Strasbourg human rights protection in the 1950s and 1960s)	372
IV. The European Convention on Human Rights after Twenty-Five Years...and Beyond	376
Rome, 1975: Teitgen's (re)appraisal of the Convention	377
Teitgen and Fitzmaurice join the Court as the 'sleeping beauty' awakes	378
After 1980	382
V. Conclusions	384
III. COMPLETING THE EUROPEAN BILL OF RIGHTS	
10. The Evolution of the Convention System through to 1990	391
Introduction	391
I. The Rise of the European Court of Human Rights in the 1980s	392
The Court's influence grows	393
The Strasbourg Court's jurisprudence blossoms	396
II. The Further Evolution of the Strasbourg System of Control in the 1980s	400
(1) The status of the individual before the European Court	401
(2) Clarifying Article 50	405
(3) The evolving roles of the Commission and of the Committee of Ministers (Article 32)	409
(4) Execution of the Court's judgments (evolution of the Committee of Ministers' Article 54 functions)	416
III. The Convention in the Late 1980s—Merger of the Strasbourg Institutions Rejected by the States	421
Protocol 8 to the rescue?	423
IV. Conclusions	430



<b>11. The Convention's 'Coming of Age' (The 1990s and Protocol 11)</b>	<b>432</b>
Introduction	432
I. Into the 1990s	433
The Commission and the Court in the 1990s	433
The Convention system seen in a constitutional light	436
The <i>Loizidou</i> case and its broader significance in the context of the Convention's evolution	441
The Council of Europe, the Convention, and the 'new Europe'	445
II. The Negotiation of Protocol 11	452
III. Protocol 11—The Reformed System of Control in Overview	460
IV. The Convention 'Comes of Age'?	466
V. Conclusions: The Birth of the 'New' European Court of Human Rights—And Fears for its Future	467
End of an era	467
Fears for the new Court's future	469
1994–1998: change in the air at Strasbourg	470

#### IV. 'AFTER 1998'

<b>12. After 1998: The Challenges Facing the 'New' European Court of Human Rights</b>	<b>475</b>
Introduction	475
I. The Explosion in the Volume of Complaints Lodged at Strasbourg	477
II. The Court's Case Law after 1998	480
(i) Serious violations of human rights	481
(ii) Post 'enlargement' case law	482
(iii) Repetitive violations	485
III. The Strasbourg System Evolves as the Court Struggles to Cope	486
The Court gears up to the challenge	486
Developing the Court's general role and pilot judgments	489
IV. Further Reform of the Convention—Protocol 14 and the 2004 Reform 'Package'	495
2001–2004: 'reform of the reform' (from the Evaluation Group's proposals to Protocol 14)	495
V. After 2004—'Reform of the Reform of the Reform'	503
The Long Wait for Protocol 14 to Enter into Force	503
VI. Conclusions	511
The underlying problem	515



Appendix 1: ECHR: Dates of signature/ratification and first acceptances of the right of individual petition and the jurisdiction of the Court (1 June 2010)	521
Appendix 2: Acceptance of substantive Protocols by Convention States	523
Appendix 3: 1955–1975: Development in the number of applications registered, declared admissible, judgments, and Commission Reports	525
Appendix 4: 1976–1998: Development in the number of provisional files, applications registered, declared admissible, judgments, and Commission Reports	526
Appendix 5: First judgment delivered by the European Court of Human Rights in respect of each Member State (chronological order)	527
Appendix 6: Inter-State cases 1954–1998	529
Appendix 7: Key dates/events in the history of the ECHR 1948–1998	531
<i>Bibliography</i>	541
<i>Index</i>	563