

Table of Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvi
<i>Table of Legal Instruments</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxv

1 Introduction	1
A Object and Purpose	1
1 The Coupling of Business and Fundamental Rights and Freedoms	1
2 The European Convention and Corporate Rights	2
3 Exposing the Structure of ECHR Protection	4
B Overview	6
C Materials	8
D Companies and the ECHR: an Overview	10
1 The Company: Protagonist of Private Business Enterprise	10
2 Companies and the ECHR: Basic Facts	13
3 The System of Private Application: Overview	14
(a) The Admissibility Stage	14
(b) Examination on the Merits	16
4 Providing a Structural Framework: Preliminary Issues	17
(a) The Regulatory State and the Nature of ECHR Obligations	17
(b) ECHR Compliance	18
(c) The Court's Methodology and Mode of Reasoning	20
(i) The Teleological Approach	20
(ii) The Court's Minimalist Reasoning	22
 2 Companies and the Structure of Convention Protection	 25
A An Occasional Sense of Controversy	26
1 Voices of Dissent: the United Kingdom's ECHR Discourse	26
(a) A Conceptual Mismatch?	27
(b) Ideological and Political Motives	28
(c) Disproportional Litigious Advantages	29
(d) Considerations of Symmetry and Merit	31
2 The ECHR's Singular Human Rights Ideology	32
B Treaty Text and Drafting History	32
1 The Text Read in Isolation	33
2 Tacit Acceptance in the <i>Travaux Préparatoires</i>	35

C	The Convention's Value System	36
1	The Preamble's Significance	36
2	Individual Dignity	37
3	Democracy	39
	(a) Democracy's Importance	40
	(b) The Pre-eminence of Political Discourse	41
	(c) Private Enterprise and Liberal Democracy	42
4	The Rule of Law	44
	(a) The Convention's Rule of Law Principle	44
	(b) The Rule of Law and Companies	46
5	European Liberalism	47
	(a) European Liberalism as Convention Value	47
	(b) Liberalism and Companies' Rights	48
	(c) Free Enterprise and European Integration	50
D	Consideration of Whose Interests?	51
1	What Kinds of Rights and Freedoms?	51
	(a) Collective and Individual Aspects of Convention Rights	52
	(b) Economic Aspects of Civil and Political Rights	54
2	Objective and Instrumental Functions of Convention Protection	57
	(a) The Subjective Approach	57
	(b) The Objective Approach	58
3	Public Interests	60
	(a) Community Interests	60
	(b) Sovereignty Concerns	62
E	A Many-Sided Convention	63
3	The Court's Approach to Corporate Personality	65
A	The 'Victim' Requirement and Shareholders' Claims	67
1	The 'Victim' Requirement	67
2	Shareholding and ECHR Protection	69
	(a) Protection of Shareholders' Rights	69
	(i) Shares as Property	70
	(ii) Shareholder Rights	71
	(b) Protection of Shareholder Interests: Identification Claims	73
	(i) The Rights/Interests Distinction	73
	(ii) 'Veil-piercing' à la Strasbourg	74
B	The Starting Point: Preservation of Corporate Personality	76
1	Starting Point: No Identification	76
	(a) The <i>Agrotexim</i> Case	76
	(b) The Reach of <i>Agrotexim</i>	78
2	Exploring the Court's Approach: the Given Justifications	80
	(a) Difficulties in Determining Who Can Represent the Company in Strasbourg	80
	(i) The Reasoning	80
	(ii) Sufficiently Coherent?	81

(b)	Unreasonable Application of the Local Remedies Rule	83
(i)	The Reasoning	83
(ii)	Sufficiently Coherent?	84
(c)	The Comparative Legal Context	87
(i)	Constitutional Solutions	87
(ii)	The <i>Barcelona Traction</i> Citation	88
3	The <i>Agrotexim</i> Approach in Context	89
(a)	Concern for the Company's Fundamental Attractiveness	90
(b)	Structural Aspects of Convention Protection	92
(i)	Subsidiarity	92
(ii)	Domestic Implementation	93
(iii)	Concern for National Interests	93
C	Disregard for the Corporate Veil in Exceptional Circumstances	95
1	The 'Impossibility' Exception	95
(a)	'Impossibility' as Ground for Admissibility	95
(b)	The 'Impossibility' Exception's Rationale	97
(i)	No Risk of Competing Claims	97
(ii)	The Court Ascertains Effective Protection of Central Convention Values	98
2	The 'Vehicle' Approach	99
(a)	The Approach of <i>Pine Valley v Ireland</i>	99
(b)	Explaining the 'Vehicle' Approach	101
(i)	No Risk of Competing Claims	101
(ii)	Effective Protection of Shareholder Investment	102
3	A Pragmatic Overall Assessment	104
D	Concluding Observations	108
4	The Court's Response to Hard Cases of Applicability	110
A	Hard Cases of Applicability	110
B	Object and Purpose as Arguments against Applicability	112
1	Article 8(1): Business Premises as a Company's 'Home'	113
(a)	A Distinction between the Private Sphere and General Private Activity	113
(b)	Article 8 Primarily Protects the Natural Person	114
(c)	Absence of 'Fit' with Philosophical Justifications	116
2	Article 10(1): (Corporate) Commercial Expression	117
(a)	Defining 'Commercial Expression'	117
(b)	The Rationale for Free Expression Does Not Fit	119
(i)	The Democracy Argument	120
(ii)	Individual Self-Fulfilment	121
(iii)	Pursuit of Truth	122
3	Article 41: Companies' Compensation for Non-pecuniary Loss	122
(a)	Cogent Reasons for Concern?	122
(b)	Contested Opinions and Probable Causes	124
(i)	Difficulties in Determining the Meaning of Non-pecuniary Loss	124
(ii)	Rhetorical Incompatibility	126

C	The Court's Favourable Response	128
1	Article 10 and Corporate Commercial Expression: <i>Autronic AG v Switzerland</i>	129
2	Article 41 and Monetary Compensation for Non-pecuniary Loss: <i>Comingersoll SA v Portugal</i>	130
3	Article 8 and Corporate 'Home' Protection: <i>Colas Est SA and Others v France</i>	132
D	A Revamped Teleology	134
1	Rationalizing the Court's Approach	134
(a)	<i>Avant-Garde</i> Jurisprudence	134
(b)	A Revamped Teleology	135
2	Pragmatism	137
3	Securing Objectiveness	139
(a)	Equal Treatment of Indistinguishable Cases	139
(b)	Rule of Law	141
(c)	Collective Aspects of Individual Rights Protection	143
(i)	Collectivism in Article 41	143
(ii)	Article 8: Collective Aspects of Privacy	144
(iii)	The Public Aspect of Free Speech Protection	145
4	Progressiveness	147
(a)	Reliance upon Prior Case Law	147
(b)	Analogous Matters Only	148
(i)	Article 8	148
(ii)	Article 10	149
(iii)	Article 41	150
(c)	Progressive Reasoning as Legitimizing Factor	151
(d)	A Comment on the Dynamic Interpretation in <i>Colas Est</i>	152
E	Summary Observations	153
5	Lenient Standards of Review	155
A	Conflicting Interests and the 'Necessity' Criterion	157
1	The Public-Private Tension: 'Necessity' and Proportionality	158
(a)	'Necessity'	159
(b)	The Proportionality Assessment	160
2	The Supranational Dimension: the Margin of Appreciation Doctrine	161
(a)	The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine	161
(b)	Its Role in the Assessment of 'Necessity'	162
B	Introducing the Two Standards of Review	163
1	Article 10(2): the <i>markt intern</i> Standard	164
(a)	Introducing the Standard	164
(b)	The Elements of Leniency	165
(c)	The <i>markt intern</i> Approach Prevails	167
(i)	The Currency of the Standard	167
(ii)	Doubt as to Whether Statements are 'Commercial'	170

2 Article 8(2): the <i>Colas Est</i> Standard	172
(a) Introducing the Standard	172
(i) Elements of Strictness	172
(ii) Elements of Leniency: the <i>Niemietz</i> Implication	173
(b) The Standard's Content and Scope	174
(i) Is There a <i>Colas Est</i> Standard?	174
(ii) A Broader Margin of Appreciation	176
C. Leniency and the Court's Weighing of Competing Interests:	
A Suggested Rationale	177
1 An Approach in Need of Rationalization	177
2 Lowering the Applicant's Convention Protection by	
Teleological Interpretation	180
(a) Emphasis upon the Object and Purpose of Convention Protection	180
(b) The Nearer the Provision's Core, the Narrower Is the	
Margin of Appreciation	181
(c) Does Disassociation with the Core Purpose	
Suggest a Wider Margin?	182
3 Deference to Democratic Processes in the Economic Field	184
(a) Introducing the 'Complexity and Fluctuation'	
Rationale under Article 10	185
(b) Democratic Legitimacy and the 'Necessity' Criterion	186
(c) The Special Status of Property Protection under the	
Convention	188
(d) The Social Function of Property	189
(i) Protocol 1 Article 1 and Property Protection	190
(ii) A Systematically Wide Margin in the Implementation	
of Economic Policies	192
D Summary Observations	193
6 Retrospect and Prospect	197
A Retrospect	197
1 The Framework That Shapes the Court's Response	197
2 The Court's Response	198
(a) The Court's Response Identified	199
(b) Justifying the Court's Response	200
B Questions of Wider Significance	202
1 A Many-Sided Teleology	202
2 Economic and Collective Aspects of Civil and Political Rights	204
3 A Liberal Project	205
C Whither Corporate ECHR Protection?	206
<i>Bibliography</i>	209
<i>Index</i>	229