

Detailed Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xxiii
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxix
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xliii

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY OF THE BOOK

1. Introduction and Summary: ‘Administration of Justice’ in International Investment Law and Adjudication?	3
<i>EU Petersmann</i>	
I. Judicial Administration of Justice in IEL?	7
II. Is There a Role for Human Rights in Investor-State Arbitration and International Economic Adjudication?	11
III. Judicial ‘Balancing’ of Economic Law and Human Rights in Regional Courts	18
IV. Investor-State Arbitration and Human Rights – Case Studies on ‘Protection Standards’ and Human Rights	21
V. Conclusions: The Need for a Constitutional Theory of International Economic Adjudication	27
A. The Need for Clarifying ‘Constitutional Justice’ and ‘Transformative Justice’ in Transnational Economic Adjudication	28
B. The Need for Clarifying the ‘Constitutional Dimensions’ of Judicial Interpretation Methods	30
C. Is ‘Constitutional Justice’ Also an Appropriate Paradigm for Commercial Arbitration in Investor-State Disputes?	33
D. Protection of Individual Rights by International Investment Law and Adjudication	35
E. Further Strengthening of Cooperation among National and International Courts and Investor-State Tribunals in Their Judicial Protection of Rule of Law and Individual Rights?	38
VI. Complementary Functions of ‘Constitutional’ and ‘Global Administrative Law’ Approaches to Investment Law	40

II. IS THERE A ROLE FOR HUMAN RIGHTS IN INVESTOR-STATE ARBITRATION AND INTERNATIONAL ECONOMIC ADJUDICATION?	
2. Unification Rather than Fragmentation of International Law? The Case of International Investment Law and Human Rights Law <i>PM Dupuy</i>	45
I. Introduction	45
II. Origins	46
III. Content	49
A. Human Rights and Investors' Rights	49
B. Human Rights Invoked by the Host State against the Investor	53
IV. Means of Adjudication	55
A. The Compromissory Clause and Public International Law	56
B. International Human Rights Law as Applied with Reference to Municipal Law or to Private International Law	59
V. General Conclusion	61
3. Access to Justice, Denial of Justice, and International Investment Law <i>F Francioni</i>	63
I. A Brief Historical Introduction	63
II. Access to Justice as an Investor's Right	66
III. Access to Justice by Individuals and Groups Affected by the Investment	71
IV. Access to Justice for the Protection of Extraterritorial Investors	77
V. Conclusion	81
4. Human Rights and International Investment Arbitration <i>C Reiner and C Schreuer</i>	82
I. Introduction	82
II. Jurisdiction over Human Rights Issues	83
III. Human Rights Law as Applicable Law	84
IV. Corporate Social Responsibility	86
V. Invocation of Human Rights in Arbitrations	88
A. By the Investor	88
B. By the Host State	89
C. By Non-Party Actors	90
D. By the Tribunal	94
VI. Conclusion	94

5. Investment Tribunals and Human Rights: Divergent Paths	97
<i>M Hirsch</i>	
I. Introduction	97
II. The Case Law of Investment Tribunals	99
A. <i>Biloune v Ghana</i>	99
B. <i>Mondev v USA</i>	100
C. <i>Tecmed v Mexico</i>	101
D. <i>Azurix v Argentina</i>	102
E. <i>Siemens v Argentina</i>	103
F. <i>Channel Tunnel v France and the United Kingdom</i>	104
G. <i>Sempra v Argentina</i>	105
III. Investment Tribunals' Approach	106
IV. International Investment and Human Rights Law: Divergent Paths	107
A. The Public/Private Divide – Normative Features	107
B. The Public/Private Divide – Institutional Features and Methods of Protection	110
C. Private Tribunals and Public Policy Issues	112
V. Concluding Remarks	113
6. Limits of Commercial Investor-State Arbitration: The Need for Appellate Review	115
<i>J Werner</i>	
7. Transnational Investment Arbitration: From Delegation to Constitutionalization?	118
<i>A Stone Sweet and F Grisel</i>	
I. Principals and Agents	119
II. Judicialization	123
III. The Case of ICSID	127
A. Precedent	128
B. Balancing and Proportionality	130
C. Third-Party Participation	133
D. Appeal	135
IV. Conclusions	136
8. Constitutional Theories of International Economic Adjudication and Investor-State Arbitration	137
<i>EU Petersmann</i>	
I. Introduction	137
II. The Rule of Law Depends on 'Constitutional Justice'	138
A. Diverse Conceptions of Constitutional Justice	140
B. Diverse Conceptions of Procedural Justice	144

C. The Need for Principle-Oriented and Teleological Interpretation of Indeterminate WTO Rules	146
III. From 'Principal-Agent Theory' to Constitutional Theory in International Trade Governance and the WTO Dispute Settlement System	148
A. Constitutional Problems of the WTO Dispute Settlement System	150
B. Constitutional Problems of Multilevel Governance	153
IV. Constitutional Pluralism: Diversity of 'Constitutional Interpretations' of IEL by European Courts	157
A. Multilevel Judicial Protection of EC Law Has Extended the Constitutional Rights of European Citizens	158
B. Multilevel Judicial Enforcement of the ECHR: Subsidiary 'Constitutional Functions' of the ECtHR	159
C. Diversity of Multilevel Judicial Governance in Free Trade Agreements: The Example of the EFTA Court	162
V. Lessons from the European ' <i>Solange</i> Method' of Judicial Cooperation Beyond Europe?	164
A. The ' <i>Solange</i> Method' of Judicial Cooperation among Constitutional Courts and the EC Court in the Protection of Fundamental Rights: The Example of the German Constitutional Court	165
B. Progressive Extension of Fundamental Rights Protection by the EC Court	167
C. 'Horizontal' Cooperation among the EC Courts, the EFTA Court, and the ECtHR in Protecting Individual Rights in the EEA	169
VI. Conditional ' <i>Solange</i> Cooperation' for Coordinating Competing Jurisdictions in International Trade and Environmental Law beyond Europe?	171
A. The OSPAR Arbitral Award of 2003 on the MOX Plant Dispute	172
B. The UNCLOS 2001 Provisional Measures and 2003 Arbitral Decision in the MOX Plant Dispute	172
C. The EC Court Judgment of May 2006 in the MOX Plant Dispute	173
D. The 2004 IJzeren Rijn Arbitration between the Netherlands and Belgium	173
E. The ' <i>Solange</i> Method' as Reciprocal Respect for Constitutional Justice	174
F. Judicial Self-Restraint: The Example of WTO Dispute Settlement Practices	177

VII. The Need for a Constitutional Theory of Judicial Review of IEL: The Example of Investor-State Arbitration	180
A. Legitimate Diversity of Constitutional Conceptions of Judicial Review	181
B. Dispute Avoidance through Constitutional Approaches: Failures of Investor-State Arbitration	184
VIII. Conclusion: Judicial Protection of Rule of Law Depends on Respect for 'Constitutional Justice'	186
A. Judges as Guardians of the 'Overlapping Consensus' on Human Rights	187
B. 'Constitutional Justice' Requires Judicial Protection of Rule of Law in Mutually Beneficial Economic Cooperation Among Citizens	189
C. 'Constitutional Justice' Must Be Seen to Be Part of 'Public Reason'	192
III. JUDICIAL 'BALANCING' OF ECONOMIC LAW AND HUMAN RIGHTS IN REGIONAL COURTS	
9. Balancing of Economic Law and Human Rights by the European Court of Justice	197
<i>B De Witte</i>	
I. Introduction	197
II. The Role of Fundamental Rights in the Court's Control of the European Union's Economic Regulation	199
III. Member State Interference with Common Market Freedoms and the Fundamental Rights Dimension	202
IV. Private Interference with Economic Activity and the Role of Fundamental Rights	203
10. Economic and Non-Economic Values in the Case Law of the European Court of Human Rights	208
<i>P De Sena</i>	
I. Opening Remarks	208
II. Economic and Non-Economic Values in the Evolution of the Notions of 'Possession' and 'Interference'	209
III. Public Utility and Non-Discrimination in International Law on Foreign Investments and in the European Convention	212
IV. Economic and Non-Economic Values in the Framework of the 'Assessment of Proportionality'	214
V. Final Remarks	216

11. Is the European Court of Human Rights an Alternative to Investor-State Arbitration?	219
<i>U Kriebaum</i>	
I. Introduction	219
II. Jurisdiction	220
A. Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Personae</i>	220
B. Exhaustion of Local Remedies	228
C. Jurisdiction <i>Ratione Materiae</i>	231
III. Merits	234
A. Object of Protection	234
B. Expropriation and Other Forms of Interferences	235
C. Consequences of an Established Interference	239
D. Amount of Compensation and Costs	244
IV. Conclusions	244
12. Balancing of Human Rights and Investment Law in the Inter-American System of Human Rights	246
<i>P Nikken</i>	
I. Introduction	246
II. General Legal Framework	247
A. Approaches to the International Protection of Investments in the Inter-American System of Human Rights	248
B. Restrictions for Legal Persons to Access the Inter-American System for Human Rights	255
III. Conflicts Between International Protection of Human Rights and the Protection of Investments	259
A. The <i>Claude Reyes</i> Case and the Conflict with the Standard of Fair and Equitable Treatment	260
B. The Conflict between the Ancestral Property of Indigenous Communities and Individual Private Property	261
C. A Possible Conflict between the American Convention and a Bilateral Treaty to Promote and Protect Investments	265
IV. Conclusions	270
IV. CASE STUDIES ON PROTECTION STANDARDS AND SPECIFIC HUMAN RIGHTS IN INVESTOR-STATE ARBITRATION	
13. Balancing Property Rights and Human Rights in Expropriation	275
<i>J Waincymer</i>	
I. Introduction	275
II. The Nature of Property Rights and Human Rights	277
III. The Problem of Expropriation	285

IV. Applicable International Law and Investment Protection	287
A. National Constitutions, Comparative Constitutional Models, and the Protection against Expropriation	287
B. The Concept of Expropriation in International Law	289
C. Treaty Descriptions of Expropriation Norms	293
V. Analysis of the Jurisprudence and Its Human Rights Implications	294
VI. Exceptions for Human Rights and Related Purposes	305
VII. The Role of Adjudication	305
VIII. Conclusion	308
 14. The Fair and Equitable Treatment Standard and Human Rights Norms	 310
<i>I Knoll-Tudor</i>	
I. Introduction	310
II. Diversity of Formulations in FET Clauses	311
A. One Single Principle or More?	316
B. The Content of the Standard	318
C. Situations	321
III. HR and FET	335
A. Can HR Norms be Applied in International Investment Law Cases?	336
B. HR Claims and Arguments in a FET Claim Context	338
IV. Conclusion	342
 15. Non-Discriminatory Treatment in Investment Disputes	 344
<i>F Ortino</i>	
I. Introduction	344
II. Non-Discrimination Provisions in IIAs	346
III. National Treatment Standard: Delineating the Concept	348
A. Different Ways of Understanding 'Nationality Discrimination'	349
B. The Relationship between Nationality Discrimination and Public Policy Justification	351
IV. Investment Jurisprudence Interpreting the NT Standard	353
A. Nationality Discrimination	354
B. Justification on Public Policy Grounds	360
V. Inconsistency of Interpretations, Human Rights, and the Emerging System of International Investment Law	363
 16. Implementing Human Rights in the NAFTA Regime – The Potential of a Pending Case: <i>Glamis Corp v USA</i>	 367
<i>J Cantegreil</i>	
I. Introduction	367

II. The <i>Glamis</i> Case: An Example of Human Rights Litigation Under NAFTA Chapter 11	370
A. Facts of the Case	370
B. Procedure	373
III. The Current Litigation of Human Rights in the <i>Glamis</i> Case	373
A. Expropriation	374
B. NAFTA, Article 1105	381
IV. Some Mischaracterization of Human Rights Stakes in the <i>Glamis</i> Case	385
A. Indigenous Rights in NAFTA	385
B. <i>Amicus Curiae</i>	388
V. Elements in Support of a Proactive Adjudication Regarding Human Rights	389
A. The Lawyer's Perspective: Litigating in Search of an Interpretation	390
B. The Arbitrator's Perspective: Building a Coherent Law of Investment	392
17. Human Rights Arguments in <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Submissions: Promoting Social Justice?	396
<i>J Harrison</i>	
I. Introduction	396
II. International Investment Arbitration in a Social Justice Context	396
III. The Role of <i>Amicus</i> Submissions in Investment Treaty Arbitration	400
A. <i>Amicus Curiae</i> Submissions in International Investment Arbitration Cases	401
B. Evaluation of the <i>Amicus</i> Submission Process	405
IV. Human Rights and <i>Amicus</i> Submissions	407
A. The Rationale of Tribunals for Acceptance of <i>Amicus</i> Submissions	407
B. The Impact of <i>Amicus</i> Submissions on the Final Awards of Tribunals	411
V. Benefits and Problems of Human Rights Interventions through <i>Amicus</i> Submissions in Investment Arbitration Proceedings	412
A. The Benefits of a Human Rights Approach	412
B. The Problems of a Human Rights Approach	414
VI. Conclusions and Broader Impact	419

18. 'Proportional' by What Measure(s)? Balancing Investor Interests and Human Rights by Way of Applying the Proportionality Principle in Investor-State Arbitration <i>J Krommendijk and J Morijn</i>	422
I. Introduction	422
II. Before Balancing: Assessing the Legal Relevance and Deeper Significance of Human Rights for Investor-State Arbitration	424
A. The Legal Relevance of Human Rights within Investment Law	424
B. The Deeper Significance of Human Rights to Investment Law	429
III. Behind Balancing: A Close Look at the Interpretation of the Proportionality Principle in Investor-State Arbitration Practice Relating to Expropriation	432
A. Entry-Points for Human Rights in Expropriation Cases and the Significance of the Proportionality Principle	432
B. The Practice of Proportionality Testing in Investor-State Arbitration Regarding Expropriation	438
IV. Beneath Balancing: From Applicability to Invocation and Effective Interpretation of Human Rights in Investor-State Arbitration	446
V. Conclusion	450
19. Reconciling Public Health and Investor Rights: The Case of Tobacco <i>V Sara Vadi</i>	452
I. Introduction	452
II. International Health Governance and Tobacco Control	453
A. Linking Tobacco Control to the Right to Health	453
B. The Framework Convention on Tobacco Control	456
III. International Investment Governance	458
IV. Case Studies	461
A. <i>Grand River et al v United States</i> – US Tobacco Dispute Settlements	461
B. The GATT/WTO Analogy: Retrospect and Prospect	463
C. <i>Feldman Karpa</i>	465
V. Policy Options	467
A. Negotiation/Mediation	467
B. Interpretation	470
C. Legal Drafting	482
VI. Conclusions	485

20. The Human Right to Water Versus Investor Rights: Double-Dilemma or Pseudo-Conflict?	487
<i>P Thielbörger</i>	
I. Introduction	487
II. The Right to Water as a Human Right	488
III. Three Case Studies on Water and Foreign Investment	493
A. <i>Compañia de Aguas de Aconquija v Argentina</i>	493
B. <i>Azurix Corp v Argentina</i>	496
C. <i>Aguas del Tunari v Bolivia</i>	499
IV. Categories of Violations of the Right to Water and Some Legal and Political Answers	503
A. Violation through the Concession Contract	503
B. Violation through Insufficient Monitoring and Control	505
C. Violation through Denial of Procedural Rights	505
D. Violation through Lack of Judicial Review	507
V. Conclusion and Outlook	509
21. Human Rights Dimensions of Corporate Environmental Accountability	511
<i>E Morgera</i>	
I. Introduction	511
II. What Is Corporate Environmental Accountability?	512
III. Why Does Corporate Environmental Accountability Matter for International Investment?	513
IV. What Are the Human Rights Dimensions of Corporate Environmental Accountability?	516
A. Disclosure of Environmental Information	516
B. Public Consultations	517
C. Environmental Impact Assessment	518
V. A Practical Example: the IFC Ombudsman's Role in Ensuring Corporate Environmental Accountability	519
VI. Conclusions	523
22. Environmental Rights, Sustainable Development, and Investor-State Case Law: A Critical Appraisal	525
<i>R Pavoni</i>	
I. Introduction	525
II. Status and Relevance of International Environmental Law in Investor-State Disputes	528
A. General Principles of International Environmental Law, Including Sustainable Development	528
B. Environmental Treaty Obligations	532

III. The 'Like Circumstances of Investors' Test as a Gateway to Environmental Principles and Rights: The <i>SD Myers</i> , <i>Methanex</i> , and <i>Parkerings</i> Cases	539
IV. Participatory Rights at the National Level and Investor-State Case Law	545
A. Environmental Impact Assessment and Management: From <i>Maffezini</i> to <i>Glamis Gold</i>	545
B. Downplaying Participatory Rights and Subsidiarity: The <i>Metalclad</i> and <i>Tecmed</i> Cases	551
V. Conclusion	556
23. The Relevance of Non-Investment Treaty Obligations in Assessing Compensation	557
<i>L Liberti</i>	
I. Introduction	557
II. Non-Investment Treaty Obligations in Investment Disputes Case Law: Are They Relevant in the Assessment of Compensation?	557
III. The Specific Relevance of the Obligations of the UNESCO Convention in the <i>SPP v Egypt</i> Case	560
A. The Relevance of the UNESCO Convention in the Appreciation of the Legal Nature of the Measures Taken by Egypt	560
B. The Relevance of the UNESCO Convention in the Determination of the Quantum of Compensation and Method of Valuation	562
IV. Concluding Remarks	564
24. EC Free Trade Agreements: An Alternative Model for Addressing Human Rights in Foreign Investment Regulation and Dispute Settlement?	565
<i>A Dimopoulos</i>	
I. Introduction	565
II. The Substantive Scope of EC FTA Provisions on Foreign Investment	567
A. EC FTAs Including Provisions on Foreign Investment	568
B. Regulation of Foreign Investment in EC FTAs	569
C. The Impact of EC FTAs' Foreign Investment Provisions on Human Rights Protection	571
III. Dispute Settlement in EC FTAs	572
A. Political Settlement of Disputes	572
B. Interstate Dispute Settlement	573

IV. Human Rights Considerations in EC FTAs	576
A. Respect and Protection of Human Rights as an Objective of EC FTAs	577
B. Essential Elements Clauses	580
C. Behaviour of Investors, Maintenance of Standards, and General Exceptions from the Application of Investment Rules	583
D. Human Rights as Applicable Law in Foreign Investment Dispute Settlement	586
V. Practical Implications of EC FTAs Human Rights Considerations	588
A. Effectiveness and Suitability of EC FTAs for Addressing the Human Rights Implications of Foreign Investment Regulation	588
B. Hypothetical Application: the <i>UPS v Canada</i> and <i>Tecmed</i> Cases	590
VI. Future Developments	592
<i>Index</i>	595