

# Contents

<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xiii
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xv
<i>Table of Treaties</i>	xxi
<b>I. Introduction</b>	1
1. Outline and Scope of the Study	1
2. Defining Extraterritorial Application	7
3. The Law of Treaties Provides No General Answer	9
4. Basic Normative Framework	11
A. Jurisdiction clauses	11
B. Treaties with dedicated provisions on territorial application	13
C. Treaties with no provisions on territorial application	17
D. Concluding remarks	17
<b>II. From Compromise to Principle</b>	19
1. A Threshold Criterion: Jurisdiction of a State, Not the Jurisdiction of a Court	19
2. Jurisdiction's Many Meanings	21
A. A spurious assumption	21
B. Jurisdiction in general international law	23
C. Jurisdiction: an absurdity	26
D. Jurisdiction: a homonym	30
E. Origins of jurisdiction clauses	34
F. Jurisdiction as power	39
3. State Jurisdiction Is Not State Responsibility	41
A. <i>Loizidou</i> : a test of attribution?	41
B. The first possible reading of <i>Loizidou</i>	42
C. The second possible reading of <i>Loizidou</i>	46
D. Attribution as a prerequisite for jurisdiction	51
4. Conclusion	52
<b>III. Policy Behind the Rule</b>	54
1. Introduction	54
2. Universality and Human Dignity	55
3. Sovereignty and Territory	58
4. Sovereignty and Comity to the Territorial State	61
A. The sovereignty of Iraq in <i>Al-Skeini</i>	61
B. Canadian case law on sovereignty and comity	62
C. Comity as a distraction from effectiveness	65
5. Sovereignty, Citizenship, and the Social Contract	67
A. US case law on citizenship and extraterritoriality	67
B. The Guantanamo cases	72



C. Evaluating citizenship as a ground for extraterritoriality of individual rights	76
6. Relativism and Regionalism	83
A. Relativism and regionalism before the European Court	83
B. Relativism and regionalism in <i>Al-Skeini</i>	86
C. Relativism and regionalism evaluated: another face of effectiveness	91
7. Preventing Arbitrary Distinctions and Results and the Abuse of Law	96
8. Political Questions, Deference, and Institutional Incompetence	98
9. Effectiveness and Norm Conflict	103
10. Between Universality and Effectiveness	106
A. What does and what should matter	106
B. Effectiveness: flexibility	110
C. Effectiveness: impact	113
D. Effectiveness: regime integrity	114
E. Effectiveness: clarity and predictability	115
F. Conclusion	116
 IV. Models of Extraterritorial Application	 118
1. Basic Models and Scenarios	118
A. Introduction	118
B. Extraterritorial deprivation of life	120
1. Killing in a territory within the state's control	120
2. Killing in a territory outside the state's control	120
3. Killing in a territory outside the state's control, but within the territory of a state party to the human rights treaty in question ( <i>espace juridique</i> )	121
4. Killing by third parties	121
C. Extraterritorial deprivation of liberty	122
1. Detention in a territory within the state's control	122
2. Detention in a place within the state's control	122
3. Detention on a ship or aircraft	123
4. Killing, torture, or ill-treatment during extraterritorial detention	123
D. Complicity scenarios	124
1. Territorial complicity	124
2. Extraterritorial complicity	124
3. Distinguishing between primary and secondary rule complicity	124
E. Extraterritorial law enforcement	126
F. Transboundary environmental harm	126
2. The Spatial Model: Jurisdiction as Control of an Area	127
A. Introduction	127
B. What is an 'area'?	129
C. What amounts to 'control'?	135
1. Lawful or unlawful	135
2. Effective overall control over territory	136
3. Relationship with the threshold of belligerent occupation	141
4. Does control need to be exclusive?	147
D. Control over places and objects	151
1. A general theory?	151
2. Embassies and consulates	154
3. Ships and aircraft	160
E. Outlook	170



3. The Personal Model: Jurisdiction as Authority and Control over Individuals	173
A. Introduction	173
B. Case law	175
1. UN treaty bodies	175
2. Inter-American institutions	180
3. Early Strasbourg case law	181
4. Post- <i>Bankovic</i> Strasbourg case law	183
C. What amounts to authority and control? Can the personal model be limited?	187
1. Physical custody	187
2. Control over an individual in a specific place, or by specific agents	193
3. Nationality and membership in the armed forces	193
4. Exercise of a legal power	199
D. The personal model collapses	207
4. A Third Model: Territorial Jurisdiction and the Distinction Between Positive and Negative Obligations	209
A. Universality unbound	209
B. Textual interpretation and implicit negative obligations	212
C. Prophylactic and procedural positive obligations	215
D. Reconciling universality and effectiveness	219
5. The Special Problem of the ICCPR	222
6. Treaties Without Jurisdiction Clauses	227
 V. Norm Conflicts, International Humanitarian Law, and Human Rights Law	 229
1. Introduction	229
2. A Relationship between Norms, not between Regimes	232
3. Norm Conflict Avoidance and Norm Conflict Resolution	236
A. Defining norm conflict	236
B. Methods of norm conflict resolution	237
C. Methods of norm conflict avoidance	239
D. Unresolvable norm conflicts	242
4. Is <i>Lex Specialis</i> a Rule of Conflict Avoidance or of Conflict Resolution?	249
5. Areas of Potentially Unresolvable Norm Conflict	252
A. Preventive detention and judicial review of detention	252
B. Necessity in targeting	254
C. (Transformative) occupation	257
6. Concluding Remarks	259
 General Conclusion	 262
 <i>Bibliography</i>	 266
<i>Index</i>	275