## CONTENTS

		Foreword by Lord Carnwath JSC	V
		Contents	vii
		Abbreviations	xvi
CHAPTER	Ι	Introduction	
		Jan H. Jans, Richard Macrory & Angel-Manuel Moreno Molir	ıa
*	Ι	General Remarks	3
		Annex Questionnaire, Avosetta Meeting, Madrid, 27-28 January, 2012, 'National Courts and European	
		Environmental Law'	5
CHAPTER	2	National Administrative Procedural Law Under EU Requirements. With a Focus on Public Participation Gerd Winter	
	Ι	EU Principles Affecting Member State Administrative	
		Procedural Law	11
	2	Towards EU Standards on the Right to Be Heard and Public Participation	
	2.I	The Right to Be Heard	15
	2.2	The Right to Be Heard  The Right to Public Participation	15 16
	2	EU Standards on Court Review of Procedural Infringements	
	3.I	Procedural Infringements	21
	3.2	Consequences of Procedural Failure	21
	4	Conclusion	32
	4	Concrabion	54
CHAPTER	3	Consistent Interpretation of EU Environmental Law Richard Macrory, Verena Madner & Stefan Mayr	
	I	Context	37
	2	Development in the Case Law of the CJEU	38
	2.I	Shifting Justifications	38
	2.2	Milestones in the Development of Consistent Interpretation	39
	3	The Application of the Duty	40
	3.I	Who is Obliged by the Duty?	40
	3.2	What Does the Duty Apply To?	41
	3.3	When Does the Duty Apply?	41
	4	The Scope of the Duty	42
	<b>4.</b> I	'As Far as Possible' – Opportunity or Constraint?	42
	4.2	Criminal Liability	44
	4.3	Legal Certainty	45
	4.4	Imposing Obligations on Individuals – the Horizontal Impact of the Doctrine	t 46
	5	Conclusions	48

CHAPTER	4	Direct Effect and Consistent Interpretation: Strengths and Weaknesses of the Concepts	
		Ludwig Krämer	
	Ι	Introduction	53
	2	The History	54
	2.I	Direct Effect	54
	2.2	Consistent Interpretation	56
	2.3	State Liability	59
	2.4	Environmental Principles and the Charter on	
		Human Rights	60
	3	The Environment, Consistent Interpretation and	
		Direct Effect	61
	3.I	The Direct Effect Concept	63
	3.2	The Concept of Consistent Interpretation	69
	4	Concluding Remarks	71
CHAPTER	5	Direct Effect and State Liability	
		Angel-Manuel Moreno Molina	
	Ι	Introduction	75
	2	The Doctrine of Direct Effect and Its Application in	
		Environmental Cases	75
	2.I	General Questions	75
	2.2	The Direct Effect of the Different Types of EU Rules	
		in National Courts	78
	2.2.I	The Direct Effect of the EU Treaties	79
	2.2.2	The Direct Effect of International Treaties Concluded	
		by the EU	81
	2.2.3	The Direct Effect of Directives	83
	2.3	The Waddenzee/Kraaijeveld Doctrine	88
	2.4	The 'Inter-Environnement' Doctrine	91
	2.5	Other Manifestations of the Direct Effect of Directives	
		in the Environmental Arena	93
	2.5.1	'Inverse' Direct Effect	93
	2.5.2	'Horizontal' Direct Effect	94
	2.6	Direct Effect, Administrative Agencies and the	
		Costanzo Doctrine	97
	3	State Liability	99
	3.1	State Liability in the Context of Environmental Protection	99
<	3.2	State Liability in the Environmental Practice of	
		National Courts	101
	4	Conclusions	103

CHAPTER	6	Constitutional Review of European Environmental Law	V
		Luc Lavrysen	
	Т	Introduction	109
	2	Constitutional Review of European Environmental Law	
		by the Court of Justice	109
*	2.I	A Constitution That Does Not Bear Such Name	109
	2.2	Fundamental Values and Rights	110
	2.3	The CJEU's Monopoly to Declare Legal Acts	
		of the EU Invalid	112
	2.4	Constitutional Environmental Law Issues Before	
	•	the Court	113
	2.4.I	Choice of Legal Basis	114
	•	Respect for Fundamental Principles of EU Law	116
	2.4.3	Respect of Environmental Principles	120
	3	Constitutional Review by National Courts	125
	3.I	National Constitutions and EU Law from an ECJ	
		Point of View	125
	3.2	National Constitutions and EU Law from a National	
		Point of View	126
	3.3	National Case Law	130
	4	The EU and the Internal Allocation of Powers Within	
		Member States	135
	4.I	The EU Approach	135
	4.2	The National Approach	136
CHAPTER	7	Judicial Dialogue, Judicial Competition and Global	
		Environmental Law. A Case Study on The UNECE	
		Convention on Access to Information, Public Participa	tion
		in Decision-Making and Access to Justice in	
		Environmental Matters	
		Jan H. Jans	
	Т	Introduction	145
	2	The Aarhus Convention and the EU	146
	3	Introducing the 'Players'	149
	3.I	Aarhus Compliance Committee Cum Annexis	149
	3.2	The Court of Justice of the European Union and the	17
		European Court on Human Rights	150
	3.3	National (Constitutional) Courts	151
	4	The Question of 'Who Decides Who Has Access to Justice'	
	•	in Environmental Matters is a Difficult One	152
	4.I	The Slovak Bears Case	152
	4.2	The Case of Law of the Dutch Council of State	154
	5	Limiting Access to Justice for NGOs	155

	5.I	The Djurgården Case	157
	5.2	The Trianel Case	159
	5.3	The Slovak Bears Case	159
	5.4	The Stichting Natuur en Milieu and Pesticide Action Network	
		Europe Case	160
	6	Final Conclusions	165
CHAPTER	8	Effective Justice? Synthesis Report of the Study on the Implementation of Articles 9(3) and 9(4) of the Aarhus Convention in Seventeen of the Member States of the European Union  Jan Darpö	
	Ι	Introduction	169
	I.I	Background	169
	1.2	The Questionnaire and the National Reports	170
	1.3	The Synthesis Report	172
	2	Outcomes from the National Reports	174
	2.I	General Background on the Implementation of Article 9(3)	
		of the Aarhus Convention	174
	2.2	Standing for Individuals, Groups and ENGOs	176
	2.3	Access to What?	179
	2.4	Costs in the Environmental Procedure	180
	2.5	Effectiveness in the Environmental Procedure	183
	3	Proposals and Further Challenges	185
	3.I	The Legislative Framework	186
	3.I.I	The Four Options	186
	3.1.2	A Need for a Directive on Access to Justice	186
	3.1.3	The Prior Proposal for an Access to Justice Directive	
		(2003/0246/COM)	187
	3.2	General Issues on Judicial Review	188
	3.2.1	Introduction	188
	3.2.2	The Scope of Application	189
	3.2.3	The Relationship Between Article 9(2) and Article 9(3)	190
	3.2.4	Civil Law Action in Court	191
	3.3	Standing for the Members of the Public	192
	3.3.I	Definition of 'the Members of the Public'	192
	3.3.2	Standing for Individuals	192
	3.3.3	Standing for ENGOs and Groups	193
	3.3.4	An Anti-Discrimination Clause	194
	3.3.5	Participation as a Prerequisite for Standing	195
	3.4	The Intensity or Scope of the Review	196
	3.4.1	Introduction	196
	3.4.2	An Express Provision on the Scope of the Review	197
	3.5	Administrative Omissions	198

## CONTENTS

3.5.1	Introduction	198
3.5.2	A Prescribed Procedure for the Handling of Administrative	
	Omissions	198
3.6	Costs in the Environmental Procedure	199
3.6.1	Introduction	199
3.6.2	Express Provision on 'Not Prohibitively Expensive'	199
3.6.3	The Application of the Loser Pays Principle	199
3.6.4	Experts' Costs	201
3.6.5	Alternative Dispute Resolution	201
3.7	Effectiveness in the Procedure	201
3.7.1	Introduction	201
3.7.2	Criteria for Injunctive Relief	202
3.7.3	Bonds or Cross-Undertakings in Damages	202
3.7.4	Express Provision on Timeliness	203
3.7.5	Malicious or Capricious Actions	203
3.8	Some Closing Remarks	203
4	Summarizing the Recommendations	204
9	Austria	
	Verena Madner	
Ι	Preliminary Remarks	215
2		215
3	Consistent Interpretation	217
4	State Liability	217
5	Procedural Barriers	217
6	Constitutional Issues	219
7	References to the CJEU	221
IO	Belgium	
	Luc Lavrysen	
Ι	Context	225
2	Application of International and European Law	
	by the Courts	226
2.I	Introduction	226
3	Direct Effect of EU Law	227
4	Consistent/sympathetic Interpretation	231
5	Supremacy of EU Law	232
6	State Liability	235
7	National Courts Considering EU Law on Their Own Motion	
8	National Review of 'Constitutionality' of EU Law	236
9	Submissions to CJEU for Preliminary Rulings	237
	3.5.2 3.6.3 3.6.3 3.6.3 3.7.1 3.7.2 3.7.3 3.7.3 3.7.5 4 9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 10 1 2 3.1	3.5.2 A Prescribed Procedure for the Handling of Administrative Omissions 3.6 Costs in the Environmental Procedure 3.6.1 Introduction 3.6.2 Express Provision on 'Not Prohibitively Expensive' 3.6.3 The Application of the Loser Pays Principle 3.6.4 Experts' Costs 3.6.5 Alternative Dispute Resolution 3.7 Effectiveness in the Procedure 3.7.1 Introduction 3.7.2 Criteria for Injunctive Relief 3.7.3 Bonds or Cross-Undertakings in Damages 3.7.4 Express Provision on Timeliness 3.7.5 Malicious or Capricious Actions 3.8 Some Closing Remarks 4 Summarizing the Recommendations  9 Austria Verena Madner  I Preliminary Remarks 2 Direct Effect and State Liability 3 Consistent Interpretation 4 State Liability 5 Procedural Barriers 6 Constitutional Issues 7 References to the CJEU  10 Belgium 1 Luc Lavrysen  I Context 2 Application of International and European Law by the Courts 2.1 Introduction 3 Direct Effect of EU Law 4 Consistent/sympathetic Interpretation 5 Supremacy of EU Law 6 Consistent/sympathetic Interpretation 5 Supremacy of EU Law 6 State Liability 7 National Courts Considering EU Law on Their Own Motion 8 National Review of 'Constitutionality' of EU Law

CHAPTER	II	Croatia	
		Lana Ofak	
	т	Incorporation of EU Law Within the National	
	1	Legal System	2 47
	2	Consistent Interpretation	247 248
	2	Direct Effect and State Liability	
	)	Procedural Barriers	249
	4	Constitutional Issues	250 252
		~ 1 ~ 110	
CHAPTER	12	Czech Republic	
		Vojtech Vomacka & Ilona Jancarova	
	Ι	Incorporation of EU Law	257
	2	Consistent Interpretation	257
	3	Environmental Principles	258
	4	Compliance with Provisions of EU Law	259
	5	Direct Effect	260
	6	Procedural Barriers	263
	7	Conclusion	265
CHAPTER	13	Denmark	
		Peter Pagh	
	т	The Danish Legal System – Constitutional Aspects	262
	1	Dualistic Approach Towards International Law	269
	2	EU Law at Danish Courts	270
	3	EU Doctrines of Efficiency Before Danish Courts	271
	4	Consistent Interpretation in Danish Environmental	272
	)	Consistent interpretation in Damish Environmental  Case Law	272
	6	Direct Effect and State Liability in Danish Environmental	273
	O	Case Law	272
	7	Legal Remedies and Procedural Barriers	273 276
	8	Latest Development – No Questioning the Discretion of	2/0
	0	Local Authorities	277
		Local Platificial	277
CHAPTER	14	Germany	
		Bernhard Wegener & Gerd Winter	
	Ι	Direct Effect Doctrine	281
	I.I	EIA Directive	282
	1.2	Birds and Habitats Directives	284
	2	Consistent/Sympathetic Interpretation	286
	3	State Liability	286
	4	National Courts Considering EU Law on Their Own Motion	

## CONTENTS

	5	National Procedural Rules	287
	6	National Courts and the Legality of EU Legislation	289
CHAPTER	15	Hungary	
		Gyula Bándi	
	Ι	Context	295
	2	Constitutional Background	297
	3	Supremacy of EU Law	302
	4	Direct Effect	302
	5	Consistent Interpretation	304
	6	State Liability Issues	304
	7	Problems with National Procedural Rules	305
	8	Interpretation in General by National Courts	306
	9	National Checking of 'Constitutionality' and EU Law	307
CHAPTER	16	Italy	
		Massimiliano Montini	
	Ι	Incorporation of EU Law	311
	2	Consistent Interpretation	312
	3	Direct Effect and State Liability	314
	4	Procedural Barriers	317
	5	Constitutional Issues	318
	6	References to the CJEU	318
	7	Conclusion	318
CHAPTER	17	The Netherlands	
		Jan H. Jans	
	Ι	Context	323
	2	The Relationship Between Direct Effect and Consistent	
		Interpretation	324
-	3	Consistent Interpretation	325
	4	Direct Effect	331
	5	Procedural Aspects	342
CHAPTER	18	Portugal	
		Alexandra Aragão	
	Ι	Consistent Interpretation	349
	2	Direct Effect	349
	3	State Liability	351
	4	Procedural Barriers	351
	4	Constitutional Issues	354

CHAPTER	19	Slovenia Rajko Knez & Verena Rošic Feguš	
	I 3 4 5	Introduction Consistent Interpretation The Direct Effect Doctrine Procedural Barriers Constitutional Issues Conclusion	357 358 360 361
CHAPTER	20	<b>Spain</b> Agustín García-Ureta & Angel-Manuel Moreno Molina	
CHAPTER	I 2 3 4 3.I 4.2 5 6	Introduction EU Law and Spanish Law Consistent Interpretation Direct Effect Doctrine and State Liability Direct Effect State Liability Procedural Matters and Barriers References to the ECJ  United Kingdom Richard Macrory	365 368 368 371 371
	I 2 3 3.1 3.2 4 5 6 7 7.1 7.2 8	Context Environmental Law and the EU Doctrines Direct Effect Substantive Areas General Conditions for Applicability of Direct Effect Consistent/Sympathetic Interpretation Francovich State Liability National Courts Considering EU Law of Their Own Motion Procedural Rules Limitation Periods Judicial Discretion as to Remedies Referrals to the Court of Justice of the European Union	379 382 383 383 393 394 396 396 396 396
		Index	400