

Contents

| | |
|--|-------|
| <i>Table of Cases</i> | ix |
| <i>Table of Legislation</i> | xi |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i> | xxi |
| <i>List of Contributors</i> | xxiii |
| <i>About the Authors</i> | xxv |
| | |
| 1. Corporate Purpose, Corporate Social Responsibility, and ESG: An Introduction to the Trans-Atlantic Dialogue | 1 |
| <i>Jens-Hinrich Binder, Klaus J. Hopt, and Thilo Kuntz</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| II. Thematic Overview | 5 |
| 2. Corporate Purpose and Stakeholder Value: Historical, Economic, and Comparative Law Remarks on the Current Debate, Legislative Options, and Enforcement Problems | 17 |
| <i>Klaus J. Hopt</i> | |
| I. The Current Debate on Corporate Purpose, Stakeholder Participation, and ESG | 17 |
| II. Current Economic, Social Science, and Policy Arguments, and Legislative Options | 25 |
| III. Making Stakeholder Governance Work: Enforcement Problems | 39 |
| IV. Conclusions and Theses | 51 |
| 3. Corporate Purpose: Theoretical and Empirical Foundations/Confusions | 55 |
| <i>Holger Spamann and Jacob Fisher</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 55 |
| II. Theory of Purpose | 57 |
| III. Empirics of Purpose | 72 |
| IV. Conclusion | 81 |
| 4. US ESG Regulation in Transnational Context | 83 |
| <i>Virginia Harper Ho</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 83 |
| II. The Transnational Context of ESG Regulation | 84 |
| III. US ESG Regulation: An Overview | 87 |
| IV. The US Institutional Context for ESG Regulation | 95 |
| V. Conclusion | 102 |
| 5. Stakeholder Governance Models and Corporate Interests: Experiences from Germany | 105 |
| <i>Rüdiger Veil</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 105 |
| II. The Trustee Model of Stakeholder Governance: Enterprise Accountability of the Board in Germany | 106 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| III. The Representative Model | 119 |
| IV. Conclusion | 125 |
| 6. Corporate Purpose: The US Discussion and the Restatement of the Law of Corporate Governance | 127 |
| <i>Edward B. Rock</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 127 |
| II. The Restatement of Corporate Governance's Description of the 'Objective' of a Corporation | 129 |
| III. What Is 'Corporate Purpose'? | 132 |
| IV. The Link between the 'Objective of a Corporation' and 'Corporate Purpose' | 133 |
| V. The US Discussion of Corporate Purpose | 135 |
| VI. Shareholder-driven ESG Activism | 136 |
| VII. The Golden Thread: Corporate Law's Single Firm Focus | 137 |
| VIII. Conclusion | 139 |
| 7. ESG Regulation, CSR, and Corporate Purpose: A UK Perspective | 141 |
| <i>Irene-marié Esser and Iain MacNeil</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 141 |
| II. UK Regulatory Position | 142 |
| III. Aligning ESG with UK Corporate Law: An Entity Model of ESG | 153 |
| IV. Conclusion | 159 |
| 8. ESG and the Ethical Dimension | 161 |
| <i>Christine Osterloh-Konrad</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 161 |
| II. Corporations as Moral Agents? | 162 |
| III. Moral Behaviour and Fiduciary Duties | 165 |
| IV. Mandatory ESG Reporting and the Law-Morality Divide | 167 |
| V. Brave New World | 170 |
| VI. Concluding Remarks | 170 |
| 9. Corporate Purpose in the United States 1800–2000 | 173 |
| <i>Harwell Wells</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 173 |
| II. Purpose in the Nineteenth Century | 174 |
| III. The Origins of the Modern Debate | 177 |
| IV. Corporate Purpose at Mid-century | 182 |
| V. Back to Shareholders | 189 |
| VI. Conclusion | 194 |
| 10. Corporate Purpose and the Blurred Boundaries of Internal and External Governance | 195 |
| <i>Ann M. Lipton</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 195 |
| II. The Great Debate | 196 |
| III. Corporations Are Designed to Benefit Multiple Constituencies | 200 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| IV. Shareholder Primacy Is One Option for a Governmentally Mandated Design to Benefit All Stakeholders | 203 |
| V. The Stakeholderist Side of the Coin | 212 |
| VI. Rethinking the Form | 215 |
| VII. Conclusion | 216 |
| 11. Leading Wherever They Want? CSR, ESG, and Directors' Duties | 217 |
| <i>Jens-Hinrich Binder</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 217 |
| II. Stakeholderism versus Shareholderism: The Backdrop in Traditional Corporate Law Doctrine | 222 |
| III. Which Duties? Emerging Obligations in the Light of Statutory Frameworks and International Standards—A European Perspective on a Global Trend | 233 |
| IV. How Will They Respond? The Reorientation of Directors' Duties and Their Implications in the Real World | 241 |
| V. Conclusions | 244 |
| 12. Stewardship and ESG in Europe | 247 |
| <i>Guido Ferrarini and Michele Siri</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 247 |
| II. Main Legal Strategies | 249 |
| III. Investment Activities and ESG | 258 |
| IV. Engagement Activities and ESG | 269 |
| V. Concluding Remarks | 273 |
| 13. The French 'Duty of Vigilance' and the European Proposal on Companies' Due Diligence Duties | 275 |
| <i>Alain Pietrancosta</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 275 |
| II. The Genesis of the French 'Duty of Vigilance' and the Proposed European Generalization of 'Due Diligence Requirements' | 284 |
| III. The French Vigilance and the European Diligence Compared | 288 |
| 14. Green Bonds and Their New Regulation in the EU | 301 |
| <i>Christoph Kumpan</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 301 |
| II. Green Bonds | 302 |
| III. Self-regulation of Green Bonds | 307 |
| IV. EU Approach to Regulating Green Bonds | 309 |
| V. Summary | 316 |
| 15. ESG Demand-side Regulation—Governing the Shareholders | 319 |
| <i>Thilo Kuntz</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 319 |
| II. Demand-side Regulation and Its Place in Corporate Governance | 322 |
| III. Direct Demand-side Regulation | 334 |
| IV. Indirect Demand-side Regulation | 348 |
| V. Conclusion | 355 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| 16. Sustainability and Competition Law | 357 |
| <i>Stefan Thomas</i> | |
| I. Introduction | 357 |
| II. The Externality Problem in Competition Law | 359 |
| III. Integrating Externalities into the Consumer Welfare Paradigm | 361 |
| IV. Reducing Externalities beyond the Consumer Welfare Paradigm | 365 |
| V. Conclusions | 368 |
| <i>Index</i> | 371 |