

Contents

Acknowledgments	xvii
1 Introduction	1
#0 Understanding semantics and pragmatics is beneficial for building scalable Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems.	1
#1 Knowledge of semantics and pragmatics can inform the design of NLP systems for understanding and generation.	2
2 What is Meaning?	5
#2 Meaning can be modeled in terms of validity and reference.	5
#3 Natural language understanding requires commonsense reasoning.	8
#4 Meaning derived from form is different from meaning in context of use. .	11
#5 Many extant models of meaning distinguish locutionary, illocutionary and perlocutionary acts.	14
#6 Philosophical concepts like indirect speech acts and common ground are useful for dialogue processing, but they have a different status from public commitments when resolving anaphora.	15
#7 Some speech acts are relations.	16
#8 Linguistic meaning includes emotional content.	18
#9 Linguistic meaning includes social meaning.	20
#10 There is ambiguity at many levels.	21
#11 What's done as a pipeline in many approaches to NLP is done in human processing via incremental interpretation/incremental building of the discourse model.	23
#12 In face-to-face conversation, people use both verbal and non-verbal actions to convey meaning.	26
#13 Linguistic meaning and non-linguistic perception interact in complex ways.	27
#14 (Disambiguated) linguistic form produces an abstract meaning representation, while coherence and cognitive states yield more specific contents.	29

3	Lexical Semantics: Overview	31
	#15 In formal grammar, words are often represented as opaque predicate symbols, but on its own this misses a lot of important information about word meaning.	31
	#16 Lexical semantics includes word sense, semantic roles, and connotation.	32
	#17 Sometimes lexical semantics attaches to small collections of words rather than individual words.	33
4	Lexical Semantics: Senses	35
	#18 A single word form can have many senses.	35
	#19 Regular polysemy describes productively related sense families.	37
	#20 Structured lexical meaning representations can support the analysis of constructional polysemy.	40
	#21 Homonymy arises when the senses of a word are not now related to each other, even if they were according to etymology.	41
	#22 Derivational morphology can be used to create new words with predictable senses.	42
	#23 Word senses that are predicted by productive processes can be blocked by sufficiently high frequency underived words with the same sense.	43
	#24 Word senses can change over time.	45
	#25 You know the meaning of a word by the company it keeps!	46
	#26 Vector space representations offer a different perspective on word meaning from the set theoretic concepts used in formal semantics.	48
	#27 Word senses can be extended through metaphor, shifting word meaning in unbounded ways.	49
	#28 Metaphorical extensions are nonetheless governed by established word meanings and how they relate to each other.	50
	#29 Metaphorical meanings can be conventionalized.	51
	#30 Words can have surprising nonce uses through meaning transfer.	52
	#31 Word senses comprise different dimensions and some of those are marked as defeasible in the lexicon.	53
5	Semantic Roles	55
	#32 Word meanings are sometimes best represented as predicate symbols that take multiple arguments.	55
	#33 Semantic arguments are differentiated by their role with respect to the predicate.	56

#34 Semantic roles can be analyzed at varying degrees of granularity.	57
#35 Selectional restrictions are soft constraints on the type of argument expected to fill each semantic role.	59
#36 When an argument is not overtly expressed, the semantic predicate still carries a role for it.	60
6 Collocations and Other Multiword Expressions	63
#37 Multiword expressions (MWEs) straddle the boundary between lexical and compositional semantics.	63
#38 Collocations are dependent on word form and not just word senses.	63
#39 Collocations are often less ambiguous than the words taken in isolation.	64
#40 The strength of a collocation is not equivalent to its frequency.	65
#41 Like individual words, MWEs can have sense ambiguity.	66
#42 Like derivational morphology, there are patterns for generating new MWEs.	67
#43 Like derived words, the sense of an MWE can drift from what the productive pattern would predict.	68
#44 MWEs vary in their syntactic flexibility.	69
#45 MWEs vary in their compositionality.	69
#46 It's challenging to represent the relationship between the meaning of an idiom and the meanings of its parts.	71
7 Compositional Semantics	73
#47 Compositional semantics is largely about working out who did what to whom, where, when, how, and why.	73
#48 Compositional semantic representations can be constructed for strings, with and without types and with and without explicit syntax.	76
#49 Comparatives express the degree to which an entity has some gradable property in relation to some standard of comparison.	77
#50 Coordinate constructions can be understood in terms of pluralities of entities and events.	78
#51 Quantifiers express relations between sets described by predicate-argument structure.	80
#52 The semantics of natural language determiners can be represented as generalized quantifiers.	81
#53 Quantifier scope ambiguity is not fully resolved by syntactic ambiguity resolution.	84

	#54 The relative scope of other scopal operators, however, is resolved by syntactic structure.	86
	#55 The importance of correctly representing scopal operators depends on the NLP application.	88
	#56 Compositional semantics interacts with word sense.	90
	#57 The semantics of a phrase that's derived by composing distributional models of its words is highly sensitive to how the vectors are composed.	91
8	Compositional Semantics beyond Predicate-Argument Structure	95
	#58 Compositional semantics includes grammaticized concepts, such as tense, aspect, evidentials, presupposition triggers, or politeness.	95
	#59 The concepts that are grammaticized and how they are grammaticized vary from language to language.	95
	#60 Tense encodes the three-way relationship between speech time, event time, and an anaphorically determined reference time.	97
	#61 Aspect encodes the description of the internal temporal properties of the event and how it's viewed.	99
	#62 Evidentials encode the source a speaker credits the information to and/or the degree of certainty the speaker feels about it.	101
	#63 Politeness markers can encode relative status of or social distance between speaker and referent or speaker and addressee.	102
	#64 Politeness strategies can also mitigate face-threats by softening commands to requests, etc.	104
	#65 Politeness markers can be a useful source of information for reference resolution, especially of dropped arguments.	104
9	Beyond Sentences	107
	#66 Understanding meaning in discourse involves some kind of function of discourse update, using a representation of discourse context and a representation of what's just been said.	107
	#67 Discourse is structured by coherence relations between contents of discourse segments.	108
	#68 Lexical processing and discourse processing interact.	112
	#69 The semantic representation of discourse should be dynamically interpreted.	114
	#70 Discourse understanding and dialogue generation seem amenable to game-theoretic modeling, but discourse is too open-ended to assume the requisite complete knowledge.	118

10	Reference Resolution	123
	#71 Discourse processing requires reference resolution.	123
	#72 An antecedent to a pronoun can be abstract content expressed by a whole sentence.	125
	#73 Reference resolution depends on grammatical factors.	127
	#74 Reference resolution depends on logical form.	129
	#75 Reference resolution interacts with modals in interesting ways.	130
	#76 Reference resolution depends on discourse structure.	132
11	Presupposition	137
	#77 Utterances can have both entailments and presuppositions.	137
	#78 Presupposition triggers are a wide and varied set.	139
	#79 Some linguistic expressions pass embedded presuppositions up, some don't, and with others it depends.	142
	#80 Presupposition accommodation depends on the absence of accessible antecedents in the discourse.	145
	#81 Presuppositions interact with discourse coherence.	148
12	Information Status and Information Structure	151
	#82 Information status is a property of linguistic descriptions of referents, describing their relationship to the common ground.	151
	#83 Information status is reflected in the morphology and syntax in different ways across languages.	152
	#84 The information structure of a sentence distinguishes what the speaker expresses as given vs. new.	155
	#85 Given v. new content in sentences can be understood in terms of topic, background, focus, and contrast.	156
	#86 Different languages mark information structure differently.	158
	#87 Languages that mark information structure with intonation require joint semantic models of stress and tune.	160
	#88 Information structure can interact with truth conditions.	162
13	Implicature and Dialogue	163
	#89 Implicature is an implied meaning that goes beyond what the speaker explicitly expressed.	163
	#90 Implicatures can be conversational or conventional.	164

#91 Implicatures can often (but not always) be recognized without appealing to cognitive states.	168
#92 Constructing a representation of what was said is distinct from evaluating whether what was said is true.	169
#93 In strategic conversation, implicatures can be safe or unsafe.	171
#94 Agreement and denial can be implicated, and implicatures can be agreed upon or denied.	173
#95 Silence can be a meaningful act.	174
#96 The prosody of an utterance affects what the speaker publicly commits to.	175
14 Resources	177
#97 Lexical resources systematize useful information about word sense and semantic roles.	177
#98 There are also resources annotated with sentence-level semantic information.	178
#99 Some sentence parsing systems produce semantic representations.	181
#100 Discourse information in available corpora comes in many guises.	182
A Approaches to Discourse Structure	187
B Grams Used in IGT	189
Bibliography	193
Authors' Biographies	233
General Index	235
Index of Languages	249