		Page
AU'	THOR'S NOTE	1
PAI	RT ONE	
	NSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS POSITIVE LEGISLATORS IN MPARATIVE LAW	5
	RODUCTION: HANS KELSEN, JUDICIAL REVIEW, AND THE GATIVE LEGISLATOR	5
CH	APTER 1	
	DICIAL REVIEW OF LEGISLATION AND THE GISLATOR	13
I.	THE SYSTEMS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW AND THE ROLE OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS	13
II.	CONTROL OF CONSTITUTIONALITY AND CONTROL OF CONVENTIONALITY	20
III.	THE INTERPRETATION OF THE CONSTITUTION AND THE INFLUENCE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS ON CONSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL REFORMS	29
IV.	THE QUESTION OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS POSITIVE LEGISLATORS	31
CH.	APTER 2	
	NSTITUTIONAL COURTS' INTERFERENCE WITH THE NSTITUENT POWER	41
I.	CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS' RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES OF CONSTITUTIONAL RANK AND ENACTMENT OF CONSTITUTIONAL RULES	41
II.	CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW OF PROVISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTION AND OF	15
	CONSTITUTIONAL REFORMS AND AMENDMENTS	43

III.	OF	THE	LE OF CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS'ADAPTATION CONSTITUTION AND THE QUESTION OF MATE CHANGES TO THE CONSTITUTION	50
	1.		apting the Constitution on Matters of Fundamental Rights arantees	51
	2.	The	Mutation of the Constitution on Institutional Matters	65
IV.			OBLEM OF ILLEGITIMATE MUTATIONS OF THE ITUTION	68
CH	APT	ER 3	3	
			TIONAL COURTS' INTERFERENCE WITH THE OR ON EXISTING LEGISLATION	73
I.			TITUTIONAL COURTS' INTERPRETATION OF TES IN HARMONY WITH THE CONSTITUTION	73
II.	LEC	GISL	ITUTIONAL COURTS COMPLEMENTING THE ATOR BY ADDING NEW RULES (AND NEW NG) TO AN EXISTING LEGISLATIVE PROVISION	79
III.	LEC	GISL	ITUTIONAL COURTS COMPLEMENTING ATIVE FUNCTIONS BY INTERFERING WITH THE RAL EFFECTS OF LEGISLATION	94
	1.	Anr	Power of the Constitutional Court to Determine When nulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effects: Postponing Effect of the Court's Ruling	95
	2.	Anr	Power of the Constitutional Court to Determine When nulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effects: Retroactive Nonretroactive Effects of Its Own Decisions	103
		A.	The Possibility of Limiting the Retroactive Ex Tunc Effects Regarding Declarative Decisions	103
		B.	The Possibility of Retroactive Effects for Ex Nunc Constitutive Decisions	108
	3.		Power of Constitutional Courts to Revive Repealed gislation	114
IV.	PRI STA	NCI	FORMATION OF THE INTERPRETATIVE PLE: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS' REFORMING OF TES AND INTERPRETING THEM WITHOUT	11.
		EKP	PRETING THE CONSTITUTION	113

CHAPTER 4

		TUTIONAL COURTS' INTERFERENCE WITH THE ATOR REGARDING LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS	125			
I.	CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS' FILLING THE GAP OF ABSOLUTE LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS					
	1	Direct Action against Absolute Legislative Omissions	126			
	2.	The Protection of Fundamental Rights against Absolute Legislative Omissions by Means of Actions or Complaints for Their Protection	135			
II.		NSTITUTIONAL COURTS' FILLING THE GAP OF LATIVE LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS	148			
	1.	Constitutional Courts and Equality Rights: Deciding on the Unconstitutionality of Statutes without Declaring Their Nullity	149			
	2.	Constitutional Courts' Issuing Nonbinding Directives to the Legislator	153			
	3.	Constitutional Courts' Issuing Binding Orders and Directives to the Legislator	160			
III.		NSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS PROVISIONAL BISLATORS	165			
CH	APTI	ER5				
		TUTIONAL COURTS AS LEGISLATORS ON RS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	173			
I.		NSTITUTIONAL COURTS CREATING THEIR OWN ICIAL REVIEW POWERS	173			
	1.	The Judge-Made Law Regarding the Diffuse System of Judicial Review	173			
	2.	The Extension of Judicial Review Powers to Ensure the Protection of Fundamental Rights	174			
	3.	The Need for the Express Provision in the Constitution of Judicial Review Powers of the Constitutional Jurisdiction and Its Deviation	178			
II.		ISTITUTIONAL COURTS CREATING PROCEDURAL LES ON JUDICIAL REVIEW PROCESSES	186			
FIN	ALF	REMARKS	189			

	RT TWO TIONAL REPORTS	193
	GENTINA: Alejandra Rodríguez Galán and Alfredo Mauricio Vítolo, <i>Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators</i>	195
I.	REDUCTION OF THE SCOPE OF THE POLITICAL QUESTIONS DOCTRINE	199
II.	RECOGNITION OF PROCEDURAL GUARANTEES IN THE ABSENCE OF LEGISLATION	200
III.	STANDING	202
IV.	ACCIÓN DECLARATIVA DE CERTEZA (DECLARATORY JUDGMENTS) AS A DIRECT FORM OF EXERCISE OF	202
	CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW	203
	SUA SPONTE CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW	204
	ERGA OMNES EFFECT OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS	205
VII.	ACTIVIST JURISPRUDENCE	205
	1. "Educating" Congress	206
	2. Reconciling Internal Legislation with Human Rights Treaties by Means of Interpretation	207
	3. Amending Political Will and Rewriting Statutes	208
VIII	I. CONCLUSION	211
AU	STRALIA: Cheryl Saunders, Interpretation and Review	213
I.	FRAMEWORK	213
	1. Authority for Review	213
	2. Jurisdiction	215
	3. Concrete Review	217
	A. Advisory Opinions	217
	B. Declarations of Incompatibility	219
	4. Standing	220
II.	APPROACH	223
	1. Phases	224
	A. 1903–1920	224
	B. 1920–1944	225
	C. 1944–1981	226
	D. 1981–1998	228
	E. 1998 to the Present	230

	2.	Legalism and Realism	231
		A. Legalism	231
		B. Realism	232
		C. Realistic Legalism	234
	3.	Interpretation and Change	236
		A. An Instrument of Government	236
		B. Relevance of the Framers	238
III.	SOI	JRCES	240
	1.	Precedent	240
	2.	Extrinsic Materials	241
	3.	Foreign Law	244
	4.	International Law	246
BIB	LIO	GRAPHY	249
		A: Konrad Lachmayer, Constitutional Courts as Positive lators	251
I.	INT	RODUCTION: CONSTITUTIONAL JUSTICE IN AUSTRIA	251
II.		EF DESCRIPTION OF THE JUDICIAL REVIEW METHOD PLIED IN AUSTRIA	252
	1.	Introduction	252
	2.	Access to Constitutional Justice	254
III.	JUI	DICIAL QUESTIONS ON MATTERS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	256
	1.	Judicial Decisions on Judicial Review	256
	2.	Effects of Judicial Decisions on Constitutional Matters	257
IV.		PORTANT DEVELOPMENTS AND DECISIONS OF THE NSTITUTIONAL COURT	258
	1.	Developing the Contents of the Fundamental Principles of the Austrian Constitution	258
	2.	Concrete Guidelines for the Legislator	259
	3.	Creating Constitutional Systems	261
	4.	Principle of Equality	262
V.		NCLUSION – OPENING UP CONSTITUTIONAL TENTIALS AND VALUE-BASED JUDGMENTS	263

	LGIUM: Patricia Popelier, The Belgian Constitutional Court as Positive Legislator: In Search of a Balance Between Rights Protection and Respect for Acts of Parliament	265
	RODUCTION	265
	THE IMPACT OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT ON CONSTITUTIONAL RELATIONS	266
II.	LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS IN THE CASE LAW OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT	269
	1. Some Examples	271
	2. Two Examples	272
III.	LEGAL FRAMEWORK	273
IV.	CONSEQUENCES OF THE FINDING THAT A LEGISLATIVE LACUNA IS CONTRARY TO THE CONSTITUTION NCLUSIONS	275 279
	AZIL: Thomas Bustamante and Evanilda de Godoi Bustamante, Constitutional Courts as Negative Legislators: The Brazilian Case	283
INT	RODUCTORY CONSIDERATIONS	283
I.	THE DIFFUSE AND INCIDENTAL SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	285
II.	THE CONCENTRATED SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	289
	1. Concentrated Constitutional Jurisdiction by Direct Actions	289
	A. The Direct Action of Unconstitutionality	290
	B. The Declaratory Action of Constitutionality	292
	C. The Direct Action against Unconstitutional Omissions	293
	D. The Claim against the Disrespect to a Fundamental Precept	296
	2. Concentrated Constitutional Review by Concrete Claims	298
	A. Mandado de Segurança (When Used to Contest an Act within the Legislative Procedure)	299
	B. The Writ of Injunction (Mandado de Injunção)	300
	C. Additive Decisions and the Writ of Injunction	302
III.	EFFECTS OF THE JUDICIAL DECISIONS ON	
	CONSTITUTIONAL MATTERS	303
	1. Inter Partes and Erga Omnes Decisions	303
	2. Binding and Nonbinding Decisions	305
	3. The Scope of the Binding Effects of the Decisions of the Court	306

	4.	Interpretative and Reductive Decisions by the Federal Supreme Court	308
	5.	The Temporal Effects of the Decisions on Constitutional	
		Matters (on Direct Actions of Unconstitutionality)	310
	6.	The Temporal Effects of the Decisions on Constitutional	
		Matters (on Diffuse Decisions on Constitutional Matters)	311
	7.	Constitutional Mutations	312
IV.	CO	NCLUSION: THE IDEA OF THE NEGATIVE LEGISLATOR	312
CA		A: Kent Roach, The Canadian Constitutional Courts as tive Legislators	315
IN	ΓRΟΙ	DUCTION	315
I.	CA	NADA'S SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	317
	1.	Federalism and the Court as Negative Legislator	317
	2.	The Canadian Charter of Rights and Freedoms	318
	3.	Negative and Positive Rights	318
	4.	Charter Litigation	319
	5.	Reference Cases	319
	6.	Constitutional Remedies	321
	7.	Positive Alternatives to Judicial Invalidation: Reading In or Judicial Amendments to Legislation	322
	8.	Alternatives to Immediate Invalidation: Delayed Declarations of Invalidity and Reply Legislation	324
	Sui	mmary	326
II.	CA	NADA'S EXPERIENCE WITH COURTS AS POSITIVE	
	LE	GISLATORS	328
	1.	Criminal Justice	328
	2.	National Security	329
	3.	Gay Rights	331
	4.	Aboriginal and Treaty Rights	333
	5.	Political Policy	334
	6.	Minority-Language Policy	335
	7.	Social and Economic Policy	337
	8.	Labor Policy	338
	9.	Health-Care Policy	340
CO	NCL	USION	342

				rmán Alfonso López Daza, Le juge constitutionnel islateur-cadre positif: un gouvernement des juges	345	
INT	ROD	UCT	ION		345	
I.	DYNAMISME DE LA COUR CONSTITUTIONNELLE COLOMBIENNE DANS SON RÔLE DE PROTECTRICE DE LA CONSTITUTION DE 1991					
II.				NSTITUTIONNELLE VERSUS POUVOIR POUVOIR LEGISLATIF	348	
III.				ONSTITUTIONNELLE COLOMBIENNE- UR CADRE POSITIF	350	
	1.	Sent	ence	es manipulatrices	353	
	2.	Sent	ence	e substitutive	353	
	3.	Sent	ence	es interprétatives ou conditionnées	353	
	4.	Sent	ence	e additive ou d'intégration	354	
	5.	Sent	ence	e de recommandation du législateur	355	
	6.	Les.	sente	ences-lois	355	
	7.	Les.	sente	ences à effet différé	355	
IV.				TION THÉORIQUE DU CONCEPT EMENT DES JUGES"	356	
BIB	LIO	GRAF	PHIE	Ξ	359	
CO				ndra Morelli, The Colombian Constitutional Court: tional Leadership to Conceptual Audacity	363	
I.	PRE	ELIM	INA	RY CONSIDERATIONS	363	
II.	DIS	PLA	CEM	MENT IN COLOMBIA	366	
	1.	Wha	et Is	the Unconstitutional State of Affairs?	367	
III.	THI	E CO	NST	ITUTIONAL CONTROL OF LAWS	378	
	1.	War	ning		378	
	2.	The Con		trol of the Constitutionality of the Acts Amending the tion	383	
		A.		e Constitutional Control Limited to the Vices of cedure	384	
		В.		e Procedural Vices of the Law through Which a institutional Referendum Is Summoned	385	
			a.	The Power of the Congress of the Republic to Amend the Law Presented at the Request of a Popular Initiative	386	
			b.	The Violation of the Law of Benches (Bancadas)	386	

CO		RICA: Rubén Hernández Valle, The Normative Resolutions he Constitutional Court	389		
I.	TYPOLOGY OF FAVORABLE LEGAL REVIEW				
		SOLUTIONS	389		
	1.	Favorable Exhortative Resolutions	389		
	2.	Simple Unconstitutionality Resolutions	391		
		A. Simple Unconstitutionality Declaration of Partial Nature	391		
		B. Simple Unconstitutionality Declaration of Full Nature	391		
	3.	Interpretative Resolutions	392		
		A. Unconstitutionality Due to Erroneous Interpretation or Misapplication of a Provision in a Specific Case	392		
		B. Unconstitutionality as a Result of the Effects of the Challenged Text or Provision	394		
	4.	Favorable Resolutions of Inapplicability	395		
	5.	Normative Resolutions	395		
		A. Favorable Additive Resolutions	396		
		B. Favorable Substitutive Resolutions	397		
II.	CRITICAL ANALYSIS OF NORMATIVE RESOLUTIONS				
	1.	The Justification of Normative Resolutions	401		
	2.	Criticism of Regulatory Resolutions	402		
III.		GULATORY RESOLUTIONS AND THE LIMITS TO NSTITUTIONAL JURISDICTION	403		
BIB	LIO	GRAPHY	406		
		IA: Sanja Barić and Petar Bačić, Constitutional Courts as ive Legislators	407		
I.	CRO	E CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF DATIA: COMPOSITION, COMPETENCES, AND	407		
	PKC	OCEEDINGS	407		
	1.	Introduction	407		
	2.	Composition and Terms of Duty	409		
	3.		410		
	4.	Proceedings of the Constitutional Court	411		
	5.	Abstract Review	413		
	0.	Concrete Review	415		
	1.	Effects of the Constitutional Court's Decision	415		

	8.	Con	nstitutional Complaint	417
	9.	Oth	er Competences	420
		A.	Jurisdictional Disputes	420
		В.	Impeachment of the President of the Republic	420
		C.	Supervision of the Constitutionality of Programs and Activities of Political Parties	420
		D.	Control of the Constitutionality and Legality of the Elections and the National Referendum and the Electoral	121
		E.	Disputes Constitutional Court Procedures of Appeal	421 421
		F.	Constitutional Court Procedures of Appeal Monitoring the Execution of Constitutionality and	421
		1.	Legality, and Supervisory Control over Passing	
			Regulations for Executing the Constitution, Laws, and Other Regulations	422
II.	JUI	OICIA	AL ACTIVISM IN CONSTITUTIONAL REVIEW	
	DECISIONS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT OF			122
			LIC OF CROATIA	423 424
	_		icial Activism versus the Legislative Branch	424
	2.		thodological Activism of the Constitutional Court – The expretation and Power of the Legal Argument	428
	3.	Pro	cedural Activism – Precedence of Form over Content	431
	4.		stantial Activism – Support for Democracy and Institutional Rights	433
III.	CO	NCL	USIONS ON THE ACTIVISM OF THE	
	CO	NSTI	TUTIONAL COURT OF THE REPUBLIC OF CROATIA	440
CZI	ECH	REF	PUBLIC: Zdenek Kühn, Czech Constitutional Court as	
			egislator?	445
I.	TH	E HIS	STORY AND THE POWERS OF THE CZECH	
			TUTIONAL COURT	446
II.			TUTIONAL COMPLAINTS AGAINST DECISIONS OF AL COURTS	448
III.			RETATIVE DECISIONS IN ABSTRACT	
		NSTI VIEW	TUTIONAL REVIEW: "SOFT CONSTITUTIONAL ""	454
IV			VE ASPECT OF NEGATIVE DECISIONS OF THE	7.77
1 V .		URT		458

V.	PRO	CONSTITUTIONAL GAPS IN THE LEGAL SYSTEM: OVIDING CONSTITUTIONAL REMEDIES OR JUDICIAL BSTITUTION FOR THE LEGISLATOR?	461
VI.	THI	E CZECH CONSTITUTIONAL COURT ACTING OPENLY POSITIVE LEGISLATOR – CASES OF NSTITUTIONALITY OF AMENDMENTS TO THE LAW	466
VII		URT'S SELF-PERCEPTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	468
			100
		E: Bertrand Mathieu, Le Conseil constitutionnel "législateur ff." Ou la question des interventions du juge constitutionnel	
-		ais dans l'exercise de la function legislative	471
I.		RE LIMINAIRE: ELÉMENTS D'ANALYSES SUR LE	
	CO	NTRÔLE DE CONSTITUTIONNALITÉ EN FRANCE	472
II.		S INTERACTIONS ENTRE LA FONCTION	
		RIDICTIONNELLE DU CONSEIL CONSTITUTIONNEL ET FONCTION LÉGISLATIVE	170
			478
	_	Les principes cadres	478
	2.	De quelques modalités d'intervention médiates du Conseil constitutionnel	479
		- Les incidences sur la fabrication de la loi	479
		- Les incidences sur les révisions constitutionnelles	479
		- La question de la séparabilité des dispositions inconstitutionnelles	480
		- Les conséquences tirées de l'inconstitutionnalité relevée	481
		- L'outil de la proportionnalité	483
III.	D'II	ALYSE D'UNE MODALITÉ SPÉCIFIQUE NTERVENTION DU CONSEIL CONSTITUTIONNEL: LES	
	RES	SERVES D'INTERPRÉTATION	484
	1.	Eléments de définition et champ d'application	484
	2	Les destinataires des réserves d'interprétation	488
	3.	La portée des réserves d'interprétation: essai de typologie	489
		-L'exclusion d'une interprétation inconstitutionnelle: le postulat du respect de la Constitution par le législateur	489
		-L'argument de la maladresse du législateur	490
		-La tentation de la réécriture	490
		-La modification du champ d'application de la loi	492
		-La paralyse de l'application de la loi	493
	4.	Eléments statistiques sommaires	494
EN	GUIS	SE DE CONCLUSION	495

GE		ANY: Ines Härtel, <i>Constitutional Courts as Positive</i> sislators	497
I.		DICIAL MEANS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW	498
		Civil Rights Injunctions	498
	2.	Direct Actions to Control the Constitutionality of Statutes	499
	3.	Direct Actions to Control the Constitutionality of Legislative Omissions	500
	4.	Ex Officio Powers of Constitutional Judges and of the Constitutional Court or Tribunals	501
	5.	Authority of the Supreme Court of Constitutional Courts to Remove and Take Over Cases from Lower Courts (Avocamiento)	501
II.	JUI	DICIAL DECISIONS ON JUDICIAL REVIEW	502
	1.	Constitutional Interpretation	502
	2.	Declaration of the Unconstitutional Character of Statutes (Declaration of Incompatibility)	503
	3.	Annulment (Complete or Partial) of Unconstitutional Statutes	506
	4.	Influencing the Constitution	509
	5.	Decisions Including Legislative Structures	510
	6.	Additive Decisions Reforming Legislation	513
	7.	Admonitory Decisions	514
	8.	Judicial Replacement of the Legislator	516
	9.	Judicial Replacement of the Government in Policy-Making Processes	517
III.		FECTS OF JUDICIAL DECISIONS ON CONSTITUTIONAL ATTERS	518
		JM, FRANCE, GERMANY: Christian Behrendt, L'activité ge constitutionnel comme législateur-cadre positif	521
INT	ROI	DUCTION	521
I.	LA	NOTION D'"INTERFÉRENCE"	525
II.	LA	CLASSIFICATION DES INTERFÉRENCES	527
		MPORTANCE QUANTITATIVE DES INTERFÉRENCES	529
	1.	L'importance des lignes directrices	530
		L'importance des injonctions	531
IV.	LE	RECOURS À LA TECHNIQUE DES INTERFÉRENCES:	
		E TENTATIVE D'ÉVALUATION	533
CO	NCI	USIONS	537

			ulia Iliopoulos-Strangas and Stylianos-Ioannis G. is, <i>Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators</i>	539
I			STEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	540
1.			licial Organization	540
	2.		ntrol of Legislative and Executive Acts	541
			Origins and Development of Judicial Review throughout	J 11
		1 2.	Greece's Constitutional History	541
		В.	Judicial Review under the Greek Constitution of 1975	542
			a. Constitutional Basis of Judicial Review	542
			b. Substantive and Procedural Constitutional Claims	543
			c. Primary Features of Judicial Review	544
			d. Means of Concentration of Review	546
			e. The Proposal to Establish a Constitutional Court	549
			f. Control of Conventionality	552
			g. Control of Executive Acts	553
			h. Control of Constitutional Amendments	555
Π.	THI	E AP	PLICATION OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	555
	1.	The	e Development of Constitutional Jurisprudence	556
		A.	The Greek Courts' Deferential Tradition	556
		B.	Phases in the Judicial Implementation of the 1975 Constitution	558
	2.	Pos	sitive Legislation through Constitutional Adjudication	563
		A.	Extension of Preferential Treatment in Equality Principle Cases	563
		В.	The Council of State's Environmental Jurisprudence	567
			a. Constitutional Entrenchment of the Current Status of Environmental Protection	568
			b. The Principle of Sustainable Development	570
III.	FIN	AL F	REMARKS	571
			Lóránt Csink, József Petrétei, and Péter Tilk, Sonal Court as Positive Legislator	575
I.	AN	ГЕСІ	EDENTS OF CONSTITUTIONAL ADJUDICATION	575
Π.	THI	EES	TABLISHMENT OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT	576

III.		E LEGAL CHARACTER OF THE DECISIONS OF THE URT	5
IXI		E MANIFESTATION OF POSITIVE LEGISLATION	5
IV.			5
		Constitutional Requirement	
		Mosaic Annulment	5
	3.	Role of the Court in the Examination of Omissions	5
	4.	Interpretation of the Constitution	5
IND	IA:	Surya Deva, Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators: The	
	India	in Experience	5
INT	ROE	DUCTION	5
I.	JUI	DICIAL REVIEW: CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS AND	
	JUI	DICIAL INTERPRETATION	4
	1.	Judicial Review: The Provisions	4
	2.	Judicial Review: The Interpretation	4
II.		DICIARY BECOMING THE LEGISLATOR: SOME AMPLES	4
	1.	Guidelines for Police Arrest and Detention: D K Basu v. State of West Bengal	4
	2.	Sexual Harassment of Women at Workplace: Vishaka v. State of Rajasthan	4
	3.	Ragging Menace at Universities	4
COl	NCL	USION	(
	Posit	Giampaolo Parodi, <i>The Italian Constitutional Court as</i> ive Legislator GRAPHY	(
		O: Eduardo Ferrer Mac-Gregor, The Mexican Supreme t as Positive Legislator	
			(
		DUCTION	(
1.		E SUPREME COURT AS A CONSTITUTIONAL COURT	(
		Brief Background	(
	2.	Constitutional Evolution	(
	3.	Powers	(
		A. Constitutional Control	(
		B. Other Jurisdiction and Powers	(

II.	THI	E SU	PREME COURT AS A POSITIVE LEGISLATOR	627
	1.	Am_I	paro Proceedings (Specific Effects)	628
	2.	Nor	tract Unconstitutionality Cause of Action against General ms (Laws and International Treaties) and Constitutional atroversies (Erga Omnes Effect)	632
	3.	Leg	islative Omissions	639
CO	NCL	USIC	ONS	642
,		Emm	NDS: Jerfi Uzman, Tom Barkhuysen, and Michiel L. erik, <i>The Dutch Supreme Court: A Reluctant Positive</i> ?	645
INT	ROD)UCI	TION	645
I.			N ON JUDICIAL CONSTITUTIONALITY REVIEW S SCOPE	648
	1.	Arti	icle 120 of the Dutch Constitution	648
	2.	Sun	nmary	651
II.	ENI	FOR	CING INTERNATIONAL HUMAN RIGHTS LAW	652
	1.	Intr	oduction: Monism and Article 94 of the Constitution	652
	2.	" El	igible to Bind All Persons" and Judicial Lawmaking	653
	3.		Increasing Role of the European Convention in National se Law	656
	4.	Cor	ncluding Remarks	660
III.	THI	E LA	WMAKING ROLE OF THE COURTS	661
	1.	Intr	roduction	661
	2.	Def	fining the Process of Lawmaking	662
	3.		Case Law of the Supreme Court Concerning Its vmaking Role	663
		A.	The <i>Dual Custody</i> Case: Distinguishing Positive from Negative Lawmaking	664
		B.	The Dutch Citizenship Case: Avoiding Policy Decisions	665
		C.	The Spring Decisions: Judicial Activism or Prudent Lawmaking?	667
		D.	After the High-Water Mark: A Slow Retreat to Judicial Restraint	667
		E.	Toward a New Model: The 1999 Labour Expenses Deduction Judgment	669
		F.	The Exception to the Rule: European Union Law	673
	4.	Rea	ctions of "La Doctrine" after 1999	675

IV.	MEANS AND EFFECTS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	678
	1. Introduction	678
	2. Procedures Available to Enforce Fundamental Rights Law	678
	3. Remedies for Fundamental Rights Violations	679
	4. Effects of Judgments	681
	5. Mitigating the Temporal Effects of Judgments	683
	6. Judicial Reforms	685
SUI	MMARY	686
BIB	BLIOGRAPHY	688
	RWAY: Eivind Smith, Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators	693
I.	ON THE ORIGINS OF THE NORWEGIAN SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	693
II.	ON THE CHARACTER OF THE NORWEGIAN SYSTEM OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	695
III.	THE SUPREME COURT OF NORWAY AS A POSITIVE LEGISLATOR?	697
	LAND: Marek Safjan, <i>The Constitutional Courts as a Positive</i> Legislator	701
I.	PRELIMINARY REMARKS	701
II.	WHAT IS THE FUNCTION OF THE COURT AS A POSITIVE LEGISLATOR?	703
III.	INDIRECT, POSITIVE IMPACT – EFFECTS OF NEGATIVE LEGISLATION	703
IV.	DIRECT FORMS OF IMPACT EXERTED BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS VERSUS NORMATIVE ACTS	708
	1. Interpretation of the Constitution	708
	2. Interpretation of Laws: Interpretative Rulings	714
	3. Signalization	717
CO	NCLUSIONS	718
PO	RTUGAL: Joaquim de Sousa Ribeiro and Esperança Mealha, Constitutional Courts as Positive Legislators	721
I.	BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE JUDICIAL REVIEW METHOD APPLIED IN PORTUGAL	721

	1.	The Preemptive Control	722
	2.	The Abstract Review and the Concrete Review of Legislation	723
	3.	The Enforceability and Implementation of Decisions of the Constitutional Court	723
II. F	POW	ERS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT	724
	1.	Portuguese Constitutional Court as a Negative Legislator	724
	2.	Powers of the Court and Effects of Constitutional Review Decisions	725
	3.	Interpretation of Statute in Harmony with the Constitution	728
	4.	Manipulative and Additive Decisions	729
	5.	The Impact of Constitutional Case Law	730
		a: Boško Tripković, A Constitutional Court in Transition: ing Sense of Constitutional Adjudication in Postauthoritarian ia	735
INT	rroi	DUCTION	735
I.	NO	RMATIVE GOALS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	736
II.		RFORMANCE OF THE SERBIAN CONSTITUTIONAL URT	741
	1.	General Features of the System of Judicial Review	741
	2.	Constitutional Adjudication and Democratic Process	747
		A. Representation	747
		B. Deliberation	753
	3.	Constitutional Court and Liberal Values	757
		A. Direct Protection of Liberal Values	758
		B. Indirect Protection of Liberal Values	762
CO	NCL	USION	764
	Cons	K REPUBLIC: Ján Svák and Lucia Berdisová, titutional Court of the Slovak Republic as Positive Legislator pplication and Interpretation of the Constitution	767
I.		ERPRETATION OF THE CONSTITUTION ALITY	769
11.	AB)	STRACT CONTROL OF CONSTITUTIONALITY Effect of the Ruling of the Constitutional Court regarding the	771
	1.	Unconformity Between Legal Regulations	773
Ш	CO	NCRETE CONTROL OF CONSTITUTIONALITY	775

SW	ITZ	ERLAND: Tobias Jaag, Constitutional Courts as Positive	
	Legis	slators	783
I.	INT	RODUCTION	783
	1.	Courts as Legislators in General	783
	2.	The Federal Supreme Court as a Constitutional Court	784
		A Judicial Review in General	784
		B. Limitations on Judicial Review	785
	3.	The Federal Supreme Court as Legislator	786
		A. Negative Legislation	786
		B. Positive Legislation	788
	4.	Federal and Cantonal Law	788
II.		FUSAL OF THE FEDERAL SUPREME COURT TO ACT AS SITIVE LEGISLATOR	789
	1.	Principle	789
	2.	Examples	789
III.		E FEDERAL SUPREME COURT AS POSITIVE GISLATOR	791
	1.	In General	791
	2.	Fundamental Rights	791
		A. New Fundamental Rights	791
		B. Interpretation and Substantiation of Fundamental Rights	793
	3.	Political Rights	793
	4.	Procedural Guarantees	795
	5.	Federal Conflicts	796
	6.	Compensation for Infringement of Property Rights	797
	7.	Further Examples	798
CO	NCL	USIONS	798
BIB	BLIO	GRAPHY	800
AB	BRE	VIATIONS	802
		NINGDOM: John Bell, Constitutional Courts as Positive slators	803
INT	ROL	DUCTION AND TERMINOLOGY	803
I.	CO	NSTITUTIONAL (JUDICIAL) REVIEW, CONCENTRATED DISTRIBUTED	806
II		ECIFIC ISSUES	000
11.	OLL	CITIC IDDULD	0U/

	1.	Cor	nstitutional Review Procedures	807
	2.	Jud	licial Decisions	808
		A.	Human Rights and Judicial Activism	809
		В.	Judicial Substitution	811
	3.	Effe	ect of Decisions	813
			ATES OF AMERICA: Laurence Claus and Richard S. stitutional Courts as Positive Legislators in the United	
	State	es .		815
I.	LE	GISL	ATORS AND POSITIVE LEGISLATORS	815
II.	НО	W A	MERICAN COURTS LEGISLATE THROUGH	
	CO	NSTI	TUTIONAL ADJUDICATION	820
III.	EN	FOR	CING JUDICIAL LEGISLATION	829
VE	NEZ	UEL	A: Daniela Urosa Maggi, Constitutional Courts as	
	Posi	tive L	egislators: The Venezuelan Experience	843
I.			RMATIVE JURISDICTION ACCORDING TO THE RUDENCE OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER	846
II.	CA	SES	WHERE THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER HAS DED ITS OWN COMPETENCIES THROUGH THE CING OF THE NORMATIVE JURISDICTION	847
			npetencies in Constitutional Amparo Matters	848
			Modification of the Competencies to Hear the Amparo	
			Claims	849
		В.	Modification of the Procedure of the Constitutional Amparo Claim	849
	2.	Cor	npetencies in the Special Recourse to Review Decisions	850
	3.	_	npetencies to Hear the Interpretation of the Constitution course	852
	4.		npetencies in Matters of Concentrated Control of the Istitutionality of Statutes and Other Acts Having Rank of V	855
		A.	Jurisprudential Inclusion of the Competency of Incidental Control over Statutes	855
		В.	Modification of the Procedure of the Nullity Cases	857
	5.	Cor	npetencies in Matters of Control over Unconstitutionality	
			Omission	858

	6.	Competency in Matters of Claims for Protection of Diffuse and Collective Interests	859
		A. Creation of a Claim for Protection of Diffuse and Collective Interests	859
		B. Procedure to Be Followed and Legitimacy in Claims for Protection of Diffuse and Collective Interests	860
	7.	Competencies in Matters of Habeas Data	860
III.	COl COl	SES OF JUDICIAL ACTIVISM ON MATTERS OF NSTITUTIONAL INTERPRETATION: THE NSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER AS POSITIVE NSTITUENT	862
	1.	Decisions No. 6 of January 27, 2000 (case Milagros Gómez et al.) and No. 180 of March 28, 2000 (case Allan Brewer-Carías et al.): Legitimization of the Constitutional Transition Regime Approved by the National Constituent Assembly on December 1999 and Legitimization of the Acts Performed by Such Assembly in the Execution of Such Transition Regime.	863
	2.	Decision No. 34 of January 26, 2004 (case Vestalia Araujo): Interpretation of Article 203 of the Constitution	864
	3.	Decision No. 565 of April 15, 2008 (case Attorney General of the Republic): Interpretation of Article 164.10, of the 1999 Constitution	865
IV.	WH	ECEDENTS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL CHAMBER IN IICH IT ACTED AS POSITIVE LEGISLATOR FOR ADDING THE LEGAL SYSTEM REGULATORY PRINCIPLES THAT NOT EXIST BEFORE	867
	1.	Decision No. 1013 of June 12, 2001 (case Elias Santana): Interpretation and Limitation of Rights Related to Freedom of Expression and Right to Rebuttal	867
	2.	Decision No. 978 of April 30, 2003 (case Bolívar Banco): Resolution of the Method and Opportunity for Exercising the State Tax Authority on Tax Stamp Matters Pending the Issuing of the Relevant National Legislation	868
	3.	Decision No. 511 of April 5, 2004 (case Maira Rincón Lugo): Decision on the Taking over Proceeding of Cases from Lower Courts (Avocamiento), Having Binding Nature for All Other Courts of the Supreme Tribunal of Justice	869
			/

	4.	Inte betv Mar	rision No. 1682 of July 15, 2005 (case Carmela Manpieri): rpretation of Article 77 of the Constitution – Comparison ween Men and Women De Facto and Men and Women riage – and the Praetorian Development of Its Legal	
		Reg	ime	870
	-	Godo	sion No. 1456 of July 27, 2006 (case Yamilex Núñez de by) and the Praetorian Development of the Legal Regime esisted Reproduction	871
V.	AC	TS A	DENTS OF THE CONSTITUTIONAL COURT THAT S POSITIVE LEGISLATOR TO MODIFY STING LAWS	872
	1.		isions That Modify Legal Rules through Substitutive	012
			isions on No Prior Declaration of Annulment of the Rule	872
		A.	Decision No. 2855 of November 20, 2002 (case <i>FEDENAGA</i>): Constitutionality of Articles 40 and 43 of the Decree with Force of Law on Rural Lands and Agrarian Development	873
		B.	Decision No. 2560 of August 5, 2005 (case <i>Rómulo Pacheco</i>): Modification of Article 172 of the Organic Code of Criminal Procedure	874
		C.	Decision No. 301 of February 27, 2007 (case <i>Adriana Vigilanza</i>): Modification of the Sense and Scope of Article 31 of the Income Tax Law	875
	2.		isions of the Constitutional Chamber That Annulled and ablished the New Wording of Legal Provisions	877
			Decision no. 80 of February 1, 2001 (Case of Procedural Terms): Modification of Article 197 of the Civil Procedure Code Regarding the Calculation of Procedural Terms	877
		B.	Decision No. 1264 of June 11, 2002 (case <i>Jesús Rendón</i>): Partial Annulment of Article 201 of the Civil Procedure Code That Governs Judicial Holidays	878
		C.	Decision No. 2241 of September 24, 2002 (case <i>Andrés Velázquez</i>): Partial Annulment of Article 80 of the Organic Law on the Financial Administration of the Public Sector	879
		D.	Decision No. 3241 of December 12, 2002 (case <i>COVEIN</i>): Partial Annulment of Article 1 of the Ordinance on the Industry and Commerce License Tax of the Bolivar Municipality of the Anzoátegui State	880

		E.	Decision No. 865 of April 22, 2003 (case <i>Ernesto José Rodríguez Casares</i>): Annulment of Article 48 of the Ordinance on Urban and Rural Common and Personal Lands for the Bolivar District of the Zulia State	88
		F.	Decision No. 1104 of May 23, 2006 (case <i>Carlos Brender</i>): Partial Annulment of Article 90 of the Organic Law of the Attorney General of the Republic	88
		G.	Decision No. 163 of February 28, 2008 (case <i>Ciro Ramón Araujo</i>): Partial Annulment of the Organic Law of Public Defense	88
			a. Partial Annulment and Modification of the Text of Article 3 of the Organic Law of Public Defense	88
			b Partial Annulment and Modification of Articles 11, 12, and 13 of the Organic Law of Public Defense	88
			c. Partial Annulment and Modification of Article 15 of the Organic Law of Public Defense	88
	VI.	UNO	EF CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE EXERCISE OF THE RMATIVE POWERS BY THE CONSTITUTIONAL AMBER OF THE SUPREME CHAMBER OF JUSTICE	88
PAI	RT T	HRE	E.	
			REPORT: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS EGISLATORS IN COMPARATIVE LAW	88
PRE	ELIM	INAI	RY REMARKS	88
	1.	The	Subordination of Constitutional Courts to the Constitution	88
	2.		Role of Constitutional Courts and the Question of Acting Positive Legislators	89
FIR	ST T	REN	D: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS INTERFERING WITH THE CONSTITUENT POWER	89
	1.		stitutional Courts Resolving Constitutional Federal outes and Enacting Constitutional Rules	89
	2.		stitutional Courts Exercising Judicial Review on stitutional Provisions	89
	3.		stitutional Courts Exercising Judicial Review on stitutional Reforms and Amendments	89
	4.	The	Role of Constitutional Courts Adapting the Constitution on ters of Fundamental Rights	89
	5.		Mutation of the Constitution on Institutional Matters	89

SE	CON	D TREND: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS INTERFERING WITH EXISTING LEGISLATION	899
	1.	Constitutional Courts Complementing Legislative Functions by Interpreting Statutes in Harmony with the Constitution	899
	2.	Constitutional Courts Complementing the Legislator by Adding New Rules (and a New Meaning) to the Existing Legislative Provision	900
	3.	Constitutional Courts Complementing Legislative Functions by Interfering with the Temporal Effects of Legislation	902
		A. The Power of the Constitutional Courts to Determine When Annulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effect: Postponing the Effect of the Courts' Ruling	903
		B. The Power of the Constitutional Courts to Determine When Annulled Legislation Will Cease to Have Effects: The Retroactive or Nonretroactive Effects of Their Own	
		Decisions	904
		a. The Possibility of Limiting the Retroactive Ex Tunc Effects of Declarative Decisions	905
		b. The Possibility of Retroactive Effects for Ex Nunc Constitutive Decisions	906
	4.	The Power of Constitutional Courts to Revive Repealed Legislation	907
ТН	IRD	TREND: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS INTERFERING WITH THE ABSENCE OF LEGISLATION OR WITH LEGISLATIVE OMISSIONS	907
	1.	Constitutional Courts Filling In Absolute Legislative	
		Omissions	907
		A. The Direct Action against Absolute Legislative Omissions	908
		B. The Protection of Fundamental Rights from Absolute Legislative Omissions by Means of Actions or Complaints for Their Protection	909
	2.	Constitutional Courts Filling In the Gap of Relative Legislative Omissions	912
		A. Constitutional Courts' Issuing of Nonbinding Directives to the Legislator	913
		B. Constitutional Courts' Issuing of Binding Orders and Directives to the Legislator	914
	3.	Constitutional Courts as Provisional Legislators	915
	٥.	Constitutional Courts as I Tovistonal Legistators	113

FOURTI	H TREND: CONSTITUTIONAL COURTS AS LEGISLATORS ON MATTERS OF JUDICIAL REVIEW	917
1.	Constitutional Courts' Creating of Their Own Judicial Review Powers	917
	A. The Judge-Made Law on the Diffuse System of Judicial Review	917
	B. The Extension of Judicial Review Powers to Ensure the Protection of Fundamental Rights	918
2.	The Need for the Express Provision in the Constitution of Judicial Review Powers of the Constitutional Jurisdiction and Its Deviation	919
3.	Constitutional Courts' Creation of Procedural Rules on Judicial Review	920
FINAL R	REMARKS	921
APPENI	DIX	925
INDEX		929