

# CONTENTS

Introduction xiii

## **Chapter 1. Preliminaries: Morality, Consequentialism, Welfarism 1**

### *I. What Is a Moral Decision Procedure? 2*

A. Normative Evaluation, Moral Evaluation, and Persons 3

B. Morality, Social Norms, and Law 11

C. Moral Decision Procedures 14

### *II. Moral Facts and Moral Epistemology 16*

A. Metaethics 16

B. Moral Epistemology 20

### *III. Consequentialism 22*

A. Agent-Relative Constraints 24

B. Agent-Relative Options 29

### *IV. Welfarism 32*

### *V. Welfarism: A Formal Characterization 38*

## **Chapter 2. The SWF Approach and Its Competitors 57**

### *I. The SWF Approach: An Introduction 61*

A. What Is an SWF? 61

B. The Social Welfare Function in Welfare Economics and  
Contemporary Policy Analysis 79

### *II. Cost-Benefit Analysis 88*

A. Minimal Welfarist Criteria 92

B. Using CBA to Rank Outcomes: The Kaldor–Hicks Defense 98

C. CBA, Overall Well-Being, and the Ranking of Outcomes 104

D. Other Roles for CBA? 111

### *III. Inequality Metrics 114*

A. Applying Inequality Metrics to the Distribution of Utility 119

B. Income Evaluation Functions and Equivalent Incomes 124

C. Applying the Pigou–Dalton Principle to Attributes 130

D. Egalitarian Equivalence 134

### *IV. Other Equity Metrics 137*

A. Poverty Metrics 137

B. Social-Gradient Metrics 141

C. Tax Incidence Analysis 145

### *V. QALY-Based Cost-Effectiveness Analysis 148*



## **Chapter 3. Well-Being and Interpersonal Comparisons 155**

### *I. What Is Well-Being? Philosophical Debates 158*

A. Preferences, Mental States, and Objective Goods: Three Themes in the Philosophical Literature on Well-Being 159

B. Why the Debate? 170

C. Metaethical Disputes 181

### *II. Interpersonal Comparisons 185*

A. The Generic Case for Interpersonal Comparisons 187

B. Harsanyi's "Extended Preference" Solution to the Puzzle of Interpersonal Comparisons 192

### *III. Well-Being: An Account 201*

A. Own-History Preferences 202

B. Extended Preferences for Life-Histories in which the Spectator is not the Subject 204

C. Full Information and Rationality 213

D. Zeroing Out 216

E. Pooling Utility Functions 221

F. Why Is this an Attractive Account of Well-Being? 222

### *IV. Lingering Objections 225*

A. Intertemporal and Interworld Change in Preferences 225

B. Respecting Individual Sovereignty 227

C. The Limits of EU Theory (Herein of Incompleteness) 229

D. Should We Use EU Theory to Construct a Difference Ordering? 231

## **Chapter 4. Estimating Utilities 237**

### *I. Simplified Outcomes, Missing Attributes, and Extended Preferences 241*

### *II. Inferring Extended Preferences from Ordinary Preferences 258*

A. The Non-Essentiality Condition 260

B. What Is the Role of Life-History Surveys? 270

### *III. Inferring Extended Preferences: Further Notes and Caveats 275*

A. Subjects' Preferences 275

B. Heterogeneity in Extended Preferences 279

C. Temporal Additivity 284

D. Happiness Data 297

E. Surveys or Revealed-Preference Data? 299

### *IV. Zeroing Out 302*

## **Chapter 5. The Case for a Continuous Prioritarian SWF 307**

### *I. Fairness 314*

A. Fairness and the Separateness of Persons 314

B. Three Conceptions of Fairness: Veil of Ignorance, Claims within Outcomes, Claims across Outcomes 321

C. Is Morality Exhausted by Fairness? 338



|  |     |
|--|-----|
| II. <i>The Pigou–Dalton Principle</i>  | 339 |
| A. <i>The Utilitarian SWF</i>  | 340 |
| B. <i>The Sufficientist SWF</i>  | 345 |
| III. <i>Separability</i>   | 351 |
| IV. <i>Prioritarianism and Prioritarian SWFs, Continuous and Discontinuous</i>                                   | 356 |
| A. <i>What Is Prioritarianism?</i>   | 360 |
| B. <i>The Leximin SWF</i>  | 367 |
| C. <i>The Prioritarian SWF with a Lexical Threshold</i>  | 374 |
| D. <i>“Numbers Win” Redux</i>  | 377 |
| V. <i>The Atkinson SWF</i>   | 378 |
| A. <i>Well-Being Ratios</i>  | 379 |
| B. <i>The Atkinson SWF and Ratio Rescaling Invariance</i>  | 383 |
| C. <i>Calibrating the Atkinson SWF</i>   | 392 |
| VI. <i>The Metaethics of the SWF</i>   | 399 |
| <br><b>Chapter 6. Lifetime Prioritarianism</b>   | 405 |
| I. <i>Personal Identity Over Time</i>  | 409 |
| II. <i>Lifetime Well-Being</i>   | 414 |
| A. <i>The Structure of Lifetime Well-Being</i>   | 414 |
| B. <i>Discounting</i>  | 422 |
| III. <i>The Basic Case for Lifetime Prioritarianism</i>  | 430 |
| IV. <i>Does Parfit’s Reductionist Account of Personal Identity Undermine Lifetime Prioritarianism?</i>           | 442 |
| A. <i>Shifting from Persons to Momentary Time-Slices as the Units of Moral Concern?</i>                          | 443 |
| B. <i>Shifting from Persons to Person-Stages as the Units of Moral Concern?</i>                                  | 450 |
| C. <i>Reducing the Moral Weight of Fairness?</i>   | 453 |
| V. <i>Intuitions about Equalization of Attributes or Sublifetime Well-Being</i>                                  | 454 |
| A. <i>Intrapersonal Equalization</i>   | 455 |
| B. <i>Interpersonal Synchronization</i>  | 463 |
| C. <i>Interpersonal Equalization</i>   | 466 |
| <br><b>Chapter 7. Ranking Actions: Prioritarianism Under Uncertainty</b>   | 477 |
| I. <i>EU Theory</i>  | 481 |
| A. <i>EU Theory as a Generic Set of Bridge Principles</i>  | 481 |
| B. <i>Meshing EU Theory with the Continuous Prioritarian SWF</i>   | 490 |
| II. <i>Defending EU Prioritarianism from the Targeted Objection</i>  | 496 |
| A. <i>The Targeted Objection: How EU Prioritarianism Violates the Ex Ante Pareto and Pigou–Dalton Principles</i> | 497 |
| B. <i>Responding to the Targeted Objection</i>   | 506 |
| C. <i>Choosing a “Translation” Function (Herein of Ex Ante Separability)</i>                                     | 518 |
| D. <i>Should We Reconsider the Continuous Prioritarian SWF?</i>  | 524 |
| III. <i>Generic Refinements to EU Theory</i>   | 530 |



- A. Incomplete Orderings (and Dynamic Choice) 531
- B. Imprecise Probabilities 542
- C. Uncertainty about the Ordering of Outcomes 545
- D. Strategic Interaction 547

**Chapter 8. Next Steps 553**

*I. Law and Legally Optimal Structures 554*

- A. Is it Optimal for Non-tax Bodies to use CBA? 560
- B. Does Everyone Benefit in the Long Run if Government uses CBA? 566
- C. The Abilities and Motivations of Government Officials  
(Herein of Regulatory Review) 567

*II. Relaxing the Population Assumption 571*

- A. Non-identity Problems 571
- B. Variable Population Size 575
- C. Infinite Populations 576

*III. Responsibility 579*

Bibliography 585

Index 617