

# Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
<i>Table of authorities</i>	xvii
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Forms of responsibility in international criminal law	1
1.2 Scope of this book and terminology used	4
2 Joint criminal enterprise	7
2.1 Origins and development of Joint Criminal Enterprise in the jurisprudence of the <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals	10
2.2 Limited application of JCE in the ICTR	28
2.3 Elements of Joint Criminal Enterprise	33
2.3.1 Physical elements	34
2.3.1.1 The JCE consisted of a plurality of persons: first physical element	35
2.3.1.2 Common plan, design, or purpose: second physical element	37
2.3.1.3 The accused participated in the JCE: third physical element	44
2.3.2 Mental elements	51
2.3.2.1 Mental elements of the first category of JCE	51
2.3.2.1.1 Voluntary participation	51
2.3.2.1.2 Shared intent	52
2.3.2.2 Mental elements of the second category of JCE	57
2.3.2.2.1 Personal knowledge	57
2.3.2.2.2 Intent to further criminal purpose	59
2.3.2.2.3 Shared intent for specific-intent crimes	67
2.3.2.3 Mental elements of the third category of JCE	68



2.3.2.3.1	Intent to participate and further criminal purpose	68
2.3.2.3.2	Accused's anticipation of natural and foreseeable commission of charged crime	70
2.4	The <i>Brđanin</i> Trial Judgement: reining in the expansion of JCE?	84
2.4.1	The <i>Brđanin</i> Trial Judgement	85
2.4.2	Warning signs before the <i>Brđanin</i> Trial Judgement	89
2.4.3	Precedent considered in the <i>Brđanin</i> Trial Judgement	93
2.4.4	Post- <i>Brđanin</i> jurisprudence	96
2.4.5	Assessing the impact of <i>Brđanin</i>	103
2.5	Indirect co-perpetration: a new form of common-purpose liability?	104
2.5.1	The <i>Stakić</i> Trial Judgement	105
2.5.2	The prosecutor's response to the <i>Brđanin</i> and <i>Stakić</i> Trial Judgements	109
2.5.3	The March 2006 <i>Milutinović</i> decision	112
2.5.4	The <i>Stakić</i> Appeal Judgement	114
2.5.5	The <i>Gacumbitsi</i> Appeal Judgement	116
2.5.6	Assessing the impact of <i>Milutinović</i> , <i>Stakić</i> and <i>Gacumbitsi</i>	121
2.6	Joint Criminal Enterprise and its analogues in the International Criminal Court and internationalised tribunals	124
2.6.1	The International Criminal Court	124
2.6.2	The Internationalised Tribunals	128
2.6.2.1	Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)	128
2.6.2.2	East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC)	133
2.6.2.3	The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)	136
2.6.2.4	Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT), formerly known as the Iraqi Special Tribunal (IST)	137
2.7	Conclusion	140
3	Superior responsibility	142
3.1	Origins and development of the superior responsibility doctrine	145
3.1.1	The roots of the superior responsibility doctrine	145
3.1.2	Developments subsequent to the Second World War	148



3.1.3	Historical evolution of the elements of superior responsibility	152
3.1.3.1	Historical evolution of the subordinate-superior relationship element	152
3.1.3.1.1	Post-Second World War cases	153
3.1.3.1.2	Additional protocols	157
3.1.3.1.3	Statutes of the <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals	158
3.1.3.2	Historical evolution of the mental element	159
3.1.3.2.1	Post-Second World War cases	159
3.1.3.2.2	Additional protocols	167
3.1.3.2.3	The Kahan Report (Israeli Commission of Inquiry)	168
3.1.3.2.4	The Statutes of the <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals	169
3.1.3.2.5	ICC Statute	169
3.1.3.3	Historical evolution of the 'necessary and reasonable measures' element	170
3.1.3.3.1	Post-Second World War cases: 'necessary and reasonable measures'	170
3.1.3.3.2	Post-Second World War cases: duty to prevent as a separate duty?	172
3.1.3.3.3	Additional protocols	173
3.2	Elements of superior responsibility	174
3.2.1	Elements	181
3.2.1.1	A superior-subordinate relationship existed between the accused and the person for whose criminal conduct he is alleged to be responsible	181
3.2.1.2	The accused knew or had reason to know that the criminal conduct in question was about to be, was being, or had been realised by one or more subordinates	200
3.2.1.2.1	Actual knowledge: first alternative mental element	203
3.2.1.2.2	Constructive knowledge: second alternative mental element	205
3.2.1.3	The accused failed to take the necessary and reasonable measures to prevent or punish the subordinate criminal conduct in question	221
3.2.1.3.1	Common sub-element for the failure to prevent and the failure to punish	224



3.2.1.3.2	First form of superior responsibility: the failure to prevent	227
3.2.1.3.3	Second form of superior responsibility: the failure to punish	229
3.3	The scope of the subordinate criminal conduct that may give rise to superior responsibility	237
3.4	Superior responsibility in the International Criminal Court and internationalised tribunals	252
3.4.1	The International Criminal Court	252
3.4.1.1	A bifurcated standard	253
3.4.1.2	Mental element: a higher standard for civilian superiors	258
3.4.1.3	Causation	260
3.4.1.4	Past crimes and independent obligations	262
3.4.2	The internationalised tribunals	264
3.4.2.1	Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)	264
3.4.2.2	East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC)	268
3.4.2.3	The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)	271
3.4.2.4	Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT)	272
3.5	Conclusion	274
4	Complicity and aiding and abetting	278
4.1	The modes of participation in genocide: inchoate crimes or forms of responsibility?	280
4.2	The relationship between 'aiding and abetting genocide' and 'complicity in genocide'	291
4.3	Elements of aiding and abetting	303
4.3.1	Physical elements	304
4.3.1.1	Practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support: first physical element	305
4.3.1.1.1	The accused lent practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support to the physical perpetrator in committing a crime	305
4.3.1.1.2	The accused may aid and abet by mere presence at the scene of the crime	307



4.3.1.1.3	Does a form of responsibility known as 'aiding and abetting by omission' exist in international criminal law?	310
4.3.1.1.4	The accused may aid and abet in the planning, preparation, or execution of a crime, and before, during, or after the crime of the physical perpetrator	315
4.3.1.1.5	The accused need not be physically present when the physical perpetrator commits the crime	316
4.3.1.2	Substantial effect: second physical element	317
4.3.1.2.1	The practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support had a substantial effect on the commission of the crime by the physical perpetrator	317
4.3.2	Mental elements	319
4.3.2.1	Intentional action	319
4.3.2.1.1	The accused acted intentionally with knowledge or awareness that his act would lend assistance, encouragement, or moral support to the physical perpetrator	319
4.3.2.2	Awareness of crime	321
4.3.2.2.1	The accused was aware of the essential elements of the physical perpetrator's crime, including the perpetrator's mental state	321
4.3.2.3	The requisite intent of the accused aider and abettor for specific-intent crimes	324
4.4	Elements of complicity in genocide	327
4.4.1	Practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support: first physical element	328
4.4.1.1	The accused lent practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support to the physical perpetrator in committing a crime	328



4.4.2	Substantial effect: second physical element	328
4.4.2.1	The practical assistance, encouragement, or moral support had a substantial effect on the commission of the crime by the physical perpetrator	328
4.4.3	Mental elements: intentional action and awareness of crime	329
4.4.3.1	The accused acted intentionally, and was aware of the essential elements of the crime of genocide, including the perpetrator's mental state	329
4.5	Complicity and aiding and abetting in the International Criminal Court and internationalised tribunals	330
4.5.1	The International Criminal Court	330
4.5.2	The internationalised tribunals	334
4.5.2.1	Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)	334
4.5.2.2	East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC)	336
4.5.2.3	The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)	337
4.5.2.4	Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT)	339
4.6	Conclusion	341
5	Planning, instigating and ordering	343
5.1	Evolution of the elements of planning, instigating and ordering in the jurisprudence of the <i>ad hoc</i> tribunals	344
5.2	Elements of planning	354
5.2.1	Design of conduct with intent or awareness of substantial likelihood	354
5.2.2	Substantial contribution	357
5.3	Elements of instigating	358
5.3.1	Prompting of conduct with intent or awareness of substantial likelihood	358
5.3.2	Substantial contribution	362
5.4	Elements of ordering	364
5.4.1	Instruction to engage in conduct with intent or awareness of substantial likelihood	364
5.4.2	Authority of accused	367
5.4.3	Direct and substantial contribution	370
5.5	Planning, instigating and ordering in the International Criminal Court and internationalised criminal tribunals	371
5.5.1	The International Criminal Court	371



5.5.2	The internationalised tribunals	373
5.5.2.1	Special Court for Sierra Leone (SCSL)	373
5.5.2.2	East Timor: Special Panels for Serious Crimes (SPSC)	376
5.5.2.3	The Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia (ECCC)	377
5.5.2.4	Supreme Iraqi Criminal Tribunal (SICT)	378
5.6	Conclusion	379
6	Concurrent convictions and sentencing	381
6.1	Choosing among forms of responsibility	382
6.1.1	Concurrent convictions pursuant to more than one Article 7/6(1) form of responsibility	388
6.1.2	Concurrent convictions pursuant to Article 7/6(1) and Article 7/6(3)	393
6.2	Forms of responsibility and sentencing	406
7	Conclusion	415
7.1	Innovations in the law on forms of responsibility in the <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals	416
7.2	The <i>ad hoc</i> Tribunals' emphasis on 'commission' liability	419
7.3	Limitations on trial chamber discretion in choosing forms of responsibility	423
7.4	The future development of the law on forms of responsibility	424
	<i>Annex: Elements of forms of responsibility in international criminal law</i>	426
	<i>Index</i>	430