

# Contents

Series Editor's Preface	v
Foreword by Bruno Simma	vii
Acknowledgments	xi
Tables of Cases	xvii
<i>Introduction</i>	1
<i>1 A Choice of Method</i>	4
I The Terms of the Methodological Debate on the Non-Use of Force: the Extensive Versus the Restrictive Approach	5
A. The Extensive Approach to the Customary Prohibition of the Use of Force	6
B. The Restrictive Approach to the Customary Prohibition of the Use of Force	15
II Methodological Approach of this Book	27
A. Reliance on a Novel Right	29
B. The Acceptance of the Modification of the Legal Rule by the International Community of States as a Whole	34
<i>2 What do 'Use of Force' and 'Threat of Force' mean?</i>	50
I What does 'Force' mean?	51
A. The Boundary between Military Force and Police Measures	52
B. Determining the Threshold: 'Force' within the Meaning of Article 2(4) of the Charter	66
II What does 'Threat of Force' mean?	92
A. The Restrictive Meaning of 'Threat' under Article 2(4) of the Charter	93
B. The Scope of the Prohibition of Threat: the Absence of any Specific Regime for the Contemplated Use of Force	111



<i>3 Do the Prohibition of the Use of Force and Self-defence Apply to Non-State Actors?</i>	126
I Exclusion of Non-State Political Entities from the Rule's Scope of Application	127
A. Inapplicability of the Rule Prohibiting the Use of Force to Civil Wars	127
B. Inapplicability of the Rule to National Liberation Struggles	135
C. The Case of Territories with Entities of Controversial Legal Status	149
II Exclusion of Private Groups from the Rule's Scope of Application	160
A. Maintaining 'International Relations' as Relations among States: the Letter and Spirit of the Rule	162
B. Maintaining 'International Relations' as Relations between States: the Interpretation of Texts in Practice	174
C. Maintaining 'International Relations' as Relations between States: the Works of the International Law Commission and of the International Court of Justice	186
<i>4 Can Circumstances Precluding Unlawfulness be Invoked to Justify a Use of Force?</i>	198
I Inadmissibility in Principle	199
A. The Peremptory Character of the Rule in Article 2(4) of the Charter	200
B. Inadmissibility of Circumstances Precluding Unlawfulness Not Provided for by the Charter	213
II Inadmissibility Confirmed in Practice	225
A. Precedents Attesting to States' General Reluctance to Invoke Circumstances Precluding Unlawfulness	225
B. Precedents Attesting Unequivocal Condemnation of Armed Reprisals	234
C. The Rare Precedents where Circumstances Precluding Unlawfulness have been Invoked to Justify the Use of Force	236
<i>5 Intervention by Invitation</i>	249
I The General Legal Regime of Military Intervention by Invitation	250
A. The Possibility of Consenting to Armed Intervention within the Limits of Peremptory Law ( <i>Jus Cogens</i> )	250



B. The Requirement for Consent of the State's Highest Authorities	259
C. The Existence of 'Validly Given' Consent	266
II The Legal Regime of Military Intervention by Invitation in an Internal Conflict	276
A. The Problem of Concurrent Governments	277
B. The Problem of the Purpose of the Intervention by Invitation	288
6 <i>Intervention Authorised by the UN Security Council</i>	311
I The General Legal Regime of Authorised Military Intervention	312
A. The Lawfulness of Military Intervention Authorised by the Security Council	312
B. The Unlawfulness of Military Intervention 'Authorised' by Another UN Body or by Another Subject of International Law	329
II The Problem of Presumed Authorisation	348
A. The Absence of Recognition of Presumed Authorisation in Practice	349
B. Refusals and Obstacles of Principle to Recognition of a Presumed Authorisation	390
7 <i>Self-Defence</i>	401
I 'Armed Attack' According to Article 51 of the Charter	402
A. 'Preventive Self-Defence' Theories	406
B. The Question of 'Indirect Aggression'	443
II Necessity and Proportionality	470
A. The Limit of Necessary Measures Adopted by the Security Council	472
B. The General Meaning of Conditions of Necessity and Proportionality	479
8 <i>A Right of Humanitarian Intervention?</i>	495
I Non-Recognition in Legal Texts	497
A. The Dismissal of the Right of Humanitarian Intervention in Classical Legal Texts	498
B. The Persistent Refusal to Accept a 'Right of Humanitarian Intervention'	511
II The Non-Existence of Decisive Precedents	526
A. The Absence of Consecration of a Right of Humanitarian Intervention before 1990	527



B. The Absence of Consecration of a Right of Humanitarian Intervention since 1990	537
<i>Conclusion</i>	550
Selected Reading	555
Index	559