

Contents

<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>page</i> xiii
1 What Is Constitutional Intolerance?	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 What Is Toleration?	5
1.3 The Theoretical Incompatibility of (Religious) Intolerance and Constitutionalism	9
1.4 Outline of the Chapters	12
2 Governing Religious Difference: Toleration as Legal Practice	17
2.1 Toleration as an Administrative Discretion	17
2.2 Frames of Reference to Toleration	19
2.3 Layers of Visibility	23
2.4 Toleration Treaties: A Norm for Discernment in Action	27
2.5 The Imaginary and the Modern State	32
3 Toleration, Time, and the Other	35
3.1 Time and Its Relevance to Toleration	35
3.2 To Grow into Our Image: The Category of “Becoming”	37
3.3 The Centrality of the Constitutional Community	40
3.4 Constitutions as the Binding of Time	45
3.5 The Significance of Time and Temporalities to Constitutional Intolerance	49
4 National Identity, Publicness, and Public Space	51
4.1 National Identity and Its Entanglement with Public Space	51
4.2 National Identity as a Spectrum of Othering	52

4.3	Toleration as Privatisation, Secularisation as Privatisation? The Case for Trans-liminal Public Space	58
4.4	Neutrality or Substantive Democracy?	64
4.5	The Significance of Public Space to Constitutional Intolerance	67
5	Dynamic Interpretation of Constitutional Concepts: <i>Laïcité</i> in France	69
5.1	<i>Laïcité</i> beyond Religion	69
5.2	<i>Laïcité</i> as a Projection of National Identity	70
5.3	The Pseudo-constitutional Notion of <i>Vivre Ensemble</i> in the “Burqa Bans”	77
5.4	<i>Laïcité</i> as Control: The 2021 <i>Laïcité</i> Bill	81
5.5	The Significance of Dynamic Interpretation	85
6	<i>Ad libitum</i> Use of Constitutional Concepts: Public Order in the Netherlands	87
6.1	The Unwritten Nature of Religion–State Relationships in the Netherlands	87
6.2	Religion and National Identity in the Netherlands	89
6.3	Public Order as a Foundation of Constitutionalism	93
6.4	Public Order in the Dutch Burqa Ban	98
6.5	The Significance of Constitutional Intolerance in the Netherlands	102
7	Constitutional Identity as a Political Instrument: New Fundamental Law in Hungary	104
7.1	The Rise of Fidesz under the Leadership of Viktor Orbán	104
7.2	“There Are Things Which Are Eternal”: The Assertion of Sacredness of the Hungarian Nation and Its “Constitutional Identity”	107
7.3	The Church Law of 2011 and the Loss of Legal Personality of Disfavoured Religious Organisations	111
7.4	The Church Law as Constitutional Intolerance: Mapping the Repertoires	116
7.5	The Constitutional Theoretical Significance of Intolerant Repertoires	120
8	Pseudo-constitutional Repertoires: The “LGBT-Free Zones” in Poland	127
8.1	The Rise of Illiberalism since 2015	127
8.2	A Thousand-Year Christian Polish Identity?	129
8.3	Local Declarations on LGBT Identities and Family Charters	135

8.4	The Wider Legal Context of the LGBT Resolutions and Family Charters	140
8.5	The Significance of Pseudo-constitutional Repertoires for Constitutional Intolerance	141
9	Conclusion: Constitutional Intolerance in Context	143
9.1	The Many Faces of Constitutional Intolerance	143
9.2	Normative Reflection: The Significance of Historical Toleration	146
9.3	Normative Reflection: The Meaning of Sharing Space and Time	149
9.4	The Rise of Cynical Democracy	153
	<i>Bibliography</i>	157
	<i>Index</i>	173