

Contents

List of Abbreviations	6	2.4 PRTRs in Other Developed Countries	30
1. Introduction.....	7	2.4.1 Toxics Release Inventory in USA	30
2. Pollutant Release and Transfer Registers (PRTRs)	8	2.4.2 National Pollutant Release Inventory (NPRI) in Canada	31
2.1 History of PRTR	10	2.4.3 PRTR in Japan	32
2.2 European Pollutants Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR).....	15	2.4.4 PRTR in the Republic of Korea.....	34
2.3 Examples of the National PRTRs in EU Member States	16	2.5 PRTRs in some Developing and Low-Middle Income Countries	35
2.3.1 Integrated Pollution Register (IRZ) as PRTR		2.5.1 PRTR in Chile.....	35
in the Czech Republic: A Brief Overview	16	2.5.2 PRTR in Colombia.....	35
2.3.1.1 Brief History of the Creation of the Czech IRZ.....	18	2.5.3 PRTR in Bosnia and Herzegovina	36
2.3.1.2 Enhancing Accessibility in the 21st Century	20	2.5.4 PRTR in Tajikistan.....	36
2.3.1.3 About the IRZ in More Detail	21	2.5.5 PRTR in Kazakhstan.....	37
2.3.1.3.1 Who and how often reports to the IRZ.....	21	2.5.6 PRTR in Moldova.....	38
2.3.1.3.2 How are data reported to the IRZ obtained?	22	2.5.7 PRTR in Thailand	39
2.3.1.3.3 Measurement.....	22	2.6 List of Existing PRTR Websites	41
2.3.1.3.4 Calculation.....	23	2.7 Comparison of the Efficiency Between Various PRTRs	42
2.3.1.3.5 Estimation.....	23	3. Multilateral Agreements, Inter-governmental Organizations and PRTR ..44	
2.3.1.3.6 What data are reported to the IRZ?.....	23	3.1 Principle 10 of the Rio Declaration.....	44
2.3.1.4 How is the Quality of Reported Data Ensured?	23	3.2 OECD	45
2.3.1.4.1 Verification of reported data.....	24	3.3 Aarhus Convention.....	45
2.3.1.4.2 Certification of measurement methods	24	3.3.1 Kyiv Protocol.....	46
2.3.1.4.3 Certification of authorized persons	24	3.4 The Stockholm Convention on POPs	46
2.3.1.4.4 Penalties for non-compliance.....	24	3.4.1 Main Provisions of the Stockholm Convention	47
2.3.1.5 Photogallery of Facilities reporting into the Czech PRTR.....	24	3.4.2 PRTRs and the Stockholm Convention.....	47
2.3.2 IREP as PRTR in France.....	26	3.5 Minamata Convention on Mercury.....	47
2.3.3 PRTR in The Netherlands.....	27	3.6 United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC)...	51

3.7 2030 Agenda: UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)	51	5.4 Civil Society Organizations as Stakeholders of PRTR Design Process	95
3.8 Regional Agreement on Access to Information, Public Participation and Justice in Environmental Matters in Latin America and the Caribbean...	51	5.5 Concerns of Industrial Companies	96
4. PRTRs and Civil Society	52	5.5.1 Benefits of PRTR for Industry	97
4.1 Case Studies – Civil Society	52	5.6 Chemically Specific Reporting About Waste Transfers	99
4.1.1 Use of TRI by Civil Society in USA.....	52	5.7 Estimation of the Releases - Tools.....	101
4.1.2 Factory Watch – Friends of the Earth UK Project in 1990s.....	54	5.8 Setting Good Thresholds for Reporting Obligation.....	102
4.1.3 Polluters Application in the Czech Republic	56	5.9 Coverage of the Most Important Pollutants and Modifications of Their List	102
4.1.4 Top Ten Biggest Polluters for Bosnia and Herzegovina and Kazakhstan.....	62	5.10 Small and Medium-sized Enterprises and Other Recommendations by the Toxic Watch Network, Japan on PRTRs in Asian Countries	105
4.1.5 Thailand: CSOs as a Driver for PRTR Implementation.....	62	5.10.1 Small and Medium-sized Enterprises and Industrial Productions in Indonesia	106
4.1.5.1 Campaign for PRTR Act in Thailand	66	5.10.1.1 Artisanal and Small-Scale Gold Mining (ASGM).....	108
4.1.6 Case Studies on Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)	68	5.11 PRTR and Water Pollution.....	112
4.1.6.1 Case Study: Trichloroethylene in Czech PRTR (IRZ)	68	5.11.1 Cyanide Accidents, River Poisoning and PRTR	113
4.1.6.2 Case Study: Styrene in Czech PRTR (IRZ).....	69	5.11.1.1 Cyanides.....	113
4.1.6.3 Case Study: Naphthalene in an Industrial Facility Adjacent to the River...72		5.11.1.2 Two Cyanide Accidents and PRTR.....	114
4.1.7 Case Studies on Toxic Chemicals in Waste Transfers	76	5.11.2 PRTRs and Fishermen.....	115
4.1.7.1 Case Study: Arsenic in Waste Transfers.....	76	5.11.2.1.1 How Can PRTR Help Fishing Communities?	118
4.1.7.2 Case study: Hexachlorobenzene and similar POPs	82	5.11.2.1.2 PFASs, Mercury and Other Persistent Pollutants Contaminate Fish	118
4.1.7.3 Estimation of Dioxins in Waste Based on PRTR Data.....	85	Annexes.....	123
4.2 Beyond NGOs: Expanding Horizons of PRTR Data Utilization	89	Annex 1: OECD Recommendations	123
5. Crucial Elements of Good PRTR	92	Annex 2: List of Substances Incorporated in the Monitoring Programme in the Netherlands in 1997	126
5.1 OECD Recommendations	92	References.....	128
5.1.1 Key Points of the Recommendation	92		
5.2 Public Access to All Data about Releases from Individual Industrial and Agricultural Sources	93		
5.3 PRTR as a New Database: Complementary Rather Than Competitive or Cancelling Existing Ones	94		