

CONTENTS

<i>Foreword</i>	xi
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xiv
<i>Table of Cases</i>	xvi
<i>Select Table of Key Documents</i>	xx
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxii
Introduction	1
PART I The Case for an Analogy	13
1 Analogy in International Legal Reasoning	15
1.1 The Normative Case for Analogy in Legal Reasoning	17
1.1.1 A Jurisprudential Divide	17
1.1.2 The Structure of Analogical Reasoning	18
1.1.3 Systematicity, Formal Justice and the Rule of Law	20
1.1.4 Challenging Analogies	24
1.2 Analogy in International Legal Reasoning	26
1.2.1 The Systematicity of International Law	28
1.2.2 Completeness of the System and the <i>Lotus</i> Closure Rule	31
1.3 Analogy in the Codification and Progressive Development of International Law	35
1.3.1 Systemic Codification by Analogy	35
1.3.2 Analogy as a Method in the Projects on Treaties and Responsibility of IOs	39
1.3.3 The Ripeness Objection	43
1.4 Concluding Remarks	47
2 The Foundations of the Analogy between States and International Organizations	49
2.1 International Organizations as a Category of International Legal Subjects	50
2.1.1 Two Conceptions of the Status of International Organizations	53
2.1.1.1 The Two Conceptions in the Work of the ILC	55
2.1.1.2 Weighing the Conceptions	59

2.1.1.3	The Importance of Taking a Position	66
2.1.2	In Search of a Unifying Principle	68
2.1.2.1	Attempts to Define 'International Organization'	68
2.1.2.2	International Legal Personality as a Unifying Principle?	72
2.1.2.3	International Legal Autonomy as a Unifying Principle	75
2.2	The Relevant Similarity between States and International Organizations	79
2.2.1	Legal Autonomy and the Capacity to Operate on the International Plane	79
2.2.2	Justifying the Relevant Similarity	82
2.3	Concluding Remarks	85
PART II Objections to the Analogy		87
3	Structural Differences between States and International Organizations	89
3.1	'International Organizations Have No Territory'	89
3.2	'International Organizations Have No Population'	92
3.3	'International Organizations Have No Centralised Government'	96
3.3.1	Representation and Attribution of Conduct	96
3.3.2	Silence and the Acquisition and Loss of Rights	99
3.4	Assessing the Significance of Structural Differences	104
4	International Organizations as 'Special Subjects'	107
4.1	Different Rules for Different International Organizations?	108
4.1.1	Universal and Regional Organizations	109
4.1.2	Regional Integration Organizations	111
4.1.3	The Challenge of Establishing Subcategories	114
4.2	International Organizations as 'Derivative Subjects' of International Law	116
4.2.1	International Organizations and the Formation of Custom	118
4.2.2	International Organizations and the Formation of Peremptory Norms	124
4.2.3	International Organizations and Law-Making Treaties	126
4.3	International Organizations as Subjects with Limited Competence	128
4.3.1	The Case for a Wide-Reaching Principle of Speciality	131
4.3.2	Speciality and the Observance and Invalidity of Treaties	134
4.3.3	Speciality and Responsibility for <i>Ultra Vires</i> Conduct	138
4.3.4	The Proper Scope of the Principle of Speciality	140

4.3.5	The Principle of Speciality, <i>Lex Specialis</i> and the Relevance of General Rules	142
4.4	Concluding Remarks	145
5	International Organizations as ‘Layered Subjects’	147
5.1	The Push towards an Analogy between Unitary and Layered Subjects	149
5.1.1	International Organizations and the Relative Effect of Treaties	149
5.1.2	International Organizations and the Principle of Independent Responsibility	151
5.1.2.1	Rules on Attribution of Conduct	152
5.1.2.2	Rules on Attribution of Responsibility	154
5.2	Calibrating the Analogy between Unitary and Layered Subjects	162
5.2.1	Acceptance of Rights, Obligations and Responsibility	162
5.2.1.1	Acceptance of Rights and Obligations by Member States	162
5.2.1.2	Acceptance of Rights and Obligations by Organizations	169
5.2.1.3	Acceptance of Responsibility by Member States	171
5.2.2	Responsibility for Circumvention of International Obligations	172
5.2.2.1	Legal Character and Systemic Function of Provisions on Circumvention	173
5.2.2.2	The Limits of Provisions on Circumvention	177
5.2.3	Enabling Organizations to Perform their International Obligations	180
5.3	Concluding Remarks	183
PART III	Limits of the Analogy	185
6	Analogy in the Relations between Organizations and Members	187
6.1	Relations on the International Plane	188
6.1.1	General International Law as the Applicable Law	188
6.1.2	The Rules of the Organization as <i>Lex Specialis</i>	190
6.1.3	Membership Ties and Obligations of Cooperation	194
6.2	Relations on the Institutional Plane	195
6.2.1	The Analogy’s Breaking Point	195
6.2.2	The Terms of the Relations between International Legal Orders	199
6.2.2.1	A Monistic Presumption?	200
6.2.2.2	Limits of the Monistic Presumption	206
6.3	Concluding Remarks	211

7	Normative Contestation of the Analogy	212
7.1	Contestation in the Application of 'Primary Rules' to International Organizations	214
7.1.1	Law of Immunities	214
7.1.2	Law of Armed Conflict	222
7.2	Contestation in the Application of 'Secondary Rules' to International Organizations	225
7.2.1	Reservations to Treaties	226
7.2.2	Countermeasures	230
7.2.3	Necessity	233
7.2.4	Invocation of Responsibility by an 'Interested Organization'	234
7.3	Concluding Remarks	235
	Conclusion	238
	<i>Bibliography</i>	246
	<i>Index</i>	258
	<i>Cambridge Studies in International and Comparative Law</i>	268