

CONTENTS

Abbreviations

xii

INTRODUCTION

1

1 DETERMINISM AND FATE

16

1.1 Physical and ontological foundations

16

1.1.1 The active principle

16

1.1.2 Causation

18

1.1.3 Motions and qualitative states

21

1.2 Teleological determinism defined

28

1.3 Causal determinism defined

33

1.3.1 The anti-Stoic argument for spontaneous motions

34

1.3.2 Chrysippus' reply

36

1.3.3 Causal determinism

38

1.4 Fate

44

1.4.1 Fate is God is Providence is Nature is the Active Principle . . .

45

1.4.2 The main aspects of fate

47

1.4.3 Teleological and mechanical aspects of Stoic determinism combined

53

1.4.4 The Fate Principle

56

2 TWO CHRYSIPPEAN ARGUMENTS FOR CAUSAL DETERMINISM

59

2.1 Causal determinism and the Principle of Bivalence

59

2.1.1 Chrysippus' Bivalence Argument

61

2.1.2 Chrysippus versus Epicurus on truth and fate

75

2.2 Causal determinism and prediction

87

2.2.1 Chrysippus' concept of divination

87

2.2.2 Chrysippus' proof of fate through the existence of divination

88

2.2.3 Causal determinism as necessary condition for the existence of divination

93

3 MODALITY, DETERMINISM, AND FREEDOM

97

3.1 Modal logic and the threat of determinism

97

3.1.1 Hellenistic modalities in general

98

3.1.2 Diodorus and necessitarianism

102

3.1.3 Philo and conceptual or essentialist modalities

108

3.1.4 Chrysippus' modal system

112

3.1.5 Contingency and freedom

116

3.1.6* Appendix: Identification of Chrysippus' modal notions

119

3.2	Objection: Stoic determinism and Stoic modal logic are incompatible	122
3.3	A Stoic reply: fate and epistemic modalities	131
3.4	Chrysippus' distinction between Necessity and that which is necessary	136
3.4.1	The textual evidence	136
3.4.2	Necessity and that which is necessary and their relation to fate	140
4	DIVINATION, MODALITY, AND UNIVERSAL REGULARITY	144
4.1	Objection: divination and Stoic contingency are incompatible	145
4.1.1	The divinatory theorem	145
4.1.2	The second argument against the Stoics	146
4.1.3	The first argument against the Stoics	150
4.1.4	The relation between the two arguments	152
4.1.5	Chrysippus' modal notions conflict with divination	153
4.2	Chrysippus' reply: active causation versus regularity of occurrents	156
4.2.1	Negated conjunctions in lieu of conditionals	156
4.2.2	Divinatory theorems, sign-relations, and causation	159
4.2.3	A modification of the anti-Stoic objection	170
4.2.4	Divination, determinism, and human action	173
4.2.5	Appendix: Conditional predictions	175
5	FATE, ACTION, AND MOTIVATION: THE IDLE ARGUMENT	180
5.1	The Idle Argument	182
5.1.1	Exposition of the argument	182
5.1.2	The plausibility of the argument	185
5.1.3	Futility and goal-directed activity	191
5.1.4	Is the Idle Argument a sophism?	193
5.2	Replies to the Idle Argument: the sources	199
5.2.1	Cicero, <i>On Fate</i> 30	199
5.2.2	Origen, <i>Against Celsus</i> II 20, 342.71–82	205
5.2.3	Eusebius, <i>Preparation for the Gospel</i> 6.8.25–38	208
5.3	Chrysippus' refutation: fatalism versus causal determinism	217
5.3.1	Simply fated occurrents reconsidered	217
5.3.2	Co-fatedness and causation	221
5.3.3	Chrysippus' refutation and its implications for his determinism	226
5.3.4	Critique of Chrysippus' refutation	231
6	DETERMINISM AND MORAL RESPONSIBILITY: CHRYSIPPUS' COMPATIBILISM	234
6.1	Some preliminary considerations	235
6.1.1	Overview of the central passages	235
6.1.2	Chrysippus' conception of mind and action	239

6.2 Another argument against fate: fate renders moral appraisal unjust	242
6.2.1 The argument in Gellius	243
6.2.2 The argument in Cicero	245
6.3 Chrysippus' reply	250
6.3.1 Chrysippus' counter in Gellius	250
6.3.2 Chrysippus' formal refutation of the argument in Cicero	255
6.3.3 The cylinder and cone analogy	258
6.3.4 Another Chrysippean argument for moral responsibility	271
6.3.5 Freedom, moral responsibility, and that which depends on us	276
6.3.6 Moral responsibility and the determination of character	290
6.3.7 The relation between antecedent causes, fate, and necessity	301
6.4 A later interpretation of Chrysippus' concept of fate?	314
6.4.1 The 'framework story' in Cicero's <i>On Fate</i>	315
6.4.2 Plutarch's dilemma	324
7 FREEDOM AND THAT WHICH DEPENDS ON US: EPICTETUS AND EARLY STOICS	330
7.1 Epictetus and that which depends on us	331
7.2 Freedom (ἐλευθερία)	338
7.2.1 The early Stoics on freedom (ἐλευθερία)	339
7.2.2 Epictetus on freedom (ἐλευθερία)	341
7.2.3 Is ἐλευθερία the only true freedom?	343
7.2.4* Freedom (ἐλευθερία) and that which depends on us in later antiquity	344
7.3 Cleanthes, Epictetus, the dog, and the cart	345
7.3.1 Cleanthes on Destiny	346
7.3.2 Epictetus on Cleanthes on Destiny	349
7.3.3 The dog and cart simile	351
8 A LATER STOIC THEORY OF COMPATIBILISM	358
8.1* Some notes on the sources and the origin of the theory	359
8.2 PHILOPATOR's causal determinism	370
8.3 The role of fate in PHILOPATOR's theory	375
8.4 PHILOPATOR's conception of that which depends on us	378
8.5 PHILOPATOR's compatibilism	387
8.6 The cylinder in later Stoic fate theory	394
8.7 The rise and fall of the problem of freedom to do otherwise and causal determinism	396
<i>Bibliography</i>	413
<i>Index Locorum</i>	425
<i>Index Nominum</i>	437
<i>Subject Index</i>	439