2025 Vol.36 Issue 6 ISSN: 0959-3799

# Entertainment Law Review

### Table of Contents

#### Articles

CLAIRE LIVINGSTONE AND LOUISE BELTRAMI

CHRIS ELLIOTT AND MARCUS BAGNALL

EMMA FLETT, MAX HARRIS AND SAMANTHA BRADLEY

SOUMYA PRAKASH PATRA AND ANUPAM GHOSH

### Comments

HUGH TOMLINSON KC

NAOISE TAN

ELIZABETH MORLEY AND KRISHA JETHANI

### Digital Markets, Competition and Consumers Act—New Fake Reviews Banned Practice 203

The Digital Markets, Competition and Consumers Act 2024 has introduced a new "banned practice" in respect of fake consumer reviews, placing various new obligations on businesses who either submit, commission, or publish consumer reviews (or "review information"). This article explores those obligations and the potential impact on businesses.

# Crypto and Gambling: Why Regulation—Not De Facto Prohibition—Should Shape the Future of Payment Options 206

This article considers the case for lifting the prohibition on cryptoassets as a payment option in the gambling sector, in light of the evolving crypto environment, technological progress and the maturing regulatory framework for cryptoassets across the UK and Europe.

## EU Sets the Stage for AI: Public Input Sought on General Purpose Model Rules 211

Between April and May 2025 the European Commission ran a Consultation in preparation for issuing its guidelines for providers of general-purpose Al models subject to obligations under the EU Al Act. Draft guidelines published as part of the consultation provide insight into how the Commission's Al Office will interpret and apply those obligations. This article reviews the draft guidelines and the key conceptual clarifications they provide, and comments on the compliance challenges facing providers and the need for regulation to align with industry best practice in the ever-evolving Al landscape.

### Al in Entertainment: Balancing Innovation and Legal Frameworks in India and the EU 214

This article analyses Al's legal, ethical, and commercial challenges in India's entertainment industry, comparing its fragmented framework (e.g., Copyright Act 1957, DPDP Act 2023) with the EU's Al Act and GDPR. It demonstrates how Al can diminish legal inequalities by providing affordable IP protection and dispute resolution, empowering marginalised creators.

# Green v United Kingdom—Challenge to Parliamentary Privilege Dismissed by European Court of Human Rights 219

This article reviews and comments on *Green v United Kingdom* in which the Fourth Section of the Court of Human Rights held that a failure by Parliament to prevent Lord Hain from revealing information about Sir Philip Green which was subject to a privacy injunction was not a violation of art.8.

# Misendeavor—No Strike-Out of Booking Agency's Claim for Post-Term Gig Commission 221

In X-R Touring v Javor the Court of Appeal found that the High Court erred in striking out a claim by a booking agency against a former employee based on an alleged breach of a post-termination commission provision. Taking artist clients with him, the agent moved straight from X-R Touring to Endeavor, which, even before the move, issued a press release in which the agent pledged to continue the "legacy" of his previous work at X-R. The Court of Appeal found that the provision, which required post-term commission on gigs worked on during the agent's period of employment, did not obviously go beyond what was necessary to protect the former employer's legitimate interests.

## Appealing a Committal for Contempt: From Tommy Robinson in Detention 224

In its recent ruling in Yaxley-Lennon v HM Solicitor General the Court of Appeal dismissed Tommy Robinson's appeal from the High Court decision committing him to prison for contempt for breaches of an injunction order made against him in earlier libel proceedings. This article considers some of the legal issues involved in appeal processes and how aspects of criminal law can become relevant in civil appeals.

#### DÉSIRÉE FIELDS

# Book Review CHARLIE SEAGER

#### HOODLESS HOODIES—Just Another Sweatshirt? 227

This article reviews *Skechers USA v EUIPO* (T-206/24) in which the EU General Court upheld a decision of the EUIPO Board of Appeal rejecting an EU trade mark application for the word sign HOODLESS HOODIES in relation to "apparel" on the basis that it described one of the characteristics of the goods applied for, namely, hoodies without a hood.

#### Taxation of Entertainers and Sportspersons by Adrian Shipwright 229