

---

# CONTENTS

Introduction xi

## CHAPTER 1. SHOULD TREES HAVE STANDING?: TOWARD LEGAL RIGHTS FOR NATURAL OBJECTS I

- I. Introduction: The Unthinkable I
- II. Toward Rights for the Environment 3
- III. The Legal-Operational Aspects 4
  - (1) What It Means to Be a Holder of Legal Rights 4
  - (2) The Rightlessness of Natural Objects at Common Law 5
  - (3) Toward Having Standing in Its Own Right 8
  - (4) Toward Recognition of Its Own Injuries 13
  - (5) Toward Being a Beneficiary in Its Own Right 16
  - (6) Toward Rights in Substance 17
  - (7) Do We Really Have to Put It That Way? 22
- IV. The Psychic and Socio-Psychic Aspects 23

## CHAPTER 2. DOES THE CLIMATE HAVE STANDING? 33

- I. The Climate as Client 33
- II. The Law of Standing: An Overview 35
  - (1) Duty Owing and Zone of Interests 37
  - (2) Injury in Fact 38
  - (3) Causation 42
  - (4) Redressability 43
- III. Standing to Force Disclosures 44
- IV. Standing's Many Fronts 49
  - (1) Ordinary Standing for "Ordinary" Economic Injury 50
  - (2) Rights-Based Claims 51
  - (3) Executive Standing in International Affairs 53



(4) Citizens' Standing to Force the Executive's Hand in Foreign Affairs	54
(5) Citizens' Standing to Force the Executive's Hand in Domestic Affairs	55
(6) Standing by a Designated Trustee	57
(7) Citizens' Standing to Force the Trustee's Hand	57
(8) Citizens' Standing without Statutory Basis (Public Trust Doctrine)	59
(9) Standing of Noncitizens	60
V. Suits in the Name of Natural Objects	61
(1) Existing Law	61
(2) Could Standing for Nonhumans Be Expanded?	62
(3) Would Expanded Standing in the Name of Nonhumans Make Any Difference?	64
(4) Filing Suits on Behalf of Nature Is a Better Fit with the Real Grievances	65
(5) Suits on Behalf of Nature Are Better Suited to Moral Development	65
(6) Is Legal Representation on Behalf of Animals and Nature Really Feasible?	66
(7) The Advantages of Special, Statutorily Provided Guardians and Trustees	66
(8) The Guardian Approach May Be Superior to the Alternative Standing Strategies from the Perspective of Subsequent Preclusion Doctrines	68
(9) Advance Warning: The "Canary in the Mine" Rationale	68
(10) Protecting Third-Party Interests in Negotiations and Settlements	69
VI. So, Where Do We Stand on Climate Change?	70
(1) Why Has Progress Seemed So Slow?	70
(2) What Role Could Climate-Related Litigation Play?	74

### CHAPTER 3. AGRICULTURE AND THE ENVIRONMENT: CHALLENGES FOR THE NEW MILLENNIUM 79

I. Background	79
(1) The Historical Impact of Agriculture	79
(2) Aquaculture	80



- II. The Challenges 81
  - (1) Feeding Humanity 81
  - (2) Making Farmland Sustainable 82
  - (3) Reducing Agriculture's Environmentally Damaging Spillover Effects 82
  - (4) Tempering Conscripted of the Nonagricultural Landscape 82
  - (5) The Promises and Threats of Technology 83
- III. Some Proposed Responses 84
  - (1) Sustaining Farmland 84
  - (2) Off-Farm Damage 85
  - (3) Reducing Pressure to Conscript the Nonagricultural Landscape 85
  - (4) Responding to Technological Innovation 87
  - (5) Conclusion 88

#### **CHAPTER 4. CAN THE OCEANS BE HARBORED? 89**

- I. A Four-Step Plan for the Twenty-First Century 89
  - (1) The Fishing Sector 89
    - (a) The Fundamental Model: What Is Going Wrong? 90
    - (b) Step 1: Eliminate or Reduce Harvest-Increasing Subsidies 92
    - (c) Step 2: Improve and Extend Resource Management 93
    - (d) Step 3: Charge for Use 93
    - (e) Step 4: An Oceanic Trust Fund 95
- II. Nonfishing Extraction Sectors 96
- III. Ocean Inputs 97
- IV. A Guardian for the Oceans 100
- V. Conclusion 101

#### **CHAPTER 5. SHOULD WE ESTABLISH A GUARDIAN FOR FUTURE GENERATIONS? 103**

- I. Background: The Maltese Proposal 103
  - (1) Are Future Persons Really Voiceless? 103
  - (2) For Whom (or What) Should a Guardian Speak? 104



- (3) Are the Moral Arguments Disparaging the Rights of Future Generations Critical to the Guardianship Proposal? 105
- (4) Which “Future Generation” Is the Guardian’s Principal? 106
- (5) Who Should Serve as Guardian? 106
- (6) Where Should a Guardian Be Situated? 107
- (7) What Official Functions Should the Guardian Serve? 108
- (8) What Should Be the Guardian’s Objectives? 109
  - (a) Resource-Regarding Standards 109
  - (b) Utility-Regarding Standards 110
  - (c) Efficient Level of Harm and Harm-Avoidance 110
  - (d) Precaution Against Selected Calamities and Safeguarding Specific Assets 111
  - (e) Avoiding “Irreversible Harm” 112

## II. Conclusion 112

## CHAPTER 6. REFLECTIONS ON “SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT” 115

- I. The Underlying Geopolitical Strains 116
- II. What Are Our Obligations to the Future? 117
  - (1) Sustainable Development as a Welfare-Transfer Constraint 118
  - (2) Sustainable Development as Preservationism 121
  - (3) The Rights of the Living 123

## CHAPTER 7. HOW TO HEAL THE PLANET 125

- I. Introduction 125
  - (1) Invasion of Territories 128
  - (2) Who Is Responsible? 129
  - (3) A Voice for the Environment: Global Commons Guardians 130
  - (4) A Case for Seals 132
  - (5) Financing the Repair: The Global Commons Trust Fund 134
  - (6) Implementing a Global Commons Trust Fund 134
  - (7) The Oceans 135
  - (8) The Atmosphere 135
  - (9) Space 135



- (10) Biodiversity 136
- (11) Areas in Need of the Global Commons Trust Fund 137

II. Conclusion 138

**CHAPTER 8. IS ENVIRONMENTALISM DEAD? 141**

I. Introduction 141

II. What Movement, Exactly, Is Faltering, and  
What Should Our Expectations Be? 143

III. Indicators of Success and Failure 144

- (1) Indices of Public Knowledge:  
Environmental Literacy 145
- (2) Indices of Attitudes and Preferences 146
- (3) Indices of Willingness to Contribute to  
Environmental Groups 147
- (4) Indices of Environmentally-Sensitized  
Individual Action 147
- (5) Indices of Influence on Lawmaking 149
- (6) Public Sector Funding 151
- (7) Litigation 151
- (8) Indices of Miscellaneous Actions 152
- (9) Actual (Direct) Indicators of  
Environmental Health 152
- (10) Efficient Pollution 153

IV. Self-Presentation 154

- (1) Alarmism 155
- (2) Image 155

V. Conclusion 156

Epilogue 159

Notes 177

Index 237