

# Contents

<i>Preface</i>	vii
<i>Dictionary</i>	ix
<b>1 Mapping the field</b>	<b>1</b>
Delineating legal positivism	1
Kelsen's positivism and its antecedents	5
Hart's positivism and its antecedents	10
Legal positivism as criticism	15
Not only advanced but even critical	21
<b>2 The normativity thesis: under the <i>Grundnorm</i></b>	<b>23</b>
The many senses of 'legal Ought'	23
From nomostatics to nomodynamics	29
Towards an institutional theory of law	36
Kelsen's aporias	38
<b>3 The normativity thesis: law as a union of primary and secondary rules</b>	<b>45</b>
Social rules	45
Obligations	47
Introducing secondary rules	49
One or many?	53
Interrelations among secondary rules	54
Power-conferring or duty-imposing, or ...?	56
Addressees	59
The identifying function	60



	The validating function	61
	Relegating the rule of recognition to legal culture	64
	What remains?	67
<b>4</b>	<b>The social thesis</b>	<b>68</b>
	The two sub-theses	68
	Kelsen on the brink of the ontological gulf	70
	A practice theory of law?	74
	Hart on social efficacy	81
	A fresh start: law as social practices	84
	Law as a composite social practice?	94
<b>5</b>	<b>The separation thesis</b>	<b>96</b>
	The issues	96
	Legal and moral <i>Grundnorms</i>	99
	Responses to Dworkin	106
	From validity to validity claims	110
	Legal principles	120
<b>6</b>	<b>Methodological positivism</b>	<b>130</b>
	The descriptive thesis	130
	The standard case: modern municipal law	142
	Conceptual analysis	147
<b>7</b>	<b>Beyond legal positivism</b>	<b>154</b>
	<i>References</i>	159
	<i>Index</i>	166