

# Contents

<i>Foreword by Judge Bruno Simma</i>	x
<i>Preface</i>	xii
<i>Table of cases</i>	xiv
<b>1 Introduction: What is uncertainty?</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 Self-defence under the United Nations Charter</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 The 'black hole' theory	7
2.2 Defining armed attack	12
2.2.1 Whether 'armed attack' is a necessary condition	12
2.2.2 What is an 'armed attack'?	17
2.2.3 The scale of the armed attack	20
2.2.4 When does an armed attack occur?	24
2.3 The perception of armed attack	29
2.3.1 Objective or subjective determination?	29
2.3.2 Zoom	31
2.4 The nature of the attacker	35
2.4.1 Acts by private individuals as armed attacks	36
2.4.2 Attribution of acts to a state as armed attack	43
2.5 The <i>telos</i> of self-defence	49
2.5.1 The mechanics of prohibition and exception	49
2.5.2 <i>Telos</i>	51
2.6 Conclusion	56
<b>3 Customary international law</b>	<b>59</b>
3.1 One-element theories	61
3.2 State practice	62
3.2.1 What is state practice?	62
3.2.2 The element and its evidence	68
3.2.3 How much state practice and for how long?	70
3.2.4 The reception of state practice – does it depend on others?	72



3.2.5	The limits of regulation by customary norms	72
3.2.6	Is customary international law impossible to change?	74
3.3	<i>Opinio iuris</i>	76
3.3.1	Customary international law resolved as consent	77
3.3.2	<i>Opinio iuris</i> properly so called	78
3.3.3	Is the orthodox synthesis only an illusion?	80
3.4	<i>Desuetudo</i> in customary law – how do customary norms die?	85
<b>4</b>	<b>Interpretation and modification</b>	<b>87</b>
4.1	Treaty interpretation – the conventional debate	88
4.1.1	The dichotomy of terms and intent	88
4.1.2	What are rules of interpretation?	92
4.1.3	Particularities of UN Charter interpretation	96
4.2	The Kelsenian challenge	104
4.2.1	The Pure Theory of Law's theory of interpretation	105
4.2.2	Are the methods of interpretation irrelevant?	106
4.2.3	The nature of the norm	111
4.2.4	Back to the frame theorem?	113
4.3	Language, facts and beyond – further confusion?	117
4.3.1	Language and law – semantic uncertainty	117
4.3.2	From vagueness to subsumption – application of law to facts	120
4.3.3	Conclusion on interpretation	121
4.4	Subsequent practice to treaties	125
4.4.1	Interpretation versus modification of treaties	125
4.4.2	Subsequent practice as justification for treaty modification?	131
4.4.3	The relationship between customary international law and treaties	135
<b>5</b>	<b>Conflict of norms in international law</b>	<b>139</b>
5.1	A preliminary definition of conflict of norms	141
5.2	<i>Lex specialis legi generali derogat</i>	146
5.2.1	<i>Lex specialis</i> as a rule of logic	152
5.2.2	<i>Lex specialis</i> as more effective or reflective of party intentions	152
5.2.3	<i>Lex specialis</i> as positive norm	154
5.3	<i>Lex posterior legi priori derogat</i>	157
5.3.1	<i>Lex posterior</i> as a rule of logic	158
5.3.2	<i>Lex posterior</i> as positive norm	167
5.4	Pragmatic non-resolving	172
5.5	<i>Lex superior legi inferiori derogat</i>	175
5.5.1	International law's <i>sui generis</i> hierarchies	176
5.5.2	The hierarchy of legal orders	180
5.5.3	Types of conflict between <i>lex superior</i> and <i>lex inferior</i>	185
5.6	Conclusion	193



<b>6</b>	<b>A constitution for international law</b>	195
6.1	What is a 'source' of international law?	197
6.1.1	The concept of 'source of law' in legal theory	197
6.1.2	The nature of the sources of international law	202
6.2	How are the sources of international law justified?	205
6.2.1	Article 38(1) of the Statute of the International Court of Justice	208
6.2.2	Deduction: Alfred Verdross and natural law as fictional normative order	210
6.2.3	Induction: Herbert Hart and the problem of law from facts	224
6.3	The Pure Theory's constitutional theory	230
6.3.1	The <i>Stufenbau</i> determines the sources of international law	231
6.3.2	The architecture of the constitution of international law	235
6.3.3	Our epistemic situation vis-à-vis the sources of international law	239
<b>7</b>	<b>The inevitable <i>Grundnorm</i></b>	241
7.1	The four functions of the <i>Grundnorm</i>	244
7.1.1	The <i>Grundnorm</i> as the expression of the Is–Ought dichotomy	245
7.1.2	The <i>Grundnorm</i> as highest basis of validity of a normative order	245
7.1.3	The <i>Grundnorm</i> as the unifying force of the normative order	248
7.1.4	The <i>Grundnorm</i> identifies and authorises the norm-maker	249
7.2	The <i>Grundnorm</i> is the dichotomy of Is and Ought	250
7.2.1	The <i>Grundnorm</i> as Kantian Category?	250
7.2.2	The subjective and objective senses of an act	254
7.2.3	Epistemology and ontology of norms	255
7.2.4	Synopsis and restatement	257
7.3	Theory influences the existence of its object	259
	<i>Bibliography</i>	263
	<i>Index</i>	281