

Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| <i>Introduction</i> | xi |
| 1. Source(s) | 1 |
| 2. The source-law distinction | 7 |
| a) <i>Statute as source</i> | 7 |
| b) <i>Refining the distinction</i> | 9 |
| c) <i>Custom</i> | 16 |
| d) <i>Custom and constitutions</i> | 21 |
| 3. The tenacity of the sources thesis | 27 |
| a) <i>The sources thesis</i> | 28 |
| b) <i>Interpretivism and sources</i> | 29 |
| c) <i>Source appropriateness</i> | 34 |
| 4. The concept of the secondary source | 37 |
| a) <i>The contours of secondary sources</i> | 38 |
| b) <i>Non-legal and upgraded sources</i> | 41 |
| 5. Secondary sources as sources of law | 44 |
| a) <i>Juristic authority in the civil law tradition</i> | 47 |
| b) <i>The common law</i> | 51 |
| 6. Code source | 60 |
| a) <i>The US Restatements</i> | 62 |
| b) <i>The sliding scale of authority</i> | 68 |
| 7. Source identification: some difficulties | 74 |
| a) <i>Hazards of identification</i> | 76 |
| b) <i>The void ab initio conundrum</i> | 79 |
| c) <i>The sua sponte reliance conundrum</i> | 83 |
| 8. Scholarship as a secondary source | 92 |
| a) <i>Judges on scholarship</i> | 94 |
| b) <i>Judges using scholarship</i> | 102 |
| c) <i>Coda: judges and attribution to scholarship</i> | 118 |
| <i>Conclusion</i> | 129 |
| <i>Index</i> | 133 |