

Contents

<i>Foreword</i>	<i>xii</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	<i>xviii</i>
Introduction	1
<i>Aims of this book</i>	<i>1</i>
<i>Methods and approaches</i>	<i>4</i>
<i>Conclusions</i>	<i>7</i>
1 Framing discretion at the European Court of Human Rights	9
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>9</i>
<i>The significance of Article 5 as a limited right</i>	<i>12</i>
<i>The mandatory nature of evolutive interpretation at the Court</i>	<i>18</i>
<i>The living instrument doctrine and the margin of appreciation as methods of evolutive interpretation</i>	<i>25</i>
<i>Challenging expansions to subsidiarity – the undermining of oversight-based approaches</i>	<i>28</i>
<i>The advent of efficiency-based subsidiarity</i>	<i>33</i>
<i>The expansion of process-based subsidiarity</i>	<i>42</i>
<i>Justifications for the use of autonomous concepts</i>	<i>51</i>
<i>The use of autonomous concepts under Article 5</i>	<i>52</i>
<i>Conclusion</i>	<i>57</i>
2 An increased role for consensus in the progressive interpretation of the right to liberty	60
<i>Introduction</i>	<i>60</i>
<i>An evolutive role for consensus</i>	<i>63</i>
<i>Current challenges to the evolutive role of consensus</i>	<i>65</i>

	<i>Consensus and the evolutive function of margin review</i>	69
	<i>Consensus as a tool of effectiveness and harmonisation</i>	74
	<i>Use of the margin of appreciation in Article 5 adjudication</i>	83
	<i>Consensus in the adjudication of the right to liberty</i>	88
	<i>Conclusion</i>	93
3	An evolutive interpretation of justifications for detention	95
	<i>Introduction</i>	95
	<i>The consensus shown by further Protocols to the Convention</i>	97
	<i>The lack of an evolutive approach to pre-trial protections under Article 5 § 3</i>	99
	<i>Discretion in the length of pre-trial detention</i>	100
	<i>Discretion in the context of bail</i>	107
	<i>Discretion in the aims of immigration detention under Article 5 § 1 (f)</i>	111
	<i>Subsidiarity in evaluating safeguards from arbitrary immigration detention</i>	112
	<i>The lack of an evolutive approach to immigration detention</i>	115
	<i>Discretion in the 'educational supervision' of minors under Article 5 § 1 (d)</i>	123
	<i>Conclusion</i>	134
4	An evolutive approach to Article 5 proportionality	138
	<i>Introduction</i>	138
	<i>Proportionality testing under the Convention</i>	140
	<i>Proportionality testing under a limited right</i>	143
	<i>Balancing the exhaustive right to liberty against the public interest</i>	147
	<i>Balancing the underdeveloped right to liberty with competing Convention rights</i>	158
	<i>The use of consensus in proportionality testing under Article 5</i>	163
	<i>Conclusion</i>	166
5	Discretion in adjudicating a right to liberty free from abuse of power or discrimination	169
	<i>Introduction</i>	169
	<i>The impact of the Court's review of Article 18 on Article 5 discretion</i>	170

The advent of the 'plurality of purposes' approach 176
Evidentiary challenges in establishing bad faith 179
*Discretion in the adjudication of Article 14 in conjunction
with Article 5* 191
*Lessons for the Court's review of Articles 18 and 5
claims* 193
Allegations of discriminatory sentencing policies 196
Conclusion 207

Conclusion 210
Key challenges 210
Towards an evolutive reading of the right to liberty 213

Index 217