

Contents

List of contributors

ix

PART 1

Judicial free speech standards emerging from the
European courts jurisprudence and other horizontal issues 1

1 Should judicial voices be heard? Judicial interactions
between national and European courts reframing freedom
of expression as a tool to protect the European rule of law 3

FEDERICA CASAROSA, MOHOR FAJDIGA, AND MADALINA MORARU

2 The freedom of expression of judges in Europe: an
analysis of the case law under Article 10 of the European
Convention of Human Rights: The rule of law in the
leading role 18

SIETSKE DIJKSTRA

3 Chilling effect or no chilling effect: To be or not to be for
freedom of expression of judges before the European Court
of Human Rights 37

MOHOR FAJDIGA

4 What standards should be applied to judges exercising
freedom of expression on social networks? 57

PIERPAOLO GORI AND ORESTE POLLICINO

PART 2

Lessons for Europe: National perspectives on freedom of expression of judges 79

- 5 All quiet in the judiciary: Low voice of Hungarian judges and the role of European courts 81
GÁBOR HALMAI AND ÁGNES KOVÁCS

- 6 Speech is silver. Is silence *golden*? 103
RALUCA BERCEA

- 7 Judges' presence on Twitter in times of constitutional breakdown in Poland 120
JAROSŁAW GWIZDAK

- 8 *Working as a judge or being a judge*: On the specific features of freedom of expression of the judiciary in Italy 142
FEDERICA CASAROSA

PART 3

Epilogue 159

- 9 (Personal) reflections on (legal) developments concerning freedom of expression of judges 161
BOŠTJAN ZALAR

Index 177