

---

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

---

<i>Abbreviations</i> .....	<i>xiii</i>
<i>Table of Cases</i> .....	<i>xv</i>
<i>Table of Legislation</i> .....	<i>xxix</i>

## PART I OVERVIEW

1. Introduction.....	3
I. Scope.....	4
A. The Right to Privacy.....	5
B. The Need for an Ex Ante Review of Inspection Decisions .....	6
C. The Subject Matter and Purpose of Inspections.....	6
D. Information and Documents to be Covered by the Inspection .....	7
E. The Privilege against Self-Incrimination.....	7
F. Legal Professional Privilege.....	8
G. Judicial Review of Inspection Decisions and Measures Taken on the Basis of These .....	8
2. Enforcement of the EU Competition Rules.....	10
I. Enforcement of the EU Competition Rules .....	13
A. Unannounced Inspections and the Obligation to Cooperate.....	14
B. Obstructing the Commission's Investigation.....	17
C. Judicial Review.....	18
i. Ex Ante Control.....	18
ii. Interim Measures.....	19
iii. Article 263 TFEU.....	23
II. International Cooperation and the Effects on EU Antitrust Enforcement.....	24
III. Enforcement: Concluding Remarks.....	26
3. Fundamental Rights in the EU.....	28
I. The Charter .....	28
A. Historical Background.....	28
B. The Charter: Its Scope and Contents .....	29
C. Two Different Standards of Protection? .....	31
D. The Charter: Concluding Remarks .....	33

II.	Fundamental Rights as General Principles.....	34
III.	The ECHR .....	34
	A. The EU's Accession and the Role of the ECHR in EU Law.....	35
IV.	The Current Role of ECHR Law in EU Fundamental Rights Protection .....	36
V.	Identifying the Role of the ECHR in the EU Legal System: Concluding Remarks .....	37
4.	Criminal Sanctions .....	40
	I. Competition Law Infringements: A Criminal Offence? .....	40
	II. The ECJ's View on the Criminal Nature of Competition Cases.....	43
	III. Conclusion .....	45

PART II  
THE INSPECTION: IS THERE A CLASH  
BETWEEN EU AND CONVENTION SYSTEMS?

5.	Introduction to Part II.....	49
	I. Unannounced Inspections: Efficiency v Fundamental Rights.....	49
6.	The Right to Enter.....	54
	I. Legal Persons and the Right to Privacy .....	55
	A. A Right to Privacy: The View of the EU Courts.....	56
	i. <i>Hoechst</i> .....	57
	ii. <i>Dow Chemical</i> .....	58
	iii. <i>Roquette Frères</i> .....	58
	iv. <i>Strintzis Lines Shipping</i> .....	59
	v. <i>Nexans and Prysmian</i> .....	59
	vi. <i>Casino and Les Mousquetaires</i> .....	60
	B. The View of the EU Courts: Conclusions.....	60
	C. A Right to Privacy: The View of the Strasbourg Court .....	61
	i. <i>Niemietz</i> .....	61
	ii. <i>Société Colas</i> .....	64
	D. A Right to Privacy: Concluding Remarks .....	66
	II. Ex Ante Review of Inspection Decisions .....	66
	A. The View of the Strasbourg Court.....	67
	i. <i>Société Colas</i> .....	67
	ii. <i>Camenzind</i> .....	69
	iii. <i>Funke</i> .....	71
	iv. <i>Delta Pekárny</i> .....	72
	v. <i>Robathin</i> .....	74
	vi. <i>Bernh Larsen Holding</i> .....	75

B.	The View of the EU Courts .....	76
i.	<i>Hoechst</i> .....	76
ii.	<i>Deutsche Bahn</i> .....	76
a.	The View of the General Court.....	77
b.	The View of the ECJ .....	77
III.	Grounds for Suspicion: Can the Commission Go Fishing?.....	78
A.	Grounds for Suspicion: The View of the EU Courts.....	79
i.	<i>Dow Benelux</i> .....	79
ii.	<i>Roquette Frères</i> .....	81
iii.	<i>France Télécom</i> .....	83
iv.	<i>Prysmian and Nexans</i> .....	84
v.	<i>HeidelbergCement</i> .....	87
vi.	<i>Cementos Portland Valderrivas</i> .....	90
vii.	<i>Deutsche Bahn</i> .....	91
viii.	<i>Les Mousquetaires and Casino</i> .....	92
a.	The View of the General Court.....	93
b.	The View of the ECJ .....	94
B.	Reasonable Grounds for Suspicion: Against Whom?.....	95
C.	Grounds for Suspicion: The View of the Strasbourg Court .....	96
i.	<i>Robathin</i> .....	97
ii.	<i>Wieser and Bicos</i> .....	98
D.	Grounds for Suspicion: Concluding Remarks.....	100
IV.	The Geographical Scope of Inspection Decisions.....	101
V.	The Previous Handling by National Authorities.....	102
VI.	The Right to Enter: Concluding Remarks .....	106
7.	Dawn Raids in Sector Inquiries .....	109
I.	Sector Inquiries: Why and When? .....	109
II.	Case Law on the Scope of the Commission's Powers: <i>SEP</i> .....	112
III.	Article 17 and the Possibility of Carrying Out Inspections.....	114
IV.	Dawn Raids in Sector Inquiries: Concluding Remarks.....	117
8.	Once Inside: Measures Taken During the Inspection.....	119
I.	A Typical Dawn Raid.....	119
II.	Which Information is Fair Game? .....	123
III.	Implications of the Duty to Specify the Subject Matter and Purpose of an Inspection.....	124
A.	The View of the EU Courts .....	124
B.	The View of the EU Courts: Concluding Remarks.....	129
C.	The View of the ECtHR .....	130
i.	The Scope of the Search Warrant: <i>Robathin</i> .....	130
ii.	Limitations to the Scope of a Search Warrant: <i>Tamosius</i> .....	133

iii.	The Scope of Searches in Competition Cases: <i>Vinci Construction</i> .....	134
iv.	The View of the ECtHR: Concluding Remarks .....	136
IV.	Bringing it Back to Brussels: A Formality or a Fundamental Error?.....	136
A.	The View of the ECtHR .....	138
i.	Collection of Electronic Data: <i>Wieser and Bicos</i> .....	138
ii.	Collection of Electronic Data: <i>Bernh Larsen Holding</i> .....	140
B.	The View of the ECtHR: Concluding Remarks .....	142
C.	The View of the EU Courts .....	144
i.	Continued Selection in Brussels: <i>Nexans and Prysmian</i> .....	144
D.	Concluding Remarks .....	146
V.	Measures Taken on the Basis of Inspection Decisions: Final Remarks.....	149
9.	The Privilege against Self-Incrimination .....	152
I.	The View of the Strasbourg Court: Part I.....	154
A.	The Birth of the Privilege in ECHR Law: <i>Funke v France</i> .....	154
B.	The Right to Remain Silent: <i>John Murray v United Kingdom</i> .....	155
C.	Pre-existing or 'Real' Evidence: <i>Saunders</i> .....	157
D.	Protection During Preparatory Investigations: <i>IJL and Others v United Kingdom</i> .....	161
E.	Production of Incriminating Evidence: <i>JB v Switzerland</i> .....	162
II.	The View of the Strasbourg Court: Part II .....	164
A.	Protecting 'Real Evidence': <i>Jalloh v Germany</i> .....	165
B.	Accepting Requirements to Produce Incriminating Information: <i>O'Halloran and Francis v United Kingdom</i> .....	166
III.	The Strasbourg Case Law: Concluding Remarks .....	168
IV.	The EU Case Law.....	173
A.	No Obligation to Admit Guilt: <i>Orkem</i> .....	173
B.	Use of the Answers Provided: <i>LVM</i> .....	175
i.	The View of the General Court.....	175
ii.	The View of the ECJ .....	176
C.	The Obligation to Produce Documents: <i>SGL Carbon</i> .....	178
i.	The View of the General Court.....	178
ii.	The View of the ECJ .....	179
V.	The EU Case Law: Conclusion .....	180
VI.	The Privilege against Self-Incrimination: What Conclusions May be Drawn?.....	182
10.	Legal Professional Privilege .....	186
I.	The Rationale Behind the Privilege.....	186
II.	The Strasbourg Court's View on Legal Professional Privilege.....	189

A.	Protection of Correspondence from the Client: <i>Campbell</i> .....	189
B.	The Scope of the Margin of Appreciation: <i>Foxley v United Kingdom</i> .....	190
C.	Absence of Clear Rules Governing Seizures: <i>Sallinen and Others</i> .....	192
D.	Legal Professional Privilege in Relation to Dawn Raids: <i>Vinci Construction</i> .....	194
E.	Review and Restitution of Privileged Documents: <i>Janssen Cilag</i> .....	197
F.	Form or Content Immaterial: <i>Laurent v France</i> .....	197
III.	The Scope of Protection Afforded under ECHR Law: Concluding Remarks .....	198
IV.	The ECJ's View on Legal Professional Privilege .....	200
A.	The Emergence of the Privilege under EU Law: <i>AM &amp; S</i> .....	200
B.	Protection of Internal Documents: <i>Hilti</i> .....	202
C.	In-house Counsels and the Privilege: <i>Akzo</i> .....	202
i.	The View of the General Court .....	203
ii.	The View of the ECJ .....	204
D.	Applying Article 7 of the Charter to the Privilege: <i>Orde van Vlaamse Balies</i> .....	205
V.	The Scope of Protection Afforded under EU Law: Concluding Remarks .....	208
VI.	Legal Professional Privilege: What Conclusions May be Drawn? .....	210
II.	Access to Courts .....	214
I.	Interim Measures .....	214
II.	Ex Post Review of Inspection Decisions and Measures Taken on their Basis .....	218
A.	Dawn Raids and the Right to a Fair Trial: The View of the Strasbourg Court .....	218
i.	Review of Measures Taken During the Inspection: <i>Ravon v France</i> .....	218
ii.	Review of Inspection Decisions: <i>Primagaz</i> .....	220
iii.	Timely and Guaranteed Review: <i>Canal Plus</i> .....	221
iv.	Restitution of Documents: <i>Vinci Construction</i> .....	222
v.	Judicial Review and Article 8 ECHR: <i>Robathin</i> .....	223
vi.	The Procedural Safeguards Required: <i>UAB Kesko</i> .....	225
B.	The Strasbourg Case Law: Concluding Remarks .....	227
C.	Dawn Raids and Judicial Review: The View of the EU Courts .....	227
i.	Review of Implementing Measures: <i>Nexans</i> .....	227

ii.	Copying of Personal Data and Overall Assessment: <i>Casino and Les Mousquetaires</i> .....	230
a.	The View of the General Court .....	230
b.	The View of the ECJ .....	231
D.	The View of the EU Courts: Concluding Remarks .....	233
III.	The Right to Judicial Review: What Conclusions May be Drawn?.....	234
12.	Dawn Raids at Non-business Premises .....	240
I.	The Legal Framework Surrounding Dawn Raids in Private Homes .....	241
II.	Inspections in Private Homes: The View of the Strasbourg Court .....	243
A.	<i>Keslassy v France</i> .....	243
III.	Inspections at Non-business Premises: Concluding Remarks .....	245
13.	Dawn Raids in New Settings .....	246
I.	Setting the Scene.....	246
II.	Ensuring Compliance .....	248
A.	Reporting Duties under the DMA .....	249
B.	Monitoring Powers.....	250
C.	Investigatory Powers under the DMA .....	250
i.	The Powers to Investigate – What?.....	251
ii.	The Powers to Investigate – How?.....	252
D.	The Investigatory Tools Available.....	252
III.	Inspections under the DMA .....	253
A.	Investigating Suspected Infringements of the DMA .....	254
B.	Inspections in Other Situations .....	254
C.	Limited Possibilities of Judicial Review under the EU System.....	255
IV.	Concluding Remarks .....	255

PART III  
SUMMING UP

14.	Conclusions .....	259
I.	General Observations .....	259
II.	Summary of the Findings.....	262
A.	The Right to Privacy.....	262
B.	The Need for an Ex Ante Review of Inspection Decisions .....	263
C.	The Subject Matter and Purpose of the Inspection.....	265
D.	Information and Documents to be Covered by the Inspection.....	267
E.	The Privilege against Self-Incrimination.....	271
F.	Legal Professional Privilege.....	274
G.	Judicial Review of Inspection Decisions and Measures Taken on their Basis.....	277

---

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

---

### Books

- Alexy, R, *A Theory of Constitutional Rights* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2002).
- Andreangeli, A, *EU Competition Enforcement and Human Rights* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2008).
- Arai-Takahashi, Y, *The Margin of Appreciation Doctrine and the Principle of Proportionality in the Jurisprudence of the ECHR* (Cambridge, Intersentia, 2002).
- Arold Lorenz, N-L, Groussot, X and Thor Petursson, G, *The European Human Rights Culture: A Paradox of Human Rights Protection in Europe?* (Leiden, Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2013).
- Barak, A, *Proportionality: Constitutional Rights and Their Limitations* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2012).
- Beaton-Wells, C and Ezrachi, A (eds), *Criminalising Cartels: Critical Studies of an International Movement* (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2011).
- Beatty, DM, *The Ultimate Rule of Law* (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2004).
- Beck, G, *The Legal Reasoning of the Court of Justice of the EU*, Modern Studies in European Law (Oxford, Hart Publishing, 2012).
- Bernitz, U, Groussot X and Schuylock, F (eds), *General Principles of EU Law and European Private Law* (Dordrecht, Wolters Kluwer, 2013).
- Bernitz, U and Kjellgren, A, *Europarättens grunder*, 5th edn (Stockholm, Norstedts Juridik, 2014).
- Cahill, D and Cooke, JD, *The Modernisation of EU Competition Law Enforcement in the EU* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2004).
- Callewaert, J, *The Accession of the European Union to the European Convention on Human Rights* (Strasbourg, Council of Europe, 2014).
- Cameron, I, *An Introduction to the European Convention on Human Rights*, 7th edn (Uppsala, Justus förlag, 2014).
- Charbit, N and Ramundo, E (eds), *Competition Law on the Global Stage; David Gerber's Global Competition Law in Perspective* (Paris, Institute of Competition Law, 2014).
- Cohen-Eliya, M and Porat, I, *Proportionality and Constitutional Culture* (Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2013).
- Connor, JM, *Global Price Fixing: Our Customers are the Enemy* (Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2001).
- Court of Justice of the European Union (ed), *The Court of Justice and the Construction of Europe: Analyses and Perspectives on Sixty Years of Case Law* (The Hague, Asser Press, Springer, 2013).
- Craig, P and de Búrca, G, *EU Law*, 5th edn (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2011).
- . (eds), *The Evolution of EU Law*, 2nd edn (Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2011).
- Danelius, H, *Mänskliga rättigheter i europeisk praxis: En kommentar till Europakonventionen om de mänskliga rättigheterna*, 5th edn (Stockholm, Norstedts Juridik, 2015).
- Dawson, M, de Witte, B and Muir, E, *Judicial Activism at the Court of Justice* (Cheltenham, Edward Elgar Publishing, 2013).