

Contents

<i>Table of Cases</i>	xi
<i>List of Abbreviations</i>	xxxv
<i>List of Contributors</i>	xxxix

PART 1: INTRODUCTION

1. Engagement of Domestic Courts with International Law: Principled or Unprincipled?	3
<i>André Nollkaemper, Yuval Shany, and Antonios Tzanakopoulos</i>	
A. Introduction	3
B. The Premise of the ILA Study	6
C. The Legal Context for Engagement	9
D. The Main Patterns of Engagement	12
E. Concluding Remarks	15

PART 2: NATIONAL AND REGIONAL THEMES

2. Engagement of Domestic Courts with International Law in Anglophone Africa	19
<i>Magnus Killander</i>	
A. Introduction	19
B. Ratified Treaties	20
C. Unratified Treaties, Comparative Case Law, and Soft Law	30
D. Customary International Law	32
E. Hierarchy	33
F. Conclusion	35
3. Engagement of South African Domestic Courts with International Law	37
<i>Hannah Woolaver</i>	
A. Introduction	37
B. Relevant Constitutional Provisions	38
C. Techniques of Alignment	40
D. Techniques of Avoidance	49
E. Conclusion	55

4. Engagement of Chinese Courts with International Law	57
<i>Dai Ruijun</i>	
A. Introduction	57
B. The Status of International Treaties in the Chinese Legal System	57
C. The Practice of Chinese Courts	62
D. Conclusion	77
5. Engagement of Israel's Supreme Court with International Law	79
<i>Osnat Grady Schwartz</i>	
A. The Status of International Law in the Israeli Legal System	79
B. What Determines the Engagement of the Israeli Supreme Court with International Law?	80
C. The Practice of the Israeli Supreme Court	82
D. Conclusion	95
6. Engagement of Sri Lanka's Supreme Court with International Law in its Fundamental Rights Jurisdiction	97
<i>Dakshinie Ruwanthika Gunaratne</i>	
A. Introduction	97
B. The Status of International Law in the Sri Lankan Legal System	98
C. Engagement with International Law	106
D. Conclusion	115
7. Engagement of Argentina's Supreme Court with International Law	117
<i>Mónica Pinto and Nahuel Maisley</i>	
A. Introduction	117
B. The Era of Affirmative Avoidance (1853–1992)	118
C. The Era of Transition (1992–1994)	120
D. The Era of Overriding Alignment (1994–2017)	121
E. A New Era, or Simply a Pause? (2017)	133
F. Conclusion	140
8. Engagement of Canadian Courts with International Law	143
<i>Gib van Ert</i>	
A. Introduction	143
B. The Status of International Law in the Canadian Legal System	144
C. Engagement with International Law in Canadian Practice	151
D. Conclusion	163
9. Engagement of United States Courts with International Law	165
<i>David Sloss</i>	
A. Introduction	165
B. International Law in the United States Legal System	165
C. Practice of United States Courts	170
D. Conclusion	184

10. Engagement of Albanian Courts with International Law	187
<i>Gentian Zyberi and Semir Sali</i>	
A. Introduction	187
B. The Status of International Law in the Albanian Legal System	189
C. The Practice of Albanian Courts	191
D. Conclusion	206
11. Engagement of Belgian Courts with International Law	209
<i>Frédéric Dopagne</i>	
A. General Features of the Constitutional Framework in Belgium	209
B. Alignment Techniques	212
C. Avoidance Techniques	219
D. Contestation Techniques	223
E. Conclusion	225
12. Engagement of English Courts with International Law	227
<i>Shaheed Fatima KC</i>	
A. Increasing Engagement of English Courts with International Law	227
B. Practice of English Courts	230
C. Blunting/Moderating Techniques and Foreign Relations	243
D. Conclusion	244
13. Engagement of Kosovo's Domestic (Internationalized) Courts with International Law	245
<i>Zane Ratniece</i>	
A. Introduction	245
B. Internationalization of Kosovo Domestic Courts	246
C. Practice of Kosovo Domestic Courts	251
D. Conclusion	267
14. Engagement of Swiss Courts with International Law	271
<i>Eva Maria Belser and Rekha Oleschak-Pillai</i>	
A. Introduction	271
B. The Status of International Law in the Swiss Legal Order	273
C. Avoiding Engagement of Courts with International Law	277
D. Aligning Statutory Law with International Law—or Refusing to Do So	280
E. Muddling through the Jungle of Non-Aligned Constitutional Law	285
F. Conclusion	288

PART 3: CROSS-CUTTING THEMES

15. Engagement of Domestic Courts with the Findings of United Nations Human Rights Treaty Monitoring Bodies	293
<i>Machiko Kanetake</i>	
A. Introduction	293

B. The Practice of Domestic Courts	294
C. Bindingness as Normative Basis for Judicial Engagement	301
D. Persuasiveness as Normative Basis for Judicial Engagement	304
E. Conclusion	308
16. A Paradoxical Engagement: International Investment Law and Arbitration before Domestic Courts	311
<i>Andreas Kulick and Joel Dahlquist</i>	
A. Introduction: Two Paradoxes	311
B. Instruments of Alignment: Enforcement and Beyond	315
C. Instruments of Contestation: Challenge and Beyond	316
D. European Union Law and International Investment Law before Domestic and EU Courts	321
E. International Investment Arbitration, Domestic Courts, and General International Law—Towards the Second Paradox	324
F. Conclusion	328
17. Engagement of Domestic Courts with International Humanitarian Law	333
<i>Sharon Weill</i>	
A. Introduction	333
B. Misuse of the Law—the Apologist Role of Courts	334
C. The Use of Avoidance Doctrines	337
D. Deference to the Executive	340
E. Normative Application of the Law: Alignment with International Humanitarian Law	343
F. Activism in Domestic Courts	345
G. Conclusion	347
18. ‘Amp Up and Amplify: Defy!’: Engagement of Domestic Courts with International Sanctions	349
<i>Eleni Methymaki and Antonios Tzanakopoulos</i>	
A. Introduction	349
B. Terminological Clarifications and Legal Framework	351
C. Alignment(-cum-Contestation)	354
D. Contestation(-cum-Alignment)	362
E. Conclusion	367
<i>Annex</i>	371
<i>International Law Association Johannesburg Conference (2016): (Study Group on) Principles on the Engagement of Domestic Courts with International Law</i>	371
<i>Index</i>	403